

The
Robert
Burns
Collection

James Mason



[Handwritten signature]

12-11-01

The Robert Burns Collection

By

James Mason

Second Edition May 2000
Third Edition November 2001

Copyright 2000 James Mason

Additional copies \$25.00, postpaid
Cash or postal money order payable to:

James Mason
342 S. Dale Ct.
Denver, CO 80219



The author in Pueblo, Colorado, with Lon Cahill at left. Perhaps the main hero of the entire period, Lon went from total stranger, to landlord, to devoted friend, ardent believer and finally as warrior who single-handedly thwarted the goal of the last pig assault in the autumn of 1998.

Introduction

The Universal Order website was established in the summer of 1997 while this author was still incarcerated in the Colorado prison system. It ended in the autumn of 1999 when the original provider was bought out by a firm which had a so-called "anti-racist policy". Consider: In the beginning I was confined in maximum security solely due to my political beliefs. In the end, it was plain unconstitutionality - suppression of freedom of speech - which was used as pretext to terminate our website.

The issue here is dissemination of the truth. The lower things sink in general, the hotter the truth itself will become. The more degenerate a society, the more vicious its attacks against what is right will become. All most elemental. And only what is to be expected.

Even amidst the worst confusion then, this dynamic may be taken as the great distiller of what is what.

At the very bottom, the issue is RACE.

Naturally, the System goes all-out to not only deny the fundamental importance of race, it uses its State authority to formulate laws by which to stamp out any vestigial lingering of former civilization and society which had been predicated upon race.

And a marvellous job they're doing, to be sure. The only problem is that it is all a LIE and lies do not pan out in the long run. So what we have then is all State and media authority harnessed to the service of a lie. If you have ever had cause

to wonder about why things are the way they are and cannot ever seem to be "fixed", then wonder no more. You now know the reason why.

That's the basics of it.

Some people will want the details of it. That was the purpose of our website. Detractors can and will call me any name they choose. I am no scholar. I only tell the truth. Scholars only deal in facts. I deal in principles. I use the facts to determine these principles. Scholars have reputations to protect. Their works often are boring and end inconclusively. With no reputation to worry about, I can live up to my style of "rock 'em and shock 'em" and deliver the most outrageous and "irresponsible" - but accurate - conclusions.


This series of articles done for the website was designed to catch as much interest as possible for those topics dealing with suppressed truth utilizing the popular guise of the para-normal. As part of this premise, I always stoutly maintained that the reality of CONSPIRACY was certainly a part of this. But beyond even that, it is a conspiracy that had been FORETOLD in the pages of the Bible, the book most misrepresented in all the world.

Then to the nuts-and-bolts workings of all that. From UFO's to the Jews to pre-history, we delved into those areas that, taken together, would yield up the broader picture of the human experience upon this planet and thereby define that very principle of race that we began with.

I've gathered these articles - which I re-edited myself on a primitive computer in the summer of 1998 while on parole in Pueblo, Colorado - that first appeared on the website in just that kind of order. The first here being the first on the website also, having drawn from the headlines of that day.

In any case, contained here is what somebody wanted removed from the Internet, removed from your access. Read it and judge what its message is. By so doing you'll also come to an understanding of just who the enemies of truth are.

The time is late.



James Mason
"Robert Burns"

THE FALLACY OF HEAVEN'S GATE
by Robert Burns

5

Several decades ago the consideration of the actuality of extraterrestrials could be seen to have achieved a certain degree of respectability when the following question was asked for the first time: How would the establishment of the existence of other intelligent life in the universe affect the concept of God and religion? Strange that that question hasn't been asked in a long time.

It has an either/or answer, both of which would tend to trash all conventional values and beliefs. Either God as he is understood according to this religion has been carrying on illicit affairs on other planets with other beings he does not want us to know about - or - God in reality is and has been all along a race of these self same extraterrestrials.

And for those who pooh-poo the whole idea of extraterrestrials, we need to ask them their views on God and religion. Is one or the other or both garbage? Is one or the other or both real? On the basis of what evidence, what logic or what prejudice? If God and such things as angels are real, what form do they take? If extraterrestrials and UFOs are real, what is their origin, purpose and form? Is not the one as "crazy" and "impossible" as the other?

Mightn't the two overlap? Mightn't they be the same?

Though this is a world that literally turns on lies and untruths, I personally cannot see an institution so ancient and universal as the Church having arisen out of sheer fantasy and falsehood. Though the reality and the meaning have largely been lost, huge masses of people - primitive as well as civilized - still cling "religiously" to something now represented by the facsimile of an original event.

After having survived several thousand years from the time that the tales in the Bible took place, only with the most recent years of this still-living generation has our own technology advanced to where we have in our hands many of these same "miracles" that until now could have been viewed only as mere fairy tales. It's been half a century since the term "flying saucer" was first coined and the official denials have never let up. Yet today we are approaching an understanding of the propulsion system of that which supposedly never existed.

The memory of this in the dim past became a myth and that myth has become the basis for today's religions.

During the fall of 1996 on one afternoon talk show there was a panel of those who were claiming some form or another of extraterrestrial contact. Sadly there were the obvious cranks and hoaxers which seem to come attached to each and all controversial subjects. Grossly obese females claiming sexual violation at the hands of extraterrestrials which had to be clear cases of wishful thinking, "look at me", or of ETs with absolutely no self-respect.

But also on that panel was one attractive and intelligent blond young woman who, through all of the audience's taunts and cat-calls, was trying hard to convey a

message of rationality right through her own obvious fanaticism. Not "contact" as such but more a direct lineage from ETs was her theme. I was on the edge of my seat, straining to catch her words until, after all the interruptions, time ran out. I caught that her name was Vanna and that she was from southern California. My attempts to reach her through that show failed.

Then, in the spring of 1997, came the world headlines of the mass suicide of the members of the Heaven's Gate cult. After hearing all the talk of spaceships, etc., and learning that the group had been headquartered in southern California, my thoughts returned to Vanna. Whether she had been part of that group or among the deaths, I never learned.

It's difficult to own a small piece of the truth and still be rooted in the fallacy of the world. Onlookers will take this and use it to write off the validity of that kernel of truth as mere insanity. Those caught up in it may become its victims as did the Heaven's Gate people. It's been said that a little truth is a dangerous thing and this was borne out in their case. The uncovering of the truth is a hard path and the pitfalls and casualties are great. Most will never make the attempt, many will get lost down some blind alley, some will lose their lives. A few will go on to make it.

State religion and State media don't help.

These people - so professional, so intelligent, so sincere, dedicated, idealistic and committed - had some inkling of the great truth behind it all and yet brought with them into it so much of the false and poisonous superstition of the world that it ultimately killed them. The end result once again: The possibility of extraterrestrials visiting the Earth is for the eccentric and deranged.

What were some of the points on which Heaven's Gate were so terribly and tragically wrong?

Of course, the whole episode coincided with the arrival of the Hale-Bopp Comet near Earth. For some reason the leader of this group imagined that a spaceship was traveling in the tail of this comet. This belief was in fact what triggered the rash act of mass suicide among the members of the group so that their "souls", or whatever, might catch a ride on this ship to some destination.

They had convinced themselves that this was necessary in order to achieve "higher evolution". So deeply ingrained was this loathing of their own animal nature that some of the men had undergone castration. The whole emphasis seemed to be only one more variant off old, pre-existing world institutions like the Catholic Church and Marxism. It is beyond me why such a negative philosophy of denial should be able to continually exert this kind of fascination over people's minds.

A spaceship might need or want to follow in the wake of a comet in someone's daydream or inaccurate, incomplete conception. In reality, it would be a very bumpy ride if not altogether a physical impossibility. Beyond that, why would any extraterrestrials feel the need to adopt such an odd course? At dead bottom, it would be unnecessary and pointless

for them to do so and - realizing that we are regarding "perfect" minds - therefore would not take place.

If there exists a true "soul" then it would have to be part of a mass or universal mind, coming from and returning to the whole at birth and at death. If this is the case, no artificial means - such as hopping a passing spaceship - is required. If this is not the case, then no such "soul" exists. One great danger then is in mixing one's concepts. It reminds one of the very earliest attempts at flight. Looks silly now but deadly earnest at the time.

They were correct that God does indeed ride a UFO but missed the point that death may well be our own, personal end. God - or that race of extraterrestrials - is mortal. They may have figured out ways of cheating death or of prolonging life genetically, medically or mechanically but they are mortal. Remember that the earliest patriarchs of the Bible lived to be nearly a thousand years old (unless all that is bunk.) Then the atmosphere changed. We have literally *devolved* from them. These space-faring ETs certainly took the opposite, uphill path from their own progenitors. They obviously experienced no "fall".

If there is any short-cut to higher evolution, it does not come through killing yourself. It could only come through direct intervention on the part of some higher beings utilizing DNA mutation. And if one reads Genesis closely enough, this is exactly what is being implied. The inference would be that this higher DNA would belong to these superior beings and, by extension, make us their literal offspring.

So I am reminded of Vanna's claims and assertions in the face of that howling audience.

It is not anything weird or spooky. It is purely scientific and biological. That's what happens when wisdom is lost: It becomes confused superstition. "Religion".

Whether due to physical or climatic conditions on Earth, God may have been prevented from completing at one step what he began with Adam and Eve. Or, whether through human folly or Satanic meddling, the perfect work accomplished at the time of Creation has been partially undone. We may be certain the only path upward, back to that state of Godlike perfection is through strict and careful breeding over many generations. Healthy sexuality is the direct means to that, not self-denial or castration.

"Get it all now", is the philosophy of the worldly System just as it is the philosophy of Satan. Could they also be one and the same? For everyone, just as for the members of Heaven's Gate, it means death.

The "spirituality" enters with the higher awareness and the devotion necessary to lock onto the upward path and to aggressively refuse to be moved from it by any temptation or force on Earth.

The world is at a great crossroads now. While we have arrived at where all these truths are beginning to manifest themselves to us through our own expanding technology and we are rapidly finding ourselves capable of implementing them to our great benefit, we are nonetheless in grave and imminent

danger of succumbing for all time to psychological and genetic darkness which are, unfortunately, spreading much more rapidly and which are fostered by the State and the Church.

A fanatical move toward separation of a physical and, yes, a spiritual kind would then be surely indicated just to ensure survival as the "Image of God". Nothing was ever accomplished minus such a fanatical drive. But it was Heaven's Gate's fatal mistake to have brought with them any amount at all of worldly lies and superstitions.

WHY NO CONTACT?
by Robert Burns

9

Of all the questions that can be asked pertaining to the matter of extraterrestrial presence close to Earth, the most important one has to be: Why no contact? It is because if you can answer that one question, you've answered it all.

Who do we ask anyway? We have only our own reasoning to rely upon.

We can skip over any question of the existence of other intelligent life forms in the universe as the mathematical odds in its favor are overwhelming. And we can dismiss the question of whether they are visiting Earth as the reports have been too many, too constant, for too long for the matter to be written off as a complete hoax.

Though there are countless descriptions, drawings and even clear photographs of their appearances, it is not a critical issue what any of them may look like. Though it may be fascinating, it does not matter whether they have green blood or not. It's both futile and risky to put forward guesswork as to where they may be from and in the end that doesn't matter, either. While our own understanding may be advancing to where we can entertain faint glimpses of how their craft may work, that, for these purposes, also is unimportant.

To the surprise of many, it doesn't even matter whether they are friendly or hostile as, in any event, we would be powerless to do anything about it one way or the other.

However, that question of friend or foe is one relating to contact. We can assure ourselves that if they were interested in conquest or annihilation they'd have made their move by now. There would be no reason for them to wait.

Science seems to proceed on this assumption: Any intelligent beings out there ought to be trying to contact us on relatively equal footing, utilizing means which are familiar to us, perhaps via some recognizable mathematical code. And so huge radio receivers have been constructed for round-the-clock listening to the cosmos. This assumes two things: That "they" are somewhere extremely distant to Earth and "they" perceive us the way we see ourselves and relative to how we imagine them.

Science therefore imagines that these extraterrestrials might, one, deign to stoop to modes of communication that, to them, would seem on a par with two tin cans tied together by string and, two, that they'd have anything to say to or would be interested in hearing anything from anyone who would be on such a level.

"Respectable" science doesn't allow for them being here, literally right on top of us now. Conceited and overblown human thinking cannot allow for certain critical considerations such as: Is there anything in anyone's heart or mind that they don't know or couldn't know if they wanted? Can anyone be so stupid as to believe that language could possibly present a barrier to them? Mostly, what could we conceivably have to offer them apart from our own darkness

and confusion?

Why no contact? That's the only thing that counts. It is because that would explain their presence as it relates to us. That is the only thing we have any hope of figuring out on our own, without ready answers or hard evidence to examine.

Obviously, they are benignly observing us for reasons of their own. Reasons we may be certain contain no element of foolishness. The object of all this would have to be of greater importance to us than to them as they do us great honor and distinction just by their presence from deep in space. On the other hand, they would scarcely bother were this not of some primary concern to them as well. We can do nothing for them while they could do much for us.

Is it conceivable that they would keep this up forever or might one day grow tired and depart? No.

Why no playing out of the old, 1950s bromide, "Take me to your leader."? Simply because they would know more about our actual situation here than we do ourselves, not being subject to a "Big Brother", "1984" mass-taste and opinion-forming media. They'd have to be ignorant jerks to allow themselves to be presented before some sold-out political hacks. Besides, these same hacks are fully aware of their presence in our atmosphere and are committed to denying and concealing it. These so-called "leaders" are the very last ones they'd want to address. If we had any true "intelligence" ourselves, we'd see and know this and react the same way as the extraterrestrials.

To the question of "Why no contact?", the answer is that there has been plenty of contact, direct and prolonged, between extraterrestrials and humans, detailed and well-documented, all throughout Earth's history. To limited perceptions, this was occurring a very long time ago. To broader understanding, it has only been within this same moment.

From constant and routine "close encounters" to their giving of their laws to us before their departure from our midst, at which time they promised to return, they let it be known that they knew the kind of mess which would greet them upon that return.

They were here to provide all and to explain all. More than once. Even at that - when dealing face-to-face - their instructions fell on blind eyes and deaf ears. "Show yourself!" demand childish people. And even then the degeneration of man's condition, whenever left to his own devices, has continued basically uninterrupted until now when the prophesied moment of truth is upon us.

They outlined how they would make their reappearance and gave what signs to look for as the day drew near. A copy of this, albeit in much-altered form, rests in nearly every American and European home. It's the Book of Revelation at the close of the Bible.

But who makes the connection? That's all "fairy tale", or "spiritual". Or, if it was true, then that was then and this is now, right?

The world they left then has since sunk into these modern "religions", held among what amount to superstitious savages. When they again reveal themselves to man, it'll be at a moment of supreme crisis for Earth and they will come to salvage that which is salvageable from among the beings that they planted here from their own seed back before time even began.

To them this has been a biological experiment and they will only be interested in culling the most successful and valuable results. Jesus himself plainly stated that no one not having originated in Heaven will have any chance of returning to Heaven. This will disappoint and even shatter better than nine out of ten people on this planet as it simply does not jibe with the dogma of these garbage religions.

To them, this will be no more and no less than the promised fulfillment of their word. To most on Earth, just as it is pointed out in the Bible, it will be a time of great mourning and terror because they were aware just how off base the truth of their word would have become. More like a scenario from out of a Hollywood horror film than "divine intervention".

Their only advice to the astute was to be constantly ready. That would translate to being aware. That is what this series is intended to produce: Awareness.

WHO LEFT YOU GOD?
by Robert Burns

The premise I and many others have been trying to advance is, straightforwardly, that "God" was and is a race of extraterrestrials.

This entry is not to discuss the evidence favoring that conclusion. Neither is it to ponder whether there may be more than one "God" - or race of ETs - or from whence they might have originated.

If the theories are correct and these ETs not only inspired the events in the Old Testament three to five thousand years ago but are indeed responsible for the creation of man half a million years ago, then a far more relevant question presents itself.

Fifteen hundred thousand years ago these beings not only knew everything there was to know about space travel, they also knew all about such things as DNA and genetic engineering.

Quantus are that they themselves were not "created" but did evolve all on their own even before Earth and its solar system were in existence. Modern science here has a brand new term for its own use: Terraforming. That is converting an uninhabitable world into a habitable one. This in regard to our own projected colonization of Mars.

Had something of this nature taken place on Earth in the dim past, the recasting of that event - as filtered through countless centuries, translated through many languages - could amount to no more and no less than the story known as Genesis, the first book of the Bible.

Our own science is at the point where none of this should seem at all far-fetched. Nothing technological should be of any wonder to us any longer the way our own knowledge is expanding. The only real wonder is how these beings managed to make it to that point.

We don't know what went on out in the universe but we do know what has been going on here on Earth over the course of recorded history. We know that even as we have landed men on the moon, there are peoples yet in existence here who, upon witnessing the landing of a helicopter in their midst - descending from "Heaven", as it were - immediately hail the occupants as "gods". And we know the height that much earlier civilizations reached, only to collapse and be followed by "Dark Ages".

Could this cycle on Earth be a microcosm of how it is across the entire universe?

"God", therefore, would appear to be an entirely relative term. Magic, supernatural, spooky? The wearing of a digital watch three hundred years ago would have been more than enough to have gotten you burned as a "witch" in Salem.

What is not relative or subjective is the disruption or destruction of the upward climb of civilization so that one is required to start off again from square one, if at all.

Earth in its long history has seen mass extinctions and world cataclysms repeatedly. From the sudden disappearance of

the dinosaurs to the end of the world prior to Noah. These things were due to cosmic happenings, beyond anyone's control, and there's no guarantee it won't happen again.

But since the time of the last of these global disasters there has been a parade of cultures and civilizations far more brilliant and long-lived than our own having come and gone. Sumeria, Egypt, India, Persia, Greece and Rome are among the many that we know of.

Had any one of these somehow been able to endure to the present day, who can tell at what level their technology might be? In effect, for example, had not the past three thousand years been spent in recovering old ground from the demise of Egyptian culture it would presently be the year 5000.

How did the ETs manage to escape this fate themselves so as to become "God" over this galaxy and who knows how many others?

By five hundred thousand years ago their own evolution had achieved perfection, if such a thing is possible. It is the odds against that which are astronomical, not the odds favoring the existence of other intelligent life forms in the universe.

If something doesn't snuff out today's civilization before man can leave Earth to colonize other planets, then having made it onto that level at least will remove the threat of sudden extinction through the previously mentioned cosmic disasters or through the eventual death of the sun and the planet. This much they obviously had well behind them.

Apparently, they also had the two other deadly dangers of civilization conquered and put behind them.

First, they were blessed with having had their own home planet all to themselves. It seems this would have been the only means of having been able to avoid war and - what's worse - genetic pollution which will not only kill out a civilization but will erase a people as surely as any meteorite or hydrogen bomb.

And there is precisely the threat which now stands to envelope Earth in a perpetual shadow of stagnant development, meaningless existence and impending destruction of the environment. Quantity is overtaking quality on Earth.

The ETs came to Earth and, it may safely be presumed, elsewhere. They were searching for planets capable of supporting life. They chose from the life forms they found those of the greatest evolutionary development. To these they gave their own infusion of DNA and man was created here on Earth in "God's own image." They provided the technology and the codes of law and morals by which all these great blessings might be preserved. And then they moved on, promising to come back one day.

Was it too little, too feeble? Were too many variables left unresolved? Or was it done this way deliberately, stopped just short of perfection, in order to test whether the newly planted stock could make it the rest of the way on their own in an isolated, controlled experiment and be found worthy of a great destiny?

Positive evidence for direct ET involvement with man in his affairs in distant times is certain. Equally certain is that that direct involvement was severed. As tantalizing as it may be to speculate the reason why this separation took place, it too is not as important as the next question, which isn't even a question:

In each of the religions inspired by these actual events which have since devolved into mere myth, one of the points that continues to run true, consistently throughout, is that God promised to return. Christians call this the Second Coming of Christ. The final book of the Bible, Revelation, describes in the most minute detail a spaceship of vast dimensions approaching Earth at that time.

By "return" what is actually implied?

Have they really been absent or have they just been standing aloof for the past couple of thousand years? Would they have abandoned their experiment cold, not knowing what they might find later? Or would they have remained nearby, constantly monitoring?

In the latter case, which I take to be by far the most probable scenario, it would seem then that the moment to step back into the picture - just as a parent having left his child to attempt to swim on its own - would be just at that moment when it was unmistakably clear that the child was going under for the final time, and would be now.

Or, seen another way, to rescue that child from self-destruction through his own willful foolishness, despite himself. The same devolution of truth and fact into falsehood and myth could be expected to pave the way for just such suicidal belief and practice. Modern "religion".

Why else would the Bible in several places express that this moment of return be for many a time of great mourning and even terror? Worldly acceptance of total falsehood not withstanding, it will not be due to the way any individuals may have lived their lives and not for any silly concerns over "punishment" or "reward" in some "hereafter" that these beings who themselves have survived all the odds would dramatically reappear.

They came here in the beginning to colonize, to duplicate and replicate themselves for the most urgent and practical of reasons: Survival of the species, as the only realistic insurance policy for their own DNA.

They know in whom that DNA is best represented and they know also that it is in imminent danger of vanishing from Earth permanently, absorbed in mud. Furthermore, they indicated that they knew in advance it would come to this and they made it clear they would not allow it to come to pass.

The only final question is the timing. Certain signs were given but it was stressed that no one would know the time of their re-arrival exactly. Having established the motivation for this return, we can readily see that the global situation, as it exists now for the very first time ever like one huge freight train about hurtle off a cliff, dictates that the time must be very soon.

We begin to be able to understand the meaning of those

ubiquitous, hovering but ever so elusive UFOs in Earth's atmosphere.



The Bent County Jail in Las Animas, Colorado. During the time of these writings it was the oldest operational jail in the state. It was here where the author was confined during 1994 and again during 1997.

NAZI UFO's
by Robert Burns

Just as with Harry Houdini who held the occult so seriously that he undertook a personal campaign to expose the hoaxes which serve to confuse, weaken and debase the whole topic, so I cannot tolerate deliberate hoaxes where the matter of UFOs is concerned.

For years there has been the business of both "Nazi UFOs" and "Nazi Bases at the Antarctic", both linked together with the old theory which held that Hitler escaped from Berlin in 1945 and was plotting a "Fourth Reich". Plenty of pseudo-documentaries, plenty of "eye-witnesses" to support it all.

In the summer of 1976 the author of one of the foremost volumes on this subject told me in person, flat-out that it was a complete fabrication, a hoax and that he himself had produced the extensive array of blueprints and illustrations to support the idea of "German Flying Saucers". He said that it was his intention to get the international wire-pullers of the New World Order "looking over their shoulders" as well as to promote the sale of books.

Were it not for the tremendous standing body of disinformation surrounding Hitler and the NSDAP, such outrageous nonsense could never be put forth in the first place. Lies breed more lies. People cannot grasp that the NSDAP was a very down-to-earth, meat-and-potatoes political party which addressed the most basic problems of the common people and which came to power through the most conventional - if highly intensive - political campaigning.

They were fixated on the panoply and the stylized oratory, the stupendous military exploits of the Third Reich under Hitler's leadership. They are fascinated and hypnotized by the spectral images from out of the concentration camps. They don't suspect that the actual uniqueness of it was in this having been the world's first-ever racial state which naturally brought it into immediate and direct conflict with the budding New World Order.

People are taught to believe that Hitler was "mad" and intent upon world domination. They do not know that Hitler had no interest beyond Germany and, for that reason, he would never have left the German nation. Therefore, going on the basis of disinformation and falsehood, the conclusion has to be that it was all "evil magic".

One thing does stand clear to any who study history and that is that the greatest technical strides are achieved during time of war. Not World War Two but the U.S. Civil War still holds the record for the number of innovations made. Everyone is acquainted with Germany's pioneering efforts in rocketry and jet propulsion but England, the United States and Russia were not at all far behind. Who was it after all that developed the "devil weapon", the atomic bomb, but the United States?

Because Germany was confronted by an array of nations that eventually numbered fifty-two around the world and was

out-numbered by a hundred-to-one and out-supplied by a ton-to-an-ounce, they had little recourse but to pin their hopes on their "geheimwaffe" or "secret weapons". This boiled down to little more than a faith in quality over quantity. Of course, quantity won. And as always, always in history, the winners will paint themselves as the "good guys" with the losers as the "bad guys".

With demonization comes the capacity for any ridiculous thing to be heaped onto the pile of accumulating lies. This would certainly include the patent falsehood of "Nazi UFOs".

Even beyond that, it is well to note that there never at any time has been a great enough leap in man's technology sudden enough as to allow for earthly "flying saucers", either in the 1940s or today. All of the wonders that appeared during the course of World War Two were in one way or another already on the drawing boards during the 1920s. Every bit of it is traceable along a straight, slow, painful and unbroken line. Brilliant but conventional.

Today's automobile is little different from the Model T and today's jets aren't very far removed from the original principles behind those German WWII "Swallows" or "Arados". All most clever but hardly miraculous. All of it very gradual and in many ways very backward. So it remains. There simply is no "alien technology" in human hands.

This is not to say that the U.S. government might not possess crashed or captured UFOs. It is only to say that they have not been able to "reverse engineer", to figure them out and apply them. Not merely a more advanced technology but a completely different technological concept away from nuts and bolts, far from internal combustion. Like handing a computer to a group of chimpanzees, it could hardly be applied to removing termiles from their mound for a light snack. Considering the nature of what it is that holds power in the world today, for me this is very reassuring.

Yet it is undeniable that UFOs made their dramatic appearance during the closing months of World War Two in the skies over Europe. Official debunkers may attempt to write off sightings in 1947 as formations of top-secret delta wings but what of the "foo fighters" buzzing Allied war planes in 1944? The time, the place, the circumstances might indicate some link between Nazis and UFOs but since they were clearly independent of one another, what link could that be? What could their purpose have been since they made no effort to involve themselves in the world conflict eventhough they could have easily and drastically altered the outcome?

Quite obviously, they arrived at a moment of great crisis for Earth as observers, initially at least. Neither condemning nor blessing. Being present but remaining aloof. And so that remains to this day.

Those who have carefully studied the real Hitler - not the Hollywood creation, the "ogre" - will be aware of his pronouncement during the 1920s of his awareness of his being the one who would prepare the way for the last. What had to be done had to be done. The night which had fallen over the entire planet had to be split. The War had to come and it had

to end in sacrifice. In his final address to the German nation on January 30th, 1945, Hitler still spoke of the final victory to come even as Allied forces were overrunning everything and closing in around him. More "madness"? Or just too much to be understood at the time?

Who would be the "last"? What "victory"?

Anyone who watches television or reads the tabloids will be familiar with the name Nostradamus. Especially now as we approach the end of the millennium these fear and sensation mongers are selling papers, etc., through their second-guessing of who the Anti-Christ will be immediately prior to the Apocalypse. According to Nostradamus, Napoleon was one such "Anti-Christ" while Hitler was yet another. More recently they are claiming it is Saddam Hussein.

But note carefully, each one of these popular and "easy" Anti-Christ's was already out of the world "loop" in his own day and was militarily defeated by what amounted to the World Order of the time, not to mention the New World Order of the present. They therefore make their case that good has again prevailed over evil and all is well and secure. Is that not about the picture?

That is not however the scenario as it is played out in the Book of Revelation. And elsewhere in the Bible warning is given against paying heed to those such as Nostradamus.

The field of psychics, seers and prophets is every bit as rife with its own fakers and hoaxes as is the area of UFOs. This however does not discount the reality and the existence of such things. The Bible does not call them all frauds but only cautions that they will mislead. Some power enables them to see many of the same things seen by the Biblical prophets. The important difference lies in the direction from which this power comes. Both the nature of the sender and the receiver will determine how the visions will be taken, interpreted and presented. Remember, the "winner" will call it as it suits him. And the prevailing power on Earth, the temporary winner in the terrestrial sense, is not God or the power of good.

As demonstrated by those saucers over WWII battlefields, he could end it at any time but chooses to allow it to play itself out. And it must play itself out just the way it has already been recorded in Revelation.

The "P.C." force representing the power of lies which governs the Earth today is the same "P.C." force which provided Nostradamus his insights a thousand years ago and is the same "P.C." force which murdered Jesus a thousand years before that. And this is going to identify someone as the Anti-Christ to the world? Just like the government denies the existence of UFOs.

Who does Jesus identify as the "Father of Lies"?

Taking cheap shots at this or that personality as being the "Anti-Christ" may boost ratings or sell books, etc., but I assure you that to point out the *real* Anti-Christ when he appears will only get you villified and persecuted, imprisoned or killed. Simply because the duped and deluded populations of Earth have long been prepped to see and

welcome him as a literal "savior", a "P.C." savior, representing every dark and twisted ideal that the New World Order itself espouses. To call the Anti-Christ the Anti-Christ would be a "hate crime" or an act of "terrorism". He will be hailed all over the world. His word will be all-powerful. He will be in the mould of one of these smiling, mealy-mouthed, three-piece-suited, sold-out, tin-horn politicians. Furthermore, all the petty little sell-out bureaucrats and police in the communities will serve him willingly because it is the "law", because it is their "job" to do so.

Can't you see it's just about here?

Then, according to Revelation, Satan will step forward to claim open rulership minus all the "democratic" and "parliamentary" deception of the past. Not some horned red Devil but those for whom Satan is allegorical. And if Satan's form can be real, why not that of God?

The UFOs are not Hitler's, though they dramatically upgraded their visibility at around the time of his death. Neither are they the New World Order's. They are here, watching and waiting for that moment "known only to God" when the final words of Revelation are to be enacted. That moment became imminent - "condition red" - when the New World Order eliminated its last opposition in 1945 and full darkness and the power of lies gripped the whole Earth.

At that moment, evil will sit in full control. Is that not what is said in Revelation? Could this mean anything other than the New World Order?

At the time that it raises its head, dropping its mask to make its grandstand play - just as the legendary pride of Satan will demand that it does - those hovering and elusive UFOs will be commanded to move into action, fulfilling the prophecy of St. John, crushing the Satanic System.

The Hitler connection? Same enemy. Same victory.

The myth will suddenly become real. That is only, after all, the definition of what Revelation means.

FOURTH OF JULY
by Robert Burns

Something encouraging has developed over the last few years. The Fourth of July has found new meaning where before it had lost all significance.

Formerly "Independence Day", all pretense to that now and for a very long time has been a sham. "Taxation without representation". An internal tyranny can't be seen simply because it happens to be home grown. The country is dominated by something as alien to its origin as anything found outside its borders. A police state governs just in order to keep the pieces from falling apart.

But one, however, that is smart enough to allow the people their familiar customs and holidays, no matter whether they may have become hollow and devoid of meaning.

In 1996, Hollywood issued a film entitled "Independence Day", released to coincide with July 4th and with the anniversary of the now well-known incident at Roswell, New Mexico, in 1947. In 1997, the fiftieth anniversary of Roswell, Hollywood released another film, a horror-comedy, "Men In Black", dealing again with the subject of extraterrestrials as a follow-up to their horror-drama of the previous year.

Of secondary importance for the moment is that the fixation seems to be bound up in fear and terror when compared with the significance of this universal awareness of the likelihood of life elsewhere. The thought-masters of the System and the media, from their master control headquarters in Hollywood, have caught the scent of money - their own life-blood - as it is associated with the extraterrestrial subject and are playing it for all it's worth.

The Fourth of July has come and will continue to be dominated by this consideration of extraterrestrials and this is a most positive thing even if all their representation to the public mind is presently contained in the worst possible hands. Awareness, even if badly distorted, is far better than ignorance anytime.

Thought is generated by the suggestion of extraterrestrials. Better even than that, it is in a present and future tense. Independence Day as it has been known looks to the past and now is only an occasion for pyrotechnics and backyard barbeques.

As this swing progresses from emphasis on the past, toward the future, it is very revealing to note official response. Great ceremony and mock piety for an historic event, the real meaning of which was totally subverted and lost generations ago while the mercenaries, who've long since assumed ascendancy in the land, only seize the occasion to sell more merchandise. And though it is essentially the same bunch now pushing the ET topic for mighty bucks, officially, the entire matter is denied and ridiculed.

Beyond perceptions and conceptions regarding the actual sovereignty - or lack of it - of the United States or the

probability of extraterrestrial intelligence visiting Earth, one thing we may be certain of concerning both is that someone is lying straightforwardly.

Either an increasing number of ordinary people who are coming forward with what they have seen and know are lying - or the government, with its "explanations" (of which there now have been three) of what took place at Roswell, is lying. There can be no two ways. Someone is consciously and deliberately lying.

Minus any hard evidence at all, the average observer has this to go on: Borrowing from Shakespeare, one would surely have to think that they begin to protest too much. Stonewalling has failed where reports only continue to mount. Now there clearly appears to be much ado over nothing. To me, this is its own kind of confirmation.

Certainly there have been many deliberate hoaxes where UFO contacts and sightings have been concerned. Hoaxes exist in every area of dealings you'd care to examine. They neither prove nor disprove anything (except the willingness of some people to lie.) Regardless whether Roswell or 99% of all other similar such reported incidents are false, the remaining 1% would be all that's required to establish the case.

For private individuals - pranksters - to mount a hoax is one thing easily understood and written off. For a government of professionals - in charge over the fate of millions - to conduct a "hoax in reverse" is quite another and in no sense can be dismissed. What it is actually saying is too huge and important.

So the question next becomes: Why lie?

Again, the common track-or-treat variety prankster and his motives can be readily understood: Fun and games, perhaps even money. Better for us if we can learn and know the motivations behind the big, official "I Am Not A Crook" brand of liars. Though they have never come right out and said it is because of "national security", this is the apology and the excuse most generally attributed to them by mainstream UFOlogists.

"Reverse-engineering" extraterrestrial technology for military application or any other rationale to spread the notion that the UFO sightings are of Earthly machines that are as yet "classified". Though they may well have in their possession several extraterrestrial craft and may well have been holding them under the microscope for fifty years, there is no evidence that it has been of any use to them. The most advanced of Earth's technology remains yet quite conventional, in a straight pattern of slow development, with no great "leap" shown anywhere.

The fact is that any such ET technology would be of such a different kind that it would be worthless to these people even as a gift. Like the Sudanese who "reverse engineered" the deep water wells sunk by the British into metal spearheads after the British had departed. It would not be a welcome thing for these people in control here today to have in their hands operational ET technology as it would

only facilitate more control and domination.

"National security" by whose definition? Security as defined by a government whose alien and dictatorial policies are driving this country toward its own destruction? "To prevent panic" in a society so scared already by an artificially and deliberately brought about crime wave that it is prepared to welcome legally a police state to keep it safe in its own homes? If it is a matter of security, then it can only be the security of the regime, not of the people.

Now it begins to make sense.

Hence the reason why they still go all-out for a national holiday that has become obsolete and no more than a bad joke. It is a lie to promote the idea that this is still a free and independent nation. But it well suits the purpose of those in control.

In exactly the same sense does it suit their purposes to deny and to ridicule the idea of extraterrestrials monitoring Earth. That 1% of the evidence would still be more than sufficient to tumble all their preconceived and "safe" notions of politics, science and religion which they have bent and harnessed to serve themselves and to hold people in veritable slavery and perpetual darkness.

In the summer of 1997 in the U.S. southwest, many citizens observed a craft hovering in the night sky for a very long interval. After which, careful calculations established that the craft had to have been more than a mile wide. "Secret U.S. military weapon"? Area Fifty-One on its best day couldn't conceive or handle anything like that. But if one thinks that is large, they need to consult the Revelation of St. John for his in-depth description of a space vehicle measuring over fourteen hundred miles square.

If knowledge like that existed that long ago, that a craft of that size from deep in space would come to Earth to carry out a mission in the closing days of this age, then who really are we talking about when we speak of such things as ETs and UFOs?

If the power which controls the Earth at present - "Rex Mundi" - so hates and fears this force that it is absolutely committed to concealing it from the masses of people and, failing that, to imbuing its idea full of ridicule or, alternately, of terror, we may assume it is because this power refuses to admit the existence of any greater than itself. What then are we actually talking about when we speak of this "New World Order"?

And who is branded as the "Father of the Lie" in the Gospel of John?

Pose the right questions and they, by their very nature, will answer themselves.

Or, if this is too troubling for you or too "controversial", then you are free to wave your Fourth of July flag and simply go back to sleep.

During 1997 both the Air Force and the CIA offered independent explanations for what had taken place fifty years before at Roswell, New Mexico. The former explanation had to do with "dummies" that had been dropped in conjunction with weather balloons, while the latter dealt with high-altitude overflights of U-2 spy planes.

It would have been better for them if they had not moved off their original, tried-and-true stance of sheer stone-walling of the issue, that "nothing happened." After more than half a century, it's not only Roswell that refuses to go away, countless more such incidents have continued to be reported and the government has finally made the mistake that all liars eventually make: It has contradicted itself.

I'm one of those who has never seen a UFO but I find the evidence in support of their existence to be overwhelming. Beyond that, it only just makes sense. If we can get off the Earth after such a short period of technological development as we have had, then what about the countless other, more advanced civilizations that the laws of probability insist must be out there?

Further, it fits perfectly much of our own religion and myth. No longer pure fairy tale but rooted in fact. The kind of fact that we ourselves couldn't have conceived of until only the present century. Powered flight, wireless communication, escape from Earth's atmosphere. And now even genetic engineering, cloning, etc. If we can have figured these things out for ourselves, why not others from distant worlds, thousands of years ago?

Were we and all our subsequent civilizations established here on Earth in the dim past as a colony from another world? Is the confused recollection of that tale what has come down to us in our holy books, which now read practically incomprehensibly? For me, the only realistic answers to these questions have to be yes and yes again. The only missing pieces have to do with detail in order to fill in certain gaps. We hold the general, basic scenario sufficiently well to be able to know what took place "in the beginning."

Using their own DNA, the extra-terrestrial expedition "created man in their own image" using certain primitive life forms they found here, already well acclimated to Earth's environment. The experiment apparently worked so well that the "sons of gods" found the "daughters of men" attractive enough to "go in unto them."

"Satan" seduced "Eve". Unmistakable reference to childbirth and race war are mentioned in Genesis. This act of mutiny or rebellion on the part of one or more members of the expedition led to their being condemned to grovel "on their bellies, eating dust", as it were, in the mines. The fable, the allegory of the "apple" and the "serpent" has sown nothing but confusion ever since in this area most critical of all to human endeavor.

God's breeding experiment had gotten out of control. Humans now knew they could reproduce themselves independently of his "test tubes" and at will, with whomever or whatever happened to look good or be handy. The original sin.

Shocking and amazing also, now that we have managed to struggle and crawl our way back up to the present level of high technology, that an ancient and mysterious symbol we've had with us all throughout now takes on an air of sudden familiarity: The universally recognized symbol of the medical profession, two intertwined serpents around the staff of Hermes - the caduceus. Nonsensical? Only most lately, under our most powerful and sophisticated microscopes have we been able to rediscover its origin: The intertwined double helix of a DNA molecule!

Just as perfect understanding like that can devolve into images of snakes, etc., devoid of real meaning, so can the wisdom of man sink into superstition. And as his wisdom and understanding erode, so too has it ever been that his bloodline becomes polluted through repetition of his original sin: Miscegenation. The deaths of Egypt, India, Persia, Greece, Rome and who knows how many others?

Man never learns. Lust, greed, conceit. Finally total and irretrievable genetic debasement. *The victory of Satan!*

However, in the written record left to us by these colonizers, we have the outline of the way the future as it concerned ourselves would unfold and it contains their promise that their seed - ourselves - would not be allowed to be consumed and absorbed by that of Satan in the end. John, in Revelation, describes a literal war between the powers of Earth - completely in Satan's control - and the forces of a returning God.

"Better to rule in Hell than serve in Heaven." The legendary pride of Satan.

The UFO experts all seem to write off the government's unwillingness to admit any knowledge of extra-terrestrial presence near Earth as, for them, a matter of "national security".

Only the obtuse could buy into that. What kind of genuine concern for the nation or its security could a criminal conspiracy, such as that which holds total power, possibly have? Having crept its way secretly into control, without the knowledge or consent of the people, having come to dominate all wealth and media so that "leaders" could be bought and sold, so that all thought and opinion could be tailored to order. Leeching the lifeblood from the workers, policies both foreign and domestic that the people themselves do not want, forced racial integration and attacks upon the Bible, etc. *Whose government?*

Now to be seen turning this country into a police and prison state to extend its control, squeeze the last drop of life and try to hold the pieces together a little longer. *"Satan will grow ever more wrathful as he senses his time growing short."* John writing in Revelation.

The moment of climax draws very near.

"National security", garbage! More like it is the

security of its own criminal enterprise locked onto the nation's throat like a vampire. Exactly as set forth by John in Revelation, in the very latter times the entire Earth will be dominated by the *Beast System*. Any who are unable to recognize the Beast in the current world system, the "New World Order", which sits astride not only this country but the entire globe, are blind. Worse, by that blindness they are part of the Beast.

Because - if this *isn't* the Beast System here and now which we live and suffer under, then there *is no* Beast and the whole thing is nonsense.

But I don't accept that.

"Who can war against the Beast?", poses John in Revelation. From its nuclear arsenal to its local S.W.A.T. teams, who can hope to go up against the power of the System anywhere in the country or the world? Certainly no one on Earth.

A threat to the security of the nation or to the dictatorship of Satan? It is stressed in the pages of the Bible again and again that none will repent of anything until all of this has been utterly smashed and destroyed. Liars will not admit their lies nor criminals their crimes. They will cling to their power and wealth until the bitter end.

A tragedy for all the rest that these are the ones holding authority everywhere. Many more will suffer for having been led astray by these types. But a final end to the abomination will be made.

"God" is watching and is very close.

The government will never admit the existence of UFO's. It can't.

The government is Satan's instrument of power over the Earth and, knowing the pride of Satan, one will know that he cannot admit to the existence of any power higher than his own.

THE SECRET OF THE PYRAMID
by Robert Burns

The Great Pyramid of Giza is not only the last surviving one of the Seven Wonders of the World, it is also by far the oldest. So unique is the Pyramid that it properly never should have been classed along with the other, completely contemporary, if spectacular, structures of the ancient world, all of which have long since crumbled to dust. Even within a land dotted with pyramids, the Great Pyramid stands alone. No wonder at all the universal fascination over this enigmatic edifice.

It may be said that there are several secrets connected with the Pyramid and these would include: Who built it?; When?; How?; and Why? Each one of these considerations has had entire volumes devoted to it, not always shedding a great deal of light as to the truth of the matter.

We all were raised being told that every one of the pyramids were built and utilized as royal tombs, done approximately five thousand years ago, by means of vast pools of slave labor requiring many decades to accomplish. None of these theories is found to hold water upon serious examination. Even within the context of what is commonly known of ancient Egyptian burial practices, none of the above fits. Construction theories ranging from the use of "ramps" to "levers" have all been attempted on a much smaller scale and have been shown to fail. So then, aside from just standing pat - "stonewalling" - with these outmoded ideas, modern science would have to admit that it simply doesn't know the answers.

We have evolved a magnificent technology today but it is a completely different brand of technology, or "wisdom", from that which existed long ago and which was responsible for the Pyramid. The two hardly resemble one another. Each has, or had, a different mindset and approach behind it. Trying to make this other wisdom fit into the framework of our own understanding is what results in completely false representations such as we have in the case of the Great Pyramid especially. That would equate to attempting to apply a "politically correct" approach to such exact disciplines as physics or biology. Obviously, that couldn't hope to work.

If one can have no use for bending or ignoring the evidence in order to conform to a preconceived supposition, then they might stand a chance of going on toward discovering the truth.

The Great Pyramid was constructed by a people referred to by the Egyptians as *Hyksos*, or "Shepherd Kings", who variously had invaded and occupied that territory. These same people later came to be known as *Hapiru*, or, more commonly, Hebrews. Still later, of course, as Israel.

The same tradition has it that it was at the direction of Enoch, seventh in line from Adam and the father of Methuselah (himself well-known for having been Earth's longest-lived human), that the Great Pyramid came into being. That would mean that the Pyramid pre-dates the Flood of Noah

and would place its age at about twelve thousand years - more than twice the "guesstimation" attributed to it on the part of today's science.

It should be noted here that the *Book of Enoch* is one of those many books deliberately left out of the Bible in the form that we recognize it because the framers then found it too difficult to make Enoch "fit" into their own preconceptions of what God and Creation were all about. Enoch was one of the fewer than a handful of those who reportedly never suffered a mortal death but who were bodily taken up into Heaven by God directly.

Why the geometric form of a pyramid? Simply because that is the design best suited to withstand the ravages of time. Not some baroque temple or tower or statue like the other six Wonders which have all long since collapsed. The Pyramid is so elegant in its simplicity that it is positively futuristic. Originally rising to a height of five hundred feet and covering thirteen acres of ground, the Pyramid was surfaced with polished white limestone. Those surviving casing stones which lie at the base show a fit so precise that a playing card can't be fitted between the joints. And yet those joints were mortared using some polymer not in existence today.

Being no mathematician, I won't go into much detail there except to point out that the Giza site lies at thirty degrees northern latitude and thirty degrees longitude. Also, that the sides of the Pyramid are perfectly aligned with the cardinal points of the compass. On a global projection map, the Pyramid sits astride the crossroads of three continents, at the very center of the Earth's land mass. Aside from being a monument to engineering beauty, the Pyramid is also a geodetic work of art.

Mysterious yet revealing features about it include its missing capstone, the vacant "King's Chamber" together with its empty "luffer", the totally incongruous "Grand Gallery" with its oddly corbelled walls, the accompanying network of steep and originally stairless passageways that make no sense in terms of corridors, and its original entrance which had been designed to swivel open at a mere touch.

The Arabs, who were the first modern, recorded ones to have entered the Pyramid in the year 820 A.D., found its condition to be so pristine that they could not detect the presence of the entrance way. Noticing bats coming and going from what were considered "air shafts" closer to the summit, it was decided there must be rooms within and it was further assumed these rooms had to contain treasure. The Arabs were required to force their way in using fires and cold vinegar to shatter the impregnable limestone sheathing. Once they managed to join with existing passageways, they had to remove huge stone plugs to gain access to the core of the building.

What they found was nothing. The Pyramid was empty and deserted. No gold, no riches. Not even a mummy. In their disappointment, all the Arabs could do now was strip away the gleaming white outer shell for use as construction material for their new city of Cairo nearby. Plunder and vandalism of

the greatest monument of all time. Actions indicative of a carefully deficient value system that still persists today, represented by a science which not only sees these structures as "tombs" for egomaniacal rulers.

Key to the actual "blue-prints" of the Pyramid's construction and purpose can be found in the pages of the Old Testament, in the Book of Exodus, as it regards the heavily detailed plan of the Ark of the Covenant. The specific dimensions, the fact that it was covered and lined with pure gold, the obvious fact that it contained very raw power and also that it could be used to communicate directly with God. When the Philistines managed to capture the Ark following a battle with the Israelites, they found it to be so unpredictable and deadly that they dumped it in the desert and notified the Israelites to come and retrieve it. At the completion of Solomon's Temple, the Ark was placed in the "Holy of Holies", later to be spirited away to Ethiopia by the offspring of Solomon and the Queen of Sheba where it remains today.

However, all over Egypt, throughout most of the actual crypts and tombs that have been discovered containing their treasure, many such arks have been found. Gold-covered boxes albeit without their power sources thus rendering them no longer active. Assumed to be mere "chests" - empty - just as the Pyramid was considered a mere "tomb" - also empty. By the very vivid descriptions of its power in Exodus, i.e., the toppling of the walls of Jericho, etc., we may assume the Ark held the force of anti-gravity. In the proper hands, the convergence of the power within two or more of these devices - capacitors, actually - could have been used to build the Pyramid.

The dimensions of the "King's Coffin" in the uppermost chamber of the Pyramid corresponds to those of the Ark. It has been suggested by some that the Pyramid's now-missing capstone had been made of solid gold. Engineers have postulated that the otherwise inexplicable corbelling of the walls of the "Grand Gallery" leading upward near the "King's Chamber", could have facilitated the backward and forward motion of some large, geared mechanism. Finally, as indicated through the original design, clear intent was present for easy and ready access to the interior - yet, by the presence of the granite plugs, not all the way to the core.

As one who knows antique radios, etc., I can readily see the similarity between the bulky and cumbersome (and crude by present standards) tuning devices of these technical dinosaurs from the early Twentieth Century and the kind of mechanism as may have occupied that long, inclined "gallery". Gold, as anyone ought to know, makes the most marvellous of conductors as well as being corrosion-proof. Whatever was contained in the Ark as well as within the "King's Coffin", it had to be some mineral substance highly radioactive, thus providing the power source.

Whereas the small and portable Ark, made as it was of wood, was notoriously unstable, the massive stonework of the Pyramid would have granted tremendous stability, and safety, for those who knew how to gain access to the interior through

the carefully concealed entrance way. The size of the Pyramid in comparison to that of the Ark would have meant at the same time a proportionate increase in range and frequency. And whereas the Ark could be used to communicate with God when he was relatively nearby (somewhere within Earth's atmosphere), with the Pyramid acting as both transmitter and receiver, God could be reached anywhere, at any time whether he may have been near Orion, the Pleiades or on the surface of Mars.

One of the messages, perhaps the final message, received through this medium may have been the urgent warning that a large heavenly body was quickly approaching Earth's orbit and would certainly cause cataclysmic disruption, even if it didn't actually strike Earth, through its own gravitational force. It was that the "Flood of Noah" was imminent and unavoidable.

The message would have been to prepare as best you could. The only effective and realistic means to this would have been through huge and unsinkable vessels - referred to again as an Ark - also for which the specific dimensions were provided. Only a priest class would have been privy to this information, the masses would have had no clue. In any case, all of existing humanity could not have been accommodated. Only the most select few. And from among those, only the ones who would listen, believe and act.

During the global devastation which lasted for many months as the stray planet moved into and then out of Earth's proximity, not only was there a deluge as the atmosphere was torn but, as recorded in Genesis, "the fountains of the Earth" opened up with geysers spouting thousands of feet into the stratosphere. A slippage of the Earth's outer crust would also have started giant tsunamis as well as a shift in the poles themselves causing the effect of scouring the surface of the Earth clean. Aside from what information man then could have packed up with him, there would only have been what remained of the structures from the anti-deluvial world. Paramount among these would have been the Pyramid.

The Pyramid was already then an ancient leftover into a new age of Earth. Due to the sudden atmospheric changes alone, man no longer lived to the astronomical ages given in the Bible. More than his physiological nature had been affected by this, his wisdom and understanding suffered accordingly due to the tremendous upheaval of his environment. Now here was Egypt and all of subsequent civilization set in its steady decline into superstition and darkness.

The worship of cats and jackals and, not least, the mummification of dead bodies. Some memory of the greatness of the past still lingered in myth and legend but the link with its reality had been severed. "Religion".

This ignorance may have caused the survivors - those from among the hapless, darker masses not given the opportunity to literally "ride out" the storm and who had to have absorbed unheard of losses as a result - to attack the Pyramid as a symbol of the world disaster as well as of a God who had turned his back upon them. Stripping it of its gold

capstone, removing its power source (and doing the structural damage to the "Loffer" in the process), dismantling and junking the sophisticated machinery within and - because it was beyond their capability to literally tear it apart - abandoning the stone edifice, leaving it to remain as the colossal oddity it is today.

The irony didn't end with the Arab looters who hardly realized the laugh was entirely on them. The loss of memory, the lack of respect, the absence of imagination, the overthrow of actual civilization itself was staring back at them in silent rebuke already from thousands of years before even their own mercenary time. And despite more recent rediscoveries in conjuring and trickery, i.e., "technology", man's real nature and wisdom continues to sink.

That is not the final secret of the Pyramid, however.

The literal masterminds who conceived and executed the Pyramid were fully aware of what they were doing and why. Into it they built what today would be called "back-up" or "fail-safe" systems in support of its original purpose. If that purpose is understood to be communication between God and man, then the contingency plan would have been for a recorded message to survive in the event direct, verbal contact should not. Hence the nature of the construction: Built for eternity. This record would need to survive the ravages of time and the elements as well as to successfully resist the predations of man himself. That is until such a time when this record could be rediscovered, recognized and understood.

Today some experts are convinced that chambers exist within the mass of the Pyramid still hidden. Modern techniques of sound and radar penetration have revealed structural anomalies deep within the stone work and specially-constructed robots have explored the so-called "air vents", shafts which lead to nowhere, only to reveal more stone plugs and evidences of metal fasteners. And behind these? The "Treasure of Cheops"? Or the original, first-person story behind Creation? All of the answers plus the destiny of man.

Unless these records are preserved on some material other than leather or papyrus, we may be certain they have long since vanished to ashes. Stone or clay tablets, perhaps. Though that seems unlikely owing to the sheer volume and weight that would be required. Among Sumerian records are accounts of "malleable glass" in antiquity. Things akin to compact discs or computer chips? These could last the ages, waiting for the hereditary priest class to reinvent the method for the retrieval of their contents. We in the West now of course have developed and have at our disposal this kind of technology, or "wisdom".

Not satisfied to leave it at that, dependent on means which might or might not be developed, means that would again leave the message in the hands of an exclusive few to be misinterpreted or purloined altogether, the great engineers encoded what amounts to the contents of the Bible into the very courses of masonry and the angles of the otherwise nonsensical passageways of the Pyramid itself. The undying,

incorruptible language of mathematics and geometry, incapable of lying or being misread. The only thing required is the intellect necessary to find, read and comprehend. No intricate technology needed.

Western man returned to the Pyramid late in the Eighteenth Century and it was during the Nineteenth Century that the Pyramid was decoded by these towering minds, descendants of the ones who had built them in the first place. The entire march of time from the beginning to the end and the purpose behind it all. That end is set to occur within the next hundred years and in this, the Pyramid of Giza concurs with similar timings gained from the Meso-American pyramids and the Mayan calendars.

Of course, that message corresponds to the message given in the final book of the Bible, Revelation. Except that the language of the account resting in the Pyramid would be far more clear and straightforward.

If most of us have this information in our personal possession in the form of our King James Bible, why the terrific significance of learning whether it is repeated within the walls of the Pyramid?

Very simply, because then it might be taken much more seriously. The Bible has been through so many translations that its content has fallen into question and, even to those who do not doubt its authenticity, endless interpretations can be made, most of which are in grave error. The facts have deteriorated into myth and it is desperately required now to have a great clarification just in order to preserve ourselves from succumbing to the effects of governing superstition.

But as we move closer to unlocking the final secret of the Pyramid, events themselves draw nearer to the moment when the words contained in Revelation will become enacted as reality.

Will the two converge? It's a race against time.

To find this message, free from millennia of distortion would mean being suddenly awakened and prepared to greet a returning God, angry and exasperated, as he comes to Earth a-knocking personally, following mankind's having "hung up the phone" on him and having subsequently gone totally stupid and degenerate.

Unfortunately, we are able to read in Revelation plainly enough that that is not to be the scenario. Instead, that return of God is to be a day of "terror" and of "mourning" for the people of the Earth.

The Pyramid will keep its secret.

THE SECRET OF THE SPHINX
by Robert Burns

No one in the literate world is unaware of the Sphinx of Giza. When I was a small child my father - from whom I inherited my awe and fascination for such things - gave me a miniature, silver replica of the Sphinx which I still keep and treasure to this day. We knew the Sphinx was ancient, we knew it was exotic, we knew it was mysterious. But at that time there was little else that we did know about this most unique effigy.

History then was but a sham. Everything was all cut and dried. The world had been reduced to the two-dimensional cardboard that I have referred to before. Even out there on the very boundary of the infinite and unknowable, they had it all neatly compartmented. The Giza Plateau in Egypt was the site of the Sphinx as well as the Pyramids. The Sphinx itself was the creation of Chephren, whose tomb was also the Second Pyramid. The Sphinx was even sculpted in his likeness. What more evidence or proof could be required? And the whole complex dated from approximately five thousand years ago, that is, at the earliest beginning of man's civilization.

So it had been established and so it rested. Attention could be turned elsewhere. Case closed. Then problems arose. Newly discovered and deciphered inventory stelae showed that the Sphinx was already there and in existence by the time Chephren came on the scene. One quick eyeball glance at a statue positively identified as being that of Chephren, compared against the countenance of the Sphinx - even scarred as it is by Turkish artillery fire - leaves no doubt the two are in no way similar. Computerized, point-by-point comparison confirms an entirely different skull formation, revealing a different race of humanity completely.

While almost everyone has at least heard of the Sphinx, almost no one has heard of the temple complex immediately adjacent. While the Pyramids and the Sphinx share the Giza Plateau, the Sphinx and its complex lie at a lower level in the rock than the Pyramids. Indeed, even as the Pyramids are constructed atop the plateau, the Sphinx itself is hewn in one piece from out of the solid, living rock. Indications are that this work was only carried out by stages, at great intervals. And the stones of the temple complex dwarf anything used in the construction of the Pyramids.

The rule of thumb has since come to be this: The farther back into antiquity one goes, the bigger become the building blocks. This simple reality poses a disturbing suggestion. That the Sphinx and its complex are much older than the Pyramids and, beyond even that, all of preconceived history is set upon its ear.

Then one archeologist recently made another eyeball observation. To confirm a suspicion of his concerning the tremendous damage to the body of the Sphinx, he took a good, clear photograph, masked off the head and all identifying areas of the statue and presented this to a friend of his who was a geologist, asking the question: "What would account for

all of this erosion?"

The geologist answered, "Water." The masking was removed and the response was, "Oh."

Throughout most of the five thousand years which modern science will grudgingly admit to, the Sphinx has lain buried in the sand, protected absolutely from the ravages of wind or water in a harsh and unforgiving desert climate. Yet this same science now knows that the area of the Sahara was once green and lush. Ultra high-tech satellite photography has even been able to detect the former course of the Nile River, taking a hard left turn in the area of Egypt and heading west, across North Africa, toward the Atlantic.

Assembling all this data, unknown to science or archeology in the Fifties, and carefully estimating how long it would take for an atmosphere full of precipitation to work the kind of furrowing evident on the Sphinx and one arrives at the incredible figure of *fourteen thousand years prior to our own time.*

What my generation was taught goes instantly into the trash can.

Margin for error? Today's history and science still man the battlements of the "5000 year" assertion for the entire Giza Complex. We know the difference in age alone between the Pyramids and the Sphinx exceeds the bad guess of the total age of them all. Not even in the ballpark.

First lesson: How could it be possible for a body of trained professionals to be so badly wrong and still, despite all, continue to stand firm on their gross error? Well, we only know by this that it *does* happen. For our purposes it is best to bear firmly in mind that it *might* be happening elsewhere, with other "certainties".

From this point we can't even claim any certainties, only strong likelihoods based on the evidence and on logic.

A question that comes up concerning the Sphinx that I believe has an easy and obvious answer involves its head. Over this same five-thousand-year period we're sure of during which time the Sphinx has been mainly covered by sand, only the head has been partially exposed. And yet, upon comparison with the delapidated condition of the body, and even with the heavy vandalism of the Turks, the head is in remarkably good shape. Yet it's all one piece of rock. What could account for this?

Some have put forward that the head is composed of a much harder stratum of rock than the lower body. The best explanation, I believe, comes from once again making a simple eyeball observation of the complete Sphinx. Of course, the thing is supposed to be a creature with the head of a man and the body of a lion. However, when viewed in its totality from the side, the impression is badly out of proportion. The head is way too small. The artists who could produce such lifelike qualities confined to the head and on a scale comparable to that of Mount Rushmore would hardly have neglected the overall, natural symmetry of the whole. Unless...

Unless the head is a re-carving.

Any facial countenance having suffered the same weather

damage as seen on the body of the Sphinx would have been rendered unrecognizable. And certainly, for any re-carving to have taken place, a much smaller end product would have had to have been the result. There, I think, we have the answer to a minor mystery.

But closing the door on the easier, initial questions involving the Sphinx only opens the door to bigger and deeper ones.

That spot itself, the Giza Plateau, quite evidently was extremely sacred or special to an incredibly advanced and enduring civilization and for a period of time far in excess of the total allotted for all of civilization according to modern science. Observation again shows us that the site sits astride the crossroads of three continents, that it represents the veritable center of Earth's land mass. However, the ancients weren't supposed to even be capable of being aware of this fact. Or were they?

The Giza Complex is situated at thirty degrees longitude and thirty degrees northern latitude. The Sphinx rests on the thirtieth parallel, facing due east. Some thinkers on the subject point out that our own NASA chose Cape Canaveral because of its orientation to this same thirtieth parallel. Something atmospheric, something gravitational, something orbital. A coincidence? Could God have visited this spot at some point in extreme antiquity? Not exactly an occasion that would have been lightly tossed off by man of the time.

It was from ancient Egypt that the Greek traveller, Solon, acquired the story of Atlantis which was preserved for us by Plato. The priests of Egypt told Solon that their civilization had existed from a time even before the sun rose in the east. Mightn't the Sphinx have been created there as a marker and a monument to commemorate the sun's new place in the sky? And the face? "Ra", the Sun God himself. (Indeed, the traditional Egyptian headdress is designed to represent the rays of the sun.)

But the presence of an even older civilization to which Egypt was but an heir? The evidence suggests that Egypt was but the oldest colony of Atlantis and the one which most closely resembled itself. The idea of transferring the seat of a great world empire from a volcanic island in the throes of breaking up and to a spot selected strategically for its geological stability may have appeared sound on its face. But with geological stability came ethnic and cultural instability, Egypt being situated as it was at the crossroads of the world.

Though it required untold thousands of years, the racial decline of Egypt was as inexorable as it has been complete. Only mute witnesses now left out there in the sand.

The story did not end there, however. The spark of high civilization did not end there in the desert of Egypt. And neither did the Sphinx.

Coincidentally or not, our own recorded history corresponds roughly to the time-frame as covered in the pages of the Bible. Five thousand years. What are we actually

seeing in the story of the Exodus from Egypt? Could it actually have been the racial remnant of the former majority and the heirs of Atlantis seen fighting for its genetic and biological - not to mention cultural and spiritual - life in the only way it could, by physically extricating itself from a hopelessly sinking racial and religious morass? Removing itself to an area it could claim as exclusively its own, where the belief system could also undergo a purification, separating superstition from truth.

Whatever the case, the Sphinx went with the Israelites, now referred to as *Cherubim* in the Hebrew language, now also with wings and covered with gold, as a pair of them graced the lid of the Ark of the Covenant. The actual inspiration, the real significance? We may never know. But it has directly to do with God, the Creator.

Inside of a scant millennium, the Kingdom of Israel fell to pieces for exactly the same reasons that Egypt had fallen. The location, not only the crossroads of continents but of races. Contamination through contact. The loss of purity of belief followed by the loss of purity of blood. Then complete collapse and confusion.

Another lesson from the Sphinx: However great the symbolism of the Sphinx, or *Cherub*, and regardless how shattering and eternal its original inspiration, we may be sure no such creature ever existed in reality. It is representative of man's religion itself. Something confused and allegorical, mythologized, already well down the road to superstition, without vital meaning. Where real understanding is missing, one may be certain that deterioration, however gradual it may be, and death are to be the inevitable result.

The bearers of high civilization, the bearers of God's covenant, fled the Mideast just as they had fled Egypt and Atlantis before that. Into Europe and then retracing old steps around the entire world. All along the way over the two-thousand-year course of Western Civilization they have carried the story and the warning with them in the pages of the Bible but somehow being incapable of making the critical connection between those seeming "fairy tales" and the literal, flesh-and-blood world they were now facing.

Following the Napoleonic expedition into Egypt only two hundred years ago, we have dug the Sphinx out of the sands which had suffocated it for longer than anyone can know. We have learned to read again the lost language of the ancient Egyptians. We have unearthed the incredibly lifelike images of their kings and queens which look uncannily and eerily like ourselves.

Meanwhile, a strange and alien population who now own the land of Egypt, who are in no remote way related to the ancient Egyptians, much less those who first carved the Sphinx, are selling tickets to foreign tourists who come from around the world to marvel at the great wonders. And back home, in lands these White tourists tentatively own, the process of mixing and of confusion is underway again. And the big "certainty" pushed by the "experts" - essentially the same crowd who tell us the Sphinx is no more than five

thousand years old - is that it is just fine to cross-breed and that there are no differences between breeds of humans apart from mere color of skin.

Would that the Sphinx could speak to us and reveal its secret.

As the Earth first began to settle and form its atmosphere, there was but one sea and one land. That land mass is referred to by science today as *Pangea*. As the Earth continued to cool, with volcanic activity going on miles beneath the surface, *Pangea* began to break up, dividing and separating into continents.

The geological record shows that great tracts of high ground today were once part of the ocean floor. How could any intelligent individual possibly imagine that the exact reverse is not also the case? Illustrative of the even now "unquiet" Earth are the examples of the Indian Subcontinent having literally rammed into the bottom of Asia, pushing up the Himalayan Mountains as a result, and the Great Rift Valley of East Africa which is slowly pulling apart, eventually to give rise to yet a new land mass in the distant future.

Looking at any map of the world, one can easily see exactly how the east coasts of North and South America once had fit into the west coasts of Europe and Africa. As snugly as a jigsaw puzzle but with one salient flaw. The area defined as the Caribbean and Sargasso Seas, including what has become known as *the Bermuda Triangle*, comprises what amounts to an anomaly in the geography. Here is a gap, an area which does not "fit".

Before his death in 1945, the famous "*Sleeping Prophet*", Edgar Cayce, predicted that the first bit of Atlantis to be rediscovered would be found in 1948 off the island of Bimini. Right on cue, the "*Bimini Wall*" was discovered in shallow, crystal clear waters off the island's coast in 1968. The professional skeptics dismiss it as a "natural formation" despite the fact that the massive stones of the fallen wall or road are each cut at all right angles. Furthermore, they are of the same huge "*Cyclopean*" variety common to the massive structures still standing in both hemispheres.

Be that as it may, this was not the actual location of the homeland of Atlantis. Still relying on any good, contemporary map, one can transpose the Caribbean anomaly across the waters to where the two land masses would have joined and note what is there today. Again, a really good map will reveal the great, submerged *Atlantis Seamount*. Any map at all will show the Canary Islands, Madeira and the Azores. Here are the peaks of the highest mountains of Atlantis.

A little further east, in North Africa, are located the Atlas Mountains. Returning to the Western Hemisphere, there are the ancient Indian legends of a lost homeland called "*Aztlan*" or "*Atlanua*". We inherited the name "Atlantis" from the ancient Greek of Plato whose tale places it just outside of and beyond the "Pillars of Hercules", or what is known today as the Strait of Gibraltar, separating Spain from Morocco, and pointing the way directly to the Azores, the Canaries and Madeira, etc.

The Spaniards, as they were just embarking on their explorations after 1492, came upon the Canary Islands and found them inhabited by tall, blond, blue-eyed people who called themselves "Guanches". And this in a place just off the coast of North Africa. They conveyed to the Spaniards that they were the descendants of shepherds who'd been tending their flocks high in the mountains of a once great land when, suddenly, the whole world seemingly came to an end. Unfortunately, that fragile remnant of Atlanteans, together with their language, was quickly assimilated by the Spaniards with next to no trace remaining today apart from some royal burial sites and dwellings carved out of solid rock.

What the Bimini site actually represents is but one small fragment of a formerly vast, far-flung empire of which Atlantis had been the center.

To gauge approximately the antiquity of all this, we can pick up again with Plato. He gained his source information from one even ahead of himself, Solon, who'd travelled to Egypt and talked with its priests, the keepers of the wisdom and the history. Egypt itself, as Solon was told, had been in existence from before the sun had risen in the eastern sky. In fact, Egypt had been but the inheritor of an even greater, far older civilization, now vanished, that had laid far to the west.

The sudden and inexplicable appearance of "Cro-Magnon Man" in Western Europe thirty thousand years ago coincided with one more of the major geological disturbances which accounted for the on-going break-up of Atlantis. The "Flood of Noah" which signalled the end of the last Ice Age, probably also saw the final destruction of Atlantis as the seat of science and civilization. With the melting of the ice cap, the mean sea level around the world rose by approximately six hundred feet, further drowning what anti-Deluvian civilizations as there may have been.

That date would have been at least ten thousand years ago.

This in no way matches up with current science and history which claim that civilization of any kind has only been around for five thousand years at most. They like to claim that Plato's account is the only reference there is anywhere to Atlantis and that it was written as a piece of fiction. But of course the case for Atlantis is everywhere, all about us.

Other detractors say, that perhaps Atlantis was really the tiny island of Santorini in the Aegean Sea, destroyed by a volcanic eruption about 1400 B.C. Still others offer a theory that Atlantis was really Troy, located in north-western Turkey, and itself dismissed as only a legend a century ago. Yet others, that Atlantis was located on Antarctica before the ice.

Why the reluctance to simply believe the account as it was written by Plato?

Dr. Robert Ballard, the man who found the *Titanic* and the *Bismarck*, now has announced that the technology currently

exists to enable man to explore 98% of the ocean's floor. Any government in control anywhere, with any pretenses to scientific advancement, would immediately leap onto this opportunity with the watchword: "Find Atlantis!"

A far different realm than the Atlantic is the Pacific Ocean. The former green, the latter blue. The Atlantic is nowhere nearly as large as the Pacific. And while the great expanses of the Atlantic contain relatively few islands, the Pacific is particularly noted for its island chains, among them *Micronesia*, *Melanesia* and *Polynesia* in the South Pacific.

Collectively, these groupings too made up the highest elevations of a truly *lost continent*, much bigger than Atlantis, known in more recent times as "*Lumuria*" or "*Mu*". We have no clue as to what name its original inhabitants may have called it, the name "*Lemuria*" having been given it to by modern scientists who pondered the presence of ring-tailed lemurs on such divergent and utterly isolated spots. It was their conclusion that these islands must at one time have been joined.

Strange that the same science does an astounding about-face regarding the native populations of these scattered islands. Dark brown, practically Negroid, very primitive and, until very lately, cannibalistic. It is assumed that they each got to where they are by boat. *But from where?*

The determination to ignore the overwhelming evidence that mankind and civilization are far older than previously supposed gives rise to an equally erroneous and even dangerous misconception: That this civilization is the *only* one and that it represents an unbroken, uphill climb from the dawn of man, a scant five thousand years ago.

This denies the phenomenon of *cycles of civilization*, of the rise and fall of mankind, and would certainly rule out any consideration as to the *cause and effect* of these cycles. The familiar saying which has it that those who are ignorant of the past shall be doomed to repeat it, is well borne out as we look about us today.

Egypt as it appears in our history was already past its apex and well into its decline. This doesn't add up. The Cro-Magnons, essentially billed by science today as "cavemen", would be more accurately viewed as *refugees* from a devastated and dying homeland which had borne a high civilization: Atlantis.

Disrupted and disoriented for the moment by this cataclysm, our very own forebears off Atlantis had come by dire necessity to displace in Europe one more dark, primitive and cannibalistic race of human types, the *Neanderthals*. Others had already been long-established in the more hospitable climates of the Mediterranean.

While on the other side of the globe, in the area formerly occupied by Lemuria, no such racial displacement ever occurred. From the dawn of time until well into the Twentieth Century, the inhabitants have remained almost exactly what they began as at Creation.

Not only an upheaval of nature can be pin-pointed by

all of this but the epicenter of the source of all
civilization can be determined by tracing back the enduring
ripples.

All the way back to Atlantis.



The house on Harvard Avenue in Pueblo, Colorado, where the author lived from March through October of 1998. The front picture window marks the author's room.

The modern age of empire was certainly entering full swing by the time Napoleon won his famous Battle of the Pyramids late in the Eighteenth Century. A hundred years later, just as the Twentieth Century was about to dawn, practically the whole Earth had been divided up between the powers of Europe and their kin in America. Fifty years after that, it was all beginning to break up and fall back to what it had been.

A tremendous cycle seen in an incredibly short span of time.

Something hand-in-hand with this great progression of expansion and colonization, even conquest, had been present there from the beginning with Napoleon and his troops: His savants. Beside military occupation was the close examination of the ancient ruins of a lost but obviously high civilization there in the sand. It was Napoleon's expedition that was responsible for discovering the Rosetta Stone, thus enabling modern science to begin to decipher the lost language of the Egyptians: Hieroglyphics.

Always from that point onward, on the heels of the conqueror or explorer, came the archeologist. To this day, the familiar caricature of the usually British explorer-scientist lives strongly on within the popular culture. No accident, for the tiny island nation had, by 1900, come to rule over fully one-fourth of the land surface of the world and dominating all of the oceans in between.

The image of the European explorer digging, sifting, measuring, translating, preserving at all these strange and forlorn spots across the Earth. An odd but consistent circumstance in each case: Always there is a native population surrounding or nearby and yet these ruins stand abandoned, a mystery, a remnant of a literally lost civilization. Why was it left up to outsiders - invaders from Europe - to ponder and investigate these forsaken monuments all over the globe?

Is our modern conception of history really so narrow that we are unable to imagine previous cycles to rival that of the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries? Not only that but cycles of infinitely greater duration.

An image unknown is that of a Third World man of science probing Europe for lost civilization signs. Is it a happenstance or could one, in the careful consideration of this curious contradiction, open the door to the answer to all these mysteries of the past?

If the terrific speed of the cycle just past may serve for anything, then it should be as a lesson in a bottle for us today of how fleeting, how fragile greatness can be. How vulnerable and susceptible we are to losing our way, forgetting who and what we are, and to vanishing completely, leaving only giant works of stone behind as clues to a seemingly insoluble mystery.

Every bit of it recorded in the most meticulous detail.

beyond any question or doubt, is the story of how we burst out of tiny and craggy Europe to conquer and overspread the Earth after 1492, bringing culture and civilization with us as we came. Then the momentum stopped and deterioration began to set in. Now in most of these far-flung areas there remain languages, boundaries, cities, some technology, even a semblance of religion, etc., but essentially the jungle has begun to reclaim once again.

From the very pinnacle to at least the point where the nadir can be clearly seen, all within the span of a single century. No thinking person can possibly imagine that it hasn't happened before, at least once.

The evidence is there and is today being grudgingly, piecemeal, admitted by mainstream science. At a snail's pace, so as not to disturb the general sleep. So as not to awaken anyone to the perilous situation we have allowed ourselves to fall into by not fully knowing the past.

Pointless to argue for or against the reality of Atlantis. Officialdom has yet to yield to the inescapable likelihood of that. No matter. One tiny piece to the puzzle, a jolting peek behind the curtain of the initial blind view of history that largely still endures, involves the indisputable water erosion on the body of the Sphinx of Egypt which enables it to be more accurately dated to *fourteen thousand years before the present time*. This doesn't fit the preconception but it does fit the greater reality.

We didn't begin our journey some scant five thousand years ago, as school books still parrot. Nor are we late comers to the field of civilization, as modern-day myth-makers like to insist, pointing to Africa and Asia. We only began to awaken out of a long slumber in that short span. We forget to consider the greater part of our story was but a dream locked somewhere in our subconscious. Yet, as sure as we may know the line of succession has remained unbroken from the time of creation, we may be certain that we were there.

What is the passage of time other than a trail of footprints leading from one place to another? It certainly is not isolated chambers suspended independently of one another, frozen. And the footprints themselves? Traces of former dwelling, previous civilizations, or what may remain of them. Like digging in old barrels and trunks in basement or attic for cast off souvenirs of former lives and fashions. What was once common and practical, later was junk, and still later became valuable artifact. It's a poor tracker who can't recognize his own footprints.

It's made easier when one realizes that if these footprints are represented by monumental structures which have withstood the test of time, then it can only be the trail of one species: *Ourselves*.

Ourselves? Europeans? Americans? Shall we regard ourselves according to where we may have happened to call home at a certain moment in time? An "American" of the present time might encompass anything and a "European" of over thirty thousand years ago would have meant a Neanderthal type. All subject to change. Instead, let us know ourselves

according to what we are and what we *do* rather than when or where we may do it.

Out of Egypt then, back on the far fringe of time at the earliest beginning of the present cycle which history does acknowledge, came two migrations: One calling itself *Aryan* and the other one *Hebrew*. The former one meaning *Nobles* and the latter meaning *Colonizers*. That's much more like it as it goes well above time and place. Look for nobility, look for colonization and you'll always find the same people.

Forgetfulness, yes. But at the same time the intrinsic capability to *return*. No other people on this planet has this trait. For the rest there are no cycles. If not for the Nobles, the Colonizers, and their influence one age would be no different than any other for the rest. Time itself would be meaningless. No history. No development. *No destiny*.

To the Hebrews was handed a message by one claiming to be their Father from off Earth, who told them their whole past and whole future, lest they forget. They were even told in the message that they *would forget* but that it would not alter their destiny. And this Father, himself a great colonizer, said that at the end of the long trail he himself would return.

A strange people now vanished? Hardly. The message is the Bible and the Hebrews are ourselves. Don't be fooled by shifts in time and place. The footprints don't lie.

Planted here untold millennia ago to compass the Earth until we at last came to meet ourselves. With super-technology almost worthy of the gods themselves. With the realization that these super-civilizations of the past are our own. And with the imminent, deadly danger of the light going out over all the Earth for the final time, it is time for the great instigator to make his reappearance.

This science now is all but convinced that there has to exist somewhere on the plateau of Giza - perhaps hidden within the Great Pyramid, perhaps near the right paw of the Sphinx - a record, a compliment to the Bible, that will unlock all the "seals" contained in *Revelation*. Technology equal to "magic" will make its discovery possible. My guess however: By the time such discovery is made, the events as described in *Revelation* will already be enacting themselves. All in a great cycle.

"In that day shall there be an altar to the Lord in the midst of the land of Egypt, and a pillar at the border there of to the Lord." Isaiah 19:19.

CONQUERED
by Robert Burns

As a young novice at this, all the way up into middle age, I had wondered and agonized whether there existed any historic parallel with these times we're in so as to be able to perhaps draw some lesson or hope from it. It was in vain until I first carefully read the Old Testament.

The average person will not make the connection which is in the Bible between ancient Israel in its dying days and the United States - indeed all of Western Civilization - in the present. The signs, the symptoms, all shockingly the same for those with any awareness. Unique were it not for each other. Unmistakable. Yet those equally agonized, painfully detailed lamentations are no more than one archaic word piled upon another for most. Mainly, the message can't make it off the pages and into the minds of and for whom it was written.

Why? Besides the ever-present "*that was then and this is now*" fallacy of thought, perhaps the primary reason is the deceptively, overly obvious circumstances of Israel having been militarily conquered, overrun by its outside enemies and its population having been deported enmasse. Nothing like that has occurred here, correct? No historic application, right? Just this very kind of subtlety and intricacy, whether in understanding the Bible or comprehending the present, is what stands in the way of the vast majority, keeping them from taking any message of value either from the Bible or from history in general.

First, it must always be borne in mind - exactly as history, science, archeology and anthropology confirm to us - that we, modern-day Anglo-Saxons, are Israel. A people of endless migration and colonization. When we study the Bible, we study ourselves in another time and another place, by another name. Still, the same blood and because of this, the patterns, the traits, the strengths and weaknesses are the same. And due to this, the fate and the destiny - the prophecies - concerning Israel are ours as well, not only in the past but in the present and future. Were it not so, the Bible for us would be no more than one more volume of curious lore.

Those people did not drop off the face of the planet nor were they bred out of existence: *We are they!*

Second, just as our old political movement taught, "our race is our fatherland", or "our race is our nation". Israel was Israel even while still inside Egypt, centuries before establishing its own geographic boundaries. After Israel went into captivity and subsequently migrated into Western Europe, that was the end of the working concept of "Israel" just as the Bible indicated it would be. Same people but now with a new location, in a new time, with a new name. Labels and venues change, blood does not. The "Israel" now in the Middle East is nothing but a counterfeit as John in *Revelation* says, "...those who say they are Jews but who are not."

Third, people from Northwestern Europe - practically an exclusive concentration of Tribes of Israel - came to these

shores and established for themselves the United States (identified in prophecy as *Manasseh*). Precisely as in the taking of the land of Canaan, these pioneers fought fierce battles with the darker natives to drive them out of the land little by little. God had commanded Israel to leave nothing remaining of the original inhabitants, to have no dealings whatever with alien populations, to not give our daughters or take their daughters, to not permit "strangers" to be in power over us, to not worship other gods - lest he do to us what he intended to do to them.

This is all specific reference to racial and cultural integrity.

Then as now, the society and the government went multi-racial and multi-cultural. The very abomination God had warned us about. He was just as specific as to what the consequences of this kind of transgression would be. They were upon us then just as they are upon us now.

Four hundred years in Egypt, four hundred years under judges, four hundred years under kings. Then captivity. By comparison, events have been proceeding here at a lightening pace.

So what a time-frame a little slower or a little faster? So what a location in North America instead of the Middle East? So what any physical-political boundaries if the people who created them for themselves now find them to contain a racial morass? So what any manner of government if it has fallen into the hands of our enemies - exactly as warned of and described in the Bible?

Evidence strongly suggests that we founded Egypt in its beginnings and peopled it and guided it through its time of greatness. Then we forgot ourselves, lost control and wound up as strangers and outcasts ourselves, having to literally fight our way out of there and take up new stations in a land of our own - again. It has repeated and repeated right up to the present time.

Conquered? So what if a creeping, invading enemy sees fit to retain the outward form of the nationhood he first came to infiltrate and subvert for his own ends? If most can't see or comprehend this and instead imagine this "New Babylon" to still be the nation of their fathers, does it make any difference as to the working reality? Or does it make the job of the hidden subverters that much smoother? Of course, only if you can't see it is it going to overtake you. That's the irony - the blindness and the helplessness - which is the thread constant throughout the Bible and it is the same elusive evil which has the same people by the throat today.

"Committing whoredoms." From the very first slippage, the very first compromise which has led to the making of a mockery of this nation and its government from the previous century to the present, when practically the entire people embraces every literal abomination as their custom and way of life - brazenly - just as in the days of the Old Testament - the cycle is seen to be completed again, here and now. But so what if no power within or without is capable of going up

against the *Beast System* which has made of the United States its citadel?

Worse than conquered. Unconsciously enlisted into the army of Satan. Still awaiting to confront a Red Devil with pointed tail, hooves and horns that will never materialize. Vigilant for some foreign, Third World enemy - some external "Anti-Christ" who'll never come to attack these shores. Confident in their "democratic" form of government. Proud and satisfied with their "Christian converts" from among the very people God forbade having any contact with.

On guard against various forms of "witches" and assorted weirdoes that are but natural responses to a society sick to death and in decay. "Sorcery" as warned against in the Bible for the last days. They'd surely spot something like that if it made its appearance here in the modern age, wouldn't they? One more slip in translation. It refers directly to a society overrun and consumed by narcotics. Five percent of the world's population and yet the United States accounts for over one half of the world's drug use.

The identifying marks not only of the people but of the place and the times are there. Take it or leave it.

So it would then follow logically that should your conqueror himself be conquered, you might be liberated. Back to *Revelation*. There is no earthly force to go up against the Beast now in control. Read the words of it carefully and don't take it from me that this liberating power can only come from off Earth. And it is very real, very physical.

Why any such intervention? For the best, most easily understood of reasons. As it reads, not because we number many but because we number fewest. Not because we deserve it. for the Bible chides us as being "stiff-necked people, hard of heart and with brows of solid brass" who have blown chance after chance, but for very personal reasons. God told Israel, "*I chose you, you didn't choose me.*"

So much for the prevailing belief system. This is real, with a mind of its own. It is a *personal* thing. An investment in care, a colonization from space, a matter of blood. Concerning a supreme insult which has gone too far for too long, about to receive its final answer.

A parent coming to rescue - and to chastise - his delinquent child and to once and for all set the house in order.

The clash has been on for decades between revisionists and the System media "kept" historians as to the nature and extent of those happenings in Europe during the Second World War generically known as "The Holocaust".

Especially since the collapse of the Soviet Union and the sudden availability of captured concentration camp records previously inaccessible, scholars have been able to verify that the true scope of the concentration camp deaths is but a fraction of what was previously claimed.

For its part, the "P.C." System and its branch offices represented by the respective governments of the nations around the world, has been busy passing more laws preventing research and discussion of the subject even while more and more "Holocaust Museums" have been created.

It is only certain that *something* happened there and then, that it focused upon Jews and that, apparently, the Nazi Germans were responsible for it. Questions that have never been satisfactorily answered include why it happened, along with exactly who gave the order for it, when, where and how?

The troubling and frustrating thing about it is that eventhough the Third Reich period in Germany represents the single most well-documented era in all of recorded history and that virtually all of those archives were captured by the Allies at the close of the War, not a single clue has yet surfaced as to the identity of the person or persons who actually gave the order for the Holocaust to commence.

We may be certain also that should any document of this nature exist or be discovered at any time in the future, it would instantaneously become world news. That no such thing is known of or has been revealed to date tends to fuel the revisionist argument that no actual "Holocaust" ever took place - at least not in the way or according to the scale we have been told.

And still, over fifty years after the conclusion of the War, we have endless, even *growing* numbers of "survivors" from the death camps stepping forward with their first-person tales of terror. Usually these stories involve that individual's entire family having been sent to the gas chambers. Most lately, we are hearing of how these survivors are demanding back the considerable fortunes previously deposited by them in Swiss banks, presumably with interest.

In trying to unravel and hopefully make sense of this mystery we have the central character of Adolf Hitler. If we are to believe the history books, Hitler was born a loser, coming from a dysfunctional family, having no talent or ability, who drifted as a bum, who harbored an insane and totally illogical hatred of Jews and who was eventually appointed by the President of Germany to head the government of the world's most sophisticated and advanced nation, whereupon he attempted to conquer the world and annihilate all the Jews.

Yet, even with all that solid scholarship, we are left without hard evidence for a *prima facie* case of premeditated mass murder.

On the other end of the issue we have the people known as Jews. Only that they were present upon the scene, that they were somehow distinguishably different from the rest of the citizenry and that Hitler hated them above all else is the extent of what the books tell us. Sounds crazy as hell.

Some revisionist investigation will show that if one can elevate their attention off the mass of little Jews languishing in these camps, they can see that their brethren, the big 'Jews, first were in control of the capital and the press of the advanced nations of the world and, second, that they also accounted for the leadership of the movement known as Communism and made up the government of the then Soviet Union.

That it was their control over the governments of the peoples that hosted them, that it was their Communist revolution inside Germany that cost that nation World War One and delivered it into the slavery of the Versailles Treaty, and that it was their creation - the Soviet Union - which was casting its menacing shadow over all of Europe may partially explain some of Hitler's attitudes toward the Jews.

With regard to the camps themselves, the United States did precisely the same thing with its Japanese population after Pearl Harbor. Entire families were relocated - "concentrated" - in detention centers far inland, without regard for civil liberties or personal property. The reason was national security. In the event of a Japanese invasion, it was felt these people might form a "Fifth Column" behind the lines. With the hard lesson of World War One still burning in their memories, and being at war now with the Soviet Union - a "Jewish plantation", as some have called it - the Germans simply were taking no chances.

But with all that we still have no "smoking gun" that it was Adolf Hitler who ordered the Holocaust.

It takes some real investigation but it is possible to locate the key document and pin-point the identity of the author behind the idea of the Holocaust.

Since the Nazi files have been combed out and thoroughly exhausted, we need to quit barking up that tree and begin looking elsewhere. Obviously, a second look at the principle players is required. When regarding the Jews, one logical place to begin might be the Bible.

The average person might be shocked to learn that no mention at all of Jews is made in the Bible until Second Kings, almost halfway through the entire text. In the Book of Exodus likewise, no mention is made of Jews. Only Egyptians versus Israelites. Later, after the Israelites have moved into Canaan, it becomes them versus Canaanites, i.e., Amorites, Hittites, Perizzites, Hivites, Jebusites, etc.

It is utterly critical to be aware that, one, these people were known in the Bible as Edomites and, two, that the people we recognize today as "Jews" - even as they themselves admit - are these self same Edomites. Furthermore, that the

actual Tribes of Israel - Judah, Issachar, Zebulun, Reuben, Simeon, Gad, Benjamin, Dan, Asher, Naphtali, Ephraim and Manasseh - following their reverses in the area of the Middle East, migrated across the Caucasus Mountains in the centuries B.C., becoming known as "Caucasians", or today's modern Celts or Anglo-Saxons.

The God of Israel, as quoted in Exodus, said, "My angel will go ahead of you and bring you into the land of the Amorites, Hittites, Perizzites, Canaanites, Hivites and Jebusites, and I will wipe them out."

"I will hand over to you the people who live in the land and you will drive them out before you. Do not make a covenant with them or with their gods. Do not let them live in your land, or they will cause you to sin against me, because the worship of their gods will certainly be a snare unto you."

God, as quoted in Deuteronomy, said, "...and when the Lord your God has delivered them over to you and you have defeated them, then you must destroy them totally. Make no treaty with them, and show them no mercy. Do not intermarry with them. Do not give your daughters to their sons or take their daughters for your sons, for they will turn your sons away from following me to serve other gods, and the Lord's anger will burn against you and will quickly destroy you. This is what you are to do to them: Break down their altars, smash their sacred stones, cut down their Asherah poles and burn their idols in the fire."

"...do not leave alive anything that breathes. Completely destroy them - the Hittites, Amorites, Canaanites, Perizzites, Hivites and Jebusites - as the Lord your God has commanded you."

Hitler - whatever else he may have been - was the only man who had the ability to awaken and unite the broken and scattered German states, or tribes, and lead them into retaking control of their land from the International Jews which had taken it over. Quite an unprecedented accomplishment and the actual reason Hitler is most hated today by the Jewish media. They can't tell you this and so it must be the "Holocaust".

But as to who actually ordered the Holocaust...
Look to God.

FROM EXODUS TO ESTHER:
THE SUDDEN APPEARANCE OF THE JEWS

by Robert Burns

Few things are shrouded deeper in mystery than who and what Jews are. Most people just go through life without giving this a second thought. So-called "Anti-Semites" are generally found to be expert at pointing out the degree of Jewish influence within countries where their percentage of the population doesn't warrant it. Seldom, if ever, is their nature and origin effectively nailed down. Still, most would assume that the Bible must hold the answer and in that they are correct - though in a way next to no one would suspect.

Taking a time-frame from the period of the Exodus, about 1500 B.C., to the period immediately post Captivity, about 500 B.C., roughly one thousand years, and we are surprised to see Jews spring into being within the pages of the Bible. It should not be necessary to point out that an awful lot can take place within the span of a millennium, especially in an area as turbulent as the Near East. But the appearance of an entire people, seemingly from out of nowhere?

However, it might be well to emphasize that some things are so obvious and yet can be obscured, buried in a collection of works as ponderous as the Bible, to the point that they are overlooked.

In starting off this examination of possibly the strangest tale ever told, it is essential to establish what the Bible was and is, especially regarding the Old Testament. It is the history of the people of Israel. Nothing more, nothing less. The God of the Bible makes it plain that he is the God of Israel and of no one else. The laws set down in the Bible are intended for Israel and no one else. The prophecies given in the Bible concern the nation of Israel and none other. Very sorry if this upsets or disillusions anyone but the Bible and its message are highly exclusive. That the modern perversion of it represents it as being a "club" anyone can join by merely "believing" has been responsible for its losing its relevance and potency.

The full emphasis revolves around genealogy and how to conduct the lives and affairs of the nation so that it might prosper on the Earth, not in some "hereafter". Matters from breaking free from alien oppression, to invading and conquering a land for itself, to God's own command to expel or slaughter the native inhabitants - "lest I do to you what I intend to do to them" - to unceasing wars with surrounding, hostile nations, to a stringent set of laws governing everything from state affairs to personal conduct. Ancient history, to be sure. Religion, practically not at all.

By the time of the Exodus the Hebrews were known as Israel. "Ruling With God". The term "Jews" is to be found nowhere. According to the Biblical tale, it was easily apparent to distinguish between Egyptians and Israelites. This would indicate a racial difference. Relying upon the

paramount significance given to genealogy and birth, the conclusion would be that the Hebrews, or Israel, had not succumbed to racial admixture as had done the broader masses of Egyptians.

Though the Israelites numbered in the range of three million inside Egypt and made up the most valuable and productive segment of the population, the trends were such as to make it imperative that they extricate themselves from that milieu for the sake of their ethnic and cultural integrity. However, as it is well-known, the Egyptian establishment of that day could not afford to let this segment go for to do so would signal the end of Egypt as any thing viable.

Nevertheless, equally well-known, the Israelites did, after much confrontation, succeed in departing enmasse from out of the midst of the Egyptians, going on to seize militarily a land of their own - Canaan - which actually was a north-eastern province of Egypt. The Bible mentions that, along with the camps of the Israelites, came a "mixed multitude". Would this not suggest that the bulk of the Israelites were indubitably *unmixed*?

It required forty years of wandering in the Sinai Desert for them to raise a fresh generation which was clean of the corrupting influence of their past Egyptian involvement before they were capable of taking their new land. And though God specifically instructed them to spare no one they found among the inhabitants so that their Egyptian experience would not repeat itself, they nonetheless did permit a great many of these to exist among them.

A veritable national renaissance took place in the new nation of Israel, now free of overwhelming alien presence as well as a corrupt government and values based in superstition.

The Twelve Tribes were combined in a United Kingdom. Great wealth, military prowess and a great but little-known colonization was centered upon tiny Palestine. However, hand-in-hand with this success was gradual corruption anew affecting politics, morals and the racial make-up of the place. Eventually resulting in division and civil war.

Totally debased, divided against itself, making alliances with former enemies, etc., ultimately all were conquered and deported by Assyrians and Babylonians. Now numbering some ten million individuals, the ten tribes that had comprised Israel in the north and the two tribes of Judah in the south became displaced.

History tells us that the Assyrians were supplanted by the Babylonians who, in turn, were eclipsed by the Persians to the east. The Persians looked favorably upon the Israelites and made it possible for as many of them as desired to return to Jerusalem. Fewer than fifty thousand did, mostly of the tribes of Judah and Benjamin.

The Book of Ezra places great stress upon the fact that many of those returning had taken "strange wives" during the decades of captivity, some producing "strange children" as a result. As a cornerstone of the national revival, an official

sorting out and purging of these "strangers" was undertaken. It was at last understood and acknowledged that this had been a large part of what had destroyed the Kingdom in the first place.

However, irreversible damage had been done not just through this but by the former conquerors having imported other aliens into the lands that were vacated by Israelites. These outsiders who were there to greet the returning pilgrims were more of those whom God had given the command to wipe out, collectively known as Edomites.

For such a thing to occur within a nation's boundaries means for that nation to effectively cease to exist, or at least to now exist as something other than what it formerly had been. Consider forced and general conversion to the prevailing religion within the area once roughly called "Judah" and you get "Jews". Someone who might be of pure Israelite blood or - just as likely - one containing a percentage of the blood of Judah, one living on the parcel of land now known as Judea, one professing the belief then emerging as "Judaism", or any combination thereof.

At any rate, a thoroughly mixed lot. Similar in many ways to what has befallen American society in the present era. No longer the "land of their fathers".

One's thoughts logically turn to the balance of that original ten million that entered captivity and their eventual fate because this is just as key as understanding how Jews first came about.

We also know that as the scattered tribes of Israel were passing out of history about 700 B.C., the "barbarians" were entering history. Having mainly forgotten who and what they were, but at the same time being purified of the alien presence of foreign blood and foreign ideas, they fought their way out of the Near East, up and over the Caucasus Mountains (whence they got to be known as "Caucasian") and into Europe, evolving new names and new languages for themselves as Celts, Jutes, Gauls, Goths and Anglo-Saxons, etc.

Most but not all left captivity by these two means. As evidenced by the Book of Esther, a great many "Jews" had by now settled in Persia. The Jewish Festival of Purim celebrates the events as contained in Esther.

One hearkens back to the story in Exodus and is struck by the almost complete contrast. Having infiltrated Persian society, the Jews have monopolized power and wealth to such an extent as to alarm good and patriotic Persians. An attempt was made to break this grip over their national workings but it was foiled by "Queen Esther" who had seduced the Persian king and turned him against his own loyal ministers, resulting in a great slaughter.

Remember that the Israelites would not remain in an alien land under any circumstances and fought to achieve independence. Jews, on the other hand, were quite willing to resort to subversion and mass murder in order to maintain their grip over a host society, to assume the form of internal parasites. There the difference has been achieved.

Total and complete. An entirely different people.

After the Persians came the Greeks and then the Romans. Then the Diaspora, the Dispersion mainly into Europe and, in short, the tale of Esther is repeated again and again for the next two thousand years. Except not Persians but the actual Tribes of Israel were being preyed upon now. Finding no unity, just as prophesized, they each one founded a modern European state and, often in spite of one another, also as in prophesy, they built Western Civilization and conquered the world. But the parasites were within.

The period of history known as the Renaissance was when these Jews were mainly banished from the nations of the West and a spurt in art, science and social progress was experienced.

The saga of Germany, Adolf Hitler, the Third Reich and the Second World War is but the most recent playing-out of this seemingly never-ending cycle. A "neo-biblical" myth has already been built by the Jews around those events, known as the "Holocaust". No six million Jews died but at least that many Germans did for trying to free themselves and their country from alien, Jewish domination.

Those in control of the governments of those countries surrounding Germany - themselves Jews, partially Jewish or married to Jews as with Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin - arranged to precipitate a general war, to invade and destroy Germany, to deport many of its people, to divide its lands between themselves and to murder by hanging those German leaders as they could capture - exactly the way the Persian patriot, Haman, along with his sons, was hanged. And it was scheduled to coincide with the Festival of Purim.

As "pagans" and "barbarians", we - being Israel - not only left behind our garbage, we left behind our former "Promised Land" as well as the truths of our former Temple Religion. All this was solidly in the hands of racial aliens, of "Jews". A remnant of Israel remained in Palestine, some of Judah and some of Benjamin, and suffered in the midst of an alien racial majority and under an alien racial rule, with the belief system utterly perverted.

Then the New Testament and the appearance of Jesus of Nazareth. A man of the Tribe of Judah whose bloodline had not been compromised as emphasized in the Gospels by the presentation of his genealogy both frontwards and backwards. He made it plain he was come to reenforce the Law of the Old Testament, he said he was "not sent but unto the Lost Sheep of the House of Israel", and he confronted the Jews of his day, telling them that they were "of their father, the Devil".

The Jews succeeded in getting the occupying Romans to murder Jesus for them but not before he had enlightened enough followers so as to be able to get his purified version of the Law up into the hands of the "Lost Tribes". Whatever else anyone may attach to his significance, this much is certain and provides all the basis needed for the enduring Jewish hatred of this man.

One thousand years following his death, Israel

temporarily was able to retake the Holy Land, by now occupied by Moslem Turks. That military effort failed after only a century and now even the faith itself imparted to us so long ago has once again become debased as we find ourselves again overrun by these and other aliens.

Not a bit of this is "religious". It is all racial. Perhaps by knowing this you might be prepared to take your part in the upcoming resolution of the final cycle.

Recently it was announced that a study was going to be launched in order to discover whether there is some genetic cause behind racism. Apparently the motivation behind this study is the failure of State integration programs, re-education and media exposure to bring about a hoped-for end to racism. We are able to state right now that, yes, there is a definite genetic cause for racism and we will take time to explore it here.

First, one must step back and consider what different races are and how they originated before any real understanding of racism or its cure can be reached. Obviously, if something is genetic then it is going to be very deep-seated.

Science differs on how separate races of man appeared. The theory most in favor today is that we all evolved from one common ancestor, assuming our distinct appearances and cultures from having developed in widely divergent locales. A second theory has it that each of the primary races arose separately, independently. Of the two, the evidence of both biology and religion supports the latter.

The way certain diseases affect different races, either to varying degrees or exclusively, strongly tends to indicate that there is more than the color of skin to racial difference.

Cultural distinctions, themselves genetically governed, refuse to be submerged even under the pressure of a multi-cultural ~~mix~~ of mass-taste. "melting pot" orientation. This instinct is so strong that, among the minority groups, it is misunderstood and mishandled, being viewed as a "crime wave" and "gang activity". Entire ethnic groups suffer poverty and prison due to this.

With regard to majority elements, anytime the reassertion of strong ethnic identity emerges from out of the one-world milieu, a rigidly "integrationist" System sees it as symptomatic of "hate".

These contemporary considerations plus others can all best be understood and summed up by consulting the ancient texts as they can be interpreted in the light of today's vantage point.

The somewhat cryptic prose found in Genesis, and indeed all of the Bible, pertaining to the creation of mankind now has been unravelled and clarified through the discovery and translation of even older material, carrying greater specifics. Sumerian cuneiform tablets and cylinders are what the originators of Genesis drew from as their source of information. Though, in the process, their translation and abridging led to the great confusion that still reigns today.

The story as related in the first person - which would be taken as God - has it that an exploration team from a distant world came to Earth half a million years ago. The purpose of this expedition was to find a source for gold with which to attempt to stabilize the diminishing atmosphere of

their home planet suspended in the form of dust. The presence of the scarce mineral ascertained, the extraterrestrials required a labor force to extract it from the ground.

Already the masters of space and time travel, they also had unlocked the secrets of DNA and genetic engineering. "Let us make man in our own image." Choosing from among the most evolutionarily advanced creatures available to them - primate apes - they added to test specimens measures of their own DNA in order to produce new types of beings, those capable of carrying out orders and performing competently in mining gold.

Anyone familiar with the tale of "Dr. Moreau" and the "Island of Lost Souls" will recall the "laws" given by the doctor to his menagerie of beast-men which he had created by surgically speeding up their evolution. "Do not spill blood," was one of the major ones. It was much the same on Earth eons ago between the gods and the first men. There was the "Tree of Knowledge" and the "Tree of Life". Until very lately, the real meaning of these was lost.

Evidently, according to the text, there was a mutiny among the extraterrestrial crew. Rather like the "Mutiny on the Bounty", when some of those crew men of two hundred years ago became enamored of the South Seas islanders and broke discipline. Genesis states that the "sons of gods" found attractive the "daughters of men" and took them as wives.

The leader of the mutiny - given as Enki in the text but known to us today as Satan - taught the people how to reproduce themselves independently of God's test tubes, thereby eating of the fruit of the "Tree of Knowledge". Sex, one-on-one, as described in Genesis both in terms of "desire" and "pain" in childbirth. As a result of this loss of control over human reproduction, the attainment of the fruit of the "Tree of Life", that is, the apparent immortality of the gods themselves, was lost to human kind.

As punishment, God condemned Enki/Satan to a life here on Earth working alongside his creations in the mines "on his belly, eating dust". As a portent of the strife ahead as a result of this rebellion, Genesis makes reference to on-going, eternal enmity between different seeds. Here then was the original sin as well as the birth of racism.

Genesis traces all its genealogy from the line of Adam but at the same time makes plain that there were other forms of human life in the world already. Who was it in the "Land of Nod" to where Cain took refuge after killing his brother, Abel, and where he took a wife? Who were the "giants in the Earth" then?

The extraterrestrials established their gold mines all over the Earth, wherever gold could be found. It would only follow that they would use the resources most readily at hand in whatever locale. If they were working with mutated apes, then different areas of the globe would indicate different species of ape. The birth of races: A chimpanzee for Whites; a gorilla for Blacks; an orangutan for Orientals.

Our modern science has revealed that chimpanzees and humans share 98% the same DNA and that chimpanzee DNA is

closer to that of humans than that of gorillas. To my knowledge, they either have not made the comparison between chimpanzees and separate races or between other apes and races of humans. That or they have chosen to conceal their findings in the matter.

However, that missing 2% must obviously have come from the stars. Human races may share a 2% similarity, more or less, but they still maintain a 98% difference.

That predominant difference is the same difference that exists between a lion and a tiger, between a moose and an elk, between an eagle and a hawk. Species in nature - even among the same genus - will never mix or cross-breed. Indeed, one species intruding upon the territory of another will invariably be driven out or killed. "Racism" in nature?

The word is artificiality. Animal societies are organic, not economic or political. The word is domestication. The domesticated form of wolf - dogs - will readily interbreed. The domesticated form of ape - humans - also are prone to cross-breeding even while their ancestors in the wild never would. Imperfect, artificial societies throwing these different breeds together for economic reasons has given rise to the phenomenon labelled "racism".

Artificial domestication via mutation has confused or dulled many of the natural instincts but, again, these same instincts are very rudimentary and deep-seated. Even at that, the remnants of our ancestry are still very evident physically just as they are instinctually. Race and racism.

The question then becomes whether it is even possible to eliminate racism without eliminating all distinct races and, beyond that, whether such a goal is to even be desired.

For the answers to these questions we may return to the present. Looking to those areas where human hybridization has reached saturation - as in so-called "Third World" countries situated where continents cross - the most abject of human misery and hopelessness is what reigns. It would appear that human miscegenation produces no better results than miscegenation among canines: Confusion. Look at what populates these dog pounds awaiting to be euthanized and look at what populates these areas imminently facing mass death through disease and starvation.

"Tree of Knowledge", "Tree of Life", revolt against God, the work of Satan, lust and the wages of sin. These concepts have been taken over by the religionists, "moralized" and "mystified" and thus have lost all their practical meaning. The present state of things certainly reflects this.

Racial "equality" and integration are State policy among these modern governments and their societies. Forced amalgamation of distinct and separate human species, or breeds. The argument that they can interbreed is offered as the reason why they *should* interbreed. However, examples are documented of "successful" matings between humans and chimpanzees. How desirable is the result of that then rendered? What would the long-term effect be upon any society?

When the gods departed from our midst, leaving behind a potentially disastrous situation, they also left behind laws and wisdom which they hoped would guide us safely until the day of their promised return. To Western Civilization these collectively are known as the Bible.

These laws contained in the book are surmounted by the Ten Commandments, one of the most familiar of these is "Thou shalt not commit adultery." Sexual intercourse outside of wedlock or with the spouse of another is the common contemporary appreciation of this command but we would do well to consult any dictionary for the other definition of adultery: Adulterate - to make impure or inferior by admixture of other ingredients; To corrupt or debase.

The references within the stories of the Bible go on to include Noah, "a man pure in his generations", who would be spared out of a world gone corrupt; The birthright of Abraham passing over Ishmael, whose mother was a slave, and going instead to Isaac; The plea of Abraham that Isaac's wife not be a Canaanite but a Hebrew like himself; The "two nations" in the womb of Rebekah - Jacob and Esau - of whom Jacob married true while Esau did not; Afterward the resultant race war (Genesis 32.)

Leviticus states further law: "Do not mate different kinds of animals", "do not plant your field with two kinds of seed", "do not wear clothing woven from two kinds of material."

Numbers describes the plague sent against the Israelites for their having sexual relations with Moabite and Medianite women and for worshipping their gods, a plague which consumed twenty-four thousand. It was only lifted when Phineas drove a single javelin through both an errant Israelite and his non-Israelite wife.

Deuteronomy deals with setting up a new nation. "When you enter the land the Lord your God is giving you and have settled in it and taken possession of it, be sure to appoint over you a king from among your own brothers. Do not place a foreigner in charge over you..."

Deuteronomy again: "No one born of a forbidden marriage (given as a *bastard* in some translations) nor any of his descendants may enter the assembly of the Lord, even down to the tenth generation."

And again: "The alien who lives among you will rise above you higher and higher, but you will sink lower and lower. He will lend to you, but you will not lend to him. He will be the head, but you will be the tail."

From Joshua 23: "...if you ally yourself with these nations that remain among you and if you intermarry with them and associate with them, then you may be sure that the Lord your God will no longer drive out these nations before you. Instead, they will become snares and traps unto you, whips upon your backs and thorns in your eyes, until you perish from this good land which the Lord your God has given you."

From Ezra 9 and 10: "The people of Israel have not kept themselves separate from the neighboring peoples with their detestable practices. They have taken some of their daughters

as wives for themselves and their sons have mingled the holy race with the people around them. And the leaders and officials have led the way in this unfaithfulness. Therefore, do not give your daughters in marriage to their sons or take their daughters for your sons."

Nehemiah 13:26: "Was it not because of marriage like these that Solomon king of Israel sinned? Must we hear now that you too are doing all this terrible wickedness and are being unfaithful to our God by marrying foreign women?"

Isaiah 13:14 + 15: "Like a hunted gazelle, like sheep without a shepherd, each will return to his own people, each will flee to his native land. Whoever is captured will be thrust through, all who are caught will fall by the sword."

Hosea 5:6 + 7: "When they go with their flocks and herds to seek the Lord, they will not find him; he has withdrawn himself from them. They are unfaithful to the Lord; they that give birth to illegitimate children."

Matthew 15:24 + 26: Jesus said, "I was sent only to the lost sheep of Israel. It is not right to take the children's bread and toss it to the dogs."

Second Corinthians 6:17: "Therefore come out from them and be separate, says the Lord."

Galatians 5:28-31: "Now you brothers, like Isaac, and children of promise. At that time the son born in the ordinary way persecuted the son born by the power of the Spirit. It is the same now. But what does the Scripture say? 'Get rid of the slave woman and her son, for the slave woman's son will never share in the inheritance with the free woman's son. Therefore, brothers, we are not children of slave women, but of free women.'"

Hate?

Out-dated, old fashioned?

Who's got it right - these modern priests and ministers or the Bible itself?

Is it possible to pick and choose the nice and easy parts of the law and get away with it for long?

Is it all fable and garbage anyway? At least we know that is what destroyed ancient Israel.

Could the evils being suffered here today be the same as those punishments dealt first to Satan and then to Israel? Or are we to suppose we are somehow "immune" to consequences?

Could the real evil not be with other people but in the act of mixing and crossing people? That does seem to be the message implicit within the Bible.

The laws of nature and biology, first having been devolved into myth and then having been discarded altogether by an arrogant and conceited humanity. "Enlightened"? When the logical and inevitable results of this transgression catches up with them, not only do they fail to make the correct correlation, they only indulge their sins that much harder.

No repentance? Tempting God?

Such is the mindset and the "law of the land". Such is the message broadcast to every child and adult through the System media. Such has become the popular belief. State

Religion itself. Remember the Golden Rule: "Those with the gold make the rule." So-called "evil" and "wrong" is whatever happens to be in opposition to the ruling power and its agenda for only it has the ability to make it so through materially rewarding that which serves them and punishing that which does not.

It would tend to follow then that we have "racism" only because divergent races are artificially thrown together. And it is only the volatile problem that it is because these governments attempt to force upon the people that which they instinctively do not want. In short, there sits in power a government which has not only declared war upon its own people but upon God himself.

Whose government would that be?

And who will win this war? At what cost?

Whose side will you be found on?

The State policy of racial integration will - if allowed to go on - result in the destruction of all identifiable races and, yes, and end to "racism". However, to espouse the destruction of a race, or races, is called genocide. What does it matter the means or the time-table?

The concept of "racism" must be reexamined and redefined. Obviously, the intrinsic evil lies elsewhere, within an unnatural System whose programs have given rise to all the hatred, violence and misery in order to promote its own power and wealth. But will they launch a study into this?

Don't bank on that.



The Pueblo County Jail where the author was confined during the summer of 1995 and again during the winter of 1997-1998. The large, square building situated in the center of the picture, this was the view from the front porch of the Harvard Avenue address.

PANTHEONS OUT OF SYNCH
by Robert Burns

Two very interesting theories I've heard regarding the possible origin of the Greek and Roman gods have it that, one, they are - or were - based on the actual, living kings and queens of Atlantis and, two, that they were take-offs on the Hebrew patriarchs - Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, etc. - which are more familiar to us.

A number of things must be borne in mind whenever contemplating a subject like this: First, whether Atlantean, Hebrew, Classic Greek or Roman, each of these peoples in their own prime and greatness represented Aryan stock. They were essentially ourselves in another time, another place, by another name - but basically what today is known as Anglo-Saxon and Western European. Second, we know absolutely that our history as Aryans and the story of our civilization goes way back, much farther than the mere five or six thousand years as alluded to in the Bible.

In fact, we know that the Cro-Magnons of thirty thousand years ago were basically no different than ourselves. It is important to ask: How long would we expect a grouping of ourselves to remain stupid and backward, without culture or civilization, even if suddenly dropped, cold, into a roaring wilderness?

In these essays I stress throughout that God himself was and is a race of extraterrestrials who arrived here from deep space half a million years ago, terraformed Earth and mutated man into being out of the creatures found here through means of intermingling the ET's own DNA.

Then there were god-men, those who lived for centuries until the strain weakened or perhaps until the atmosphere changed. That would have included Adam all the way through Noah and certainly, however far back as Atlantis goes, it would include those people as well.

We know of the great Aryan migrations out of Egypt, those which predated even the famous *Exodus*, which itself was but the final one. Aside from the mythology of the Hebrews which itself gave rise later on to Christianity, there was that which gave rise to the mythology of Aryan India as well as to the pantheon of Norse gods. A common memory with a common root tracing all the way back to Atlantis? Egypt had been Atlantis' oldest and most sophisticated colony and, for a time, sought to hold together Atlantis' world empire following the final destruction of the homeland, probably coinciding with the Biblical *Flood of Noah*.

The links between the religion of the Hebrew Temple and that of the ancient Egyptians which they fought to remove themselves from amongst are likewise present and evident. Tho' corresponds to Jehovah and Set to Satan. Could a major part of the *Exodus* have represented a cleansing of belief, a getting back to basics, with the dropping of the hawks, cats, jackals, etc., and a culling of the truth? Wouldn't that be what effective belief is all about?

The Greeks and Romans? These were other, earlier

migrations of the same people, heading from south to north as the last Ice Age receded, bringing with them their own version of a common ancient heritage from an Age of Gold. Remember that the Roman pantheon is the Greek pantheon except having undergone some minor evolution of its own through changes over time and distance but still clearly recognizable as the same racial and cultural thread. Are we in any case to believe that our distant ancestors, our racial forebears, were superstitious idiots who whipped their most sacred traditions up out of whole cloth?

The lesson to be learned - one of them - is how truth and tradition can become debased, corrupt, devoid of meaning and ultimately lost over time. God doesn't change nor do the events of the past, only man's perception of them. Like the illustration of the "rumor mill" experiment: A story told into an ear at one end and repeated through until arriving back at its point of origin, no longer even resembling itself. Human nature.

God in the Old Testament told the people through the prophets that he no longer wanted to see them gathered or hear their supplications. Why? Because their understanding and perception of him had become so perverted that he, in effect, was not the god being addressed. So he "turned his face away" from them and they perished together with their superstitions. It is that very spot, by the way, where this present culture now finds itself.

Questions remain pertaining to the viability of these theories on the basis of time-frame. Plenty of time for the usual progression from fact to fable between Atlantis and Athens, for example. But if Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were actual personages, then there is a real stumbling block. It's too recent. Besides, we already have established that "Adam" - or the first Adamic colony of Aryans placed on this planet - was extant in Europe already by thirty thousand years ago, vastly predating any Biblical chronology and even displacing the "Garden of Eden" itself, by my reckoning, to Atlantis.

Others point out still more common threads running through these ancient religions. Notably the Twelve Signs of the Zodiac, the Twelve Tribes of Israel, the Twelve Months of the Calendar, etc. Symbology employed by professional myth-makers, coincidence, or something more?

Well-established, each in its own right, are all three. Certainly before man even realized a full year contained twelve months, it was reality. The Twelve Tribes are all a matter of historical and genealogical record. The Zodiac is real enough for the Bible to have warned us against its use as a source of divination. In other words, one could almost justifiably make of it whatever he will.

I've seen some remarkable numerology attached to Adolf Hitler and the Third Reich. No expert in the area of sacred significance of numbers myself, they refer to everything from the street address of Hitler's first Munich headquarters, to the date of his assumption to power, etc. George Lincoln Rockwell himself made it a point to refer to the twelve martyred "disciples" of Hitler at Nuremberg (if you count the

suicides of Goering and Ley.) I've said it in several places that Hitler has already taken on godlike status which can only be expected to intensify and spread. How will it be seen in another thousand years, after the age of these older, "rotten" gods has passed? I'd hate to think the day would ever come when Hitler's image and memory would become so degenerated even among his followers to the point where he would "turn his face" from us.

But if one can have some solid appreciation of what the truth is then he is sure to keep encountering it as he probes history, turning back the pages to alternating periods of greatness and of ruin. I've also pointed out elsewhere that a lot of this is plain physics - what "works" and what doesn't. That for the same thing to repeat itself among the same people over and over, despite the moment or the venue, certain elements have to be present each time. Perhaps with the Greco-Roman gods as that civilization was rising, perhaps with the patriarchs of the Bible and the Western Civilization they inspired and now with Adolf Hitler as a new era is about to dawn we see it again, fresh and pure.

The final, key lesson is to hold the *meaning* of it firmly and clearly in our minds and to not allow it to lapse into quaint and eccentric bedtime story material, much less into one more tool by which a State power - always found to be on the side of untruth - may deceive and control us.

When the Bible states that it is a narrow gate which leads to the truth and, thereby, salvation, just exactly how narrow are we to suppose that gate to be? The best clue to the answer is provided at the same time when it is stated that also is it a wide gate which leads to falsehood and damnation.

One may be sure the situation has become hopelessly bad when no truth may even be expected to be forthcoming from the Church itself. However, that should come as no surprise as the body of the Church in the world is exceptionally wide indeed. The inspired ones who wrote the books of the Bible knew what it would finally come down to.

The truth is practically impossible to find and, where it is found, it is in no way influential in practical affairs. It won't be found in the course of conventional searching and, when it is encountered by chance, it will be officially condemned as "evil". The truth is not even a sought-after commodity, not really, because of a "strange god" these people today are worshipping whose material "blessings" and the "morality" that breeds are the antithesis of truth, thus rendering the truth unprofitable.

What these people really are after is something which doesn't exist: Their hope and idea of "truth" would be some magic combination of words to explain the purpose and the rightness of their apparently meaningless and futile lives. No such formula exists. The Bible also challenges these types to be chasing their filthy lucre, their prognosticators and their astrologers for the solutions and see what they end up with.

"Know-it-alls". They and their society are pulling apart, going mad.

To change, to "repent". This first calls for the ability to see and know one's error and, second, to possess the strength of character to admit it at least to oneself. Finally, the correct path must be visible if it is to be taken. This is triple jeopardy and a practical guarantee no such remedial action is going to be undertaken... Because it can't.

"The truth has flown from them." All the best brains, the best intentions and the best efforts - it seems - and things only deteriorate. It could only mean that all of it is off base, on the wrong track. Established upon a lie, it is foredoomed, no matter what.

Inversion of perception and of values. Priority to details and neglect of the governing principle. "Seek first the Lord." This was to me and probably will be to you also meaningless. Of course, if one has no way of knowing the Lord, is prevented from knowing the Lord, of what possible good would any such "search" be? One wouldn't know him if he bumped right into him.

I nearly laughed out loud when I first read the story of Moses and the burning bush when the Lord spoke to Moses.

outlining the plans he had in store for him. That exchange isn't germane here, only its conclusion when Moses asked the name of who it was that was addressing him from out of the bush. Who should he tell the people had sent him? "Yahweh", was the name given. In Hebrew, "YHWH", and we aren't certain of the actual pronunciation. The meaning however is translated as, "I Am What I Am", or "I Will Be What I Will Be". One could very well take that another step to become, "There Only Is What There Is", and instantly they have the very definition of what truth is.

Boundless interpretations but only one reality. One can now begin to get a glimpse of just how the gate could become so narrow.

Isaac Newton, etc., long ago re-discovered what actually governed the universe: Physics. And within that there is no room for "interpretation". There only is what there is. Man's totally illogical attachment, or susceptibility, to superstition (defined as "any belief, practice or rite unreasoningly upheld by faith in magic, chance or dogma") is the direct enemy of truth.

How about these for *untruths*: That money, its quest and all that it can buy is life's greater purpose? That specimens of widely divergent humanity, if only whelped on a common piece of geo-political real estate, are equal? That the destiny of a great nation may be entrusted every few years to a popular choice, when all contenders are owned by the same clique who also owns the opinion-forming media?

Each one a violation of God's laws: "Worship no other gods." *Mammon*, the god of materialism, is what these people are actually hailing when they enter their churches and it is this philosophy which permeates all of society, even including those who have given up on the Church out of disgust. "Do not commit adultery." Just as with the name of God itself, there can be more than one very legitimate take on this. The first, of course, dealing with wedlock. But the other defines this way, "To make impure or inferior by adding extraneous or improper ingredients." Finally, "Let there be no stranger in power over you."

The basic sins then are these, *multi-culturalism, multi-racialism and all of it dominated by a Jewish overlordship.*

And out of this, in the words of the Bible itself, you may only expect *hypocrisy, iniquity and folly... unto death.*

The "wide gate" then? The rich blessings of democracy provide you with endless choices to choose from, just as long as they each one embrace those three mortal sins cited above. In short, regardless which direction you take, it will already be fatally infected with these germs of decay. In fact, the only choice you have is in the many disguises the one primary lie covers itself with. You have no real choice.

The "narrow gate"? What would stand in diametric opposition to *multi-culturalism, multi-racialism and Jewish dominance*? You guessed that right away but the important thing is that it is roundly condemned and even criminalized by this society and this world. This to the extent that, number one, no one in their right mind would even be

attracted by it and, number two, all those who may have managed to penetrate through the lies of misrepresentation all the way to the truth find themselves literal outcasts and outlaws.

That is how narrow the gate is.

Accident? Only partially.

We let it happen through our own carelessness. God gave us those laws, gave us the warning of what would happen if we ever once began to stray from them. The enemy in our midst is only doing what God said he would do if given the chance. Now lies pass for truth, truth for lies, light for dark, dark for light, friends for enemies and enemies for friends... until there is no hope for all practical purposes.

The gate is in reality more narrow than you can even imagine. When Jesus said that where two or more are gathered in his name, there he will be among them, it might have been an indicator of how incredibly narrow, then at the beginning and today at the end, the gateway to the truth is.

But the hope lies in the fact that they knew this and took pains to spell it out in the Bible. *They knew.* How many millions of Bibles in circulation throughout the world and how few catch this message? In the hands of the multitudes of all shades and colors, officially tolerated if not smiled upon by the power in control of the world... where could there possibly exist any element of truth in that? Only misunderstanding and misrepresentation. *"Ye shall know them by their fruits."*

Like so many seeds scattered to the winds, the rate of loss or mortality is absolutely terrific. But they knew this as well. There only is what there is. However few take root and survive are all that matter, are all that count and ever were intended.

Not because we were many but because we were few did God choose us as his people, so he says. If diamonds were regularly falling out of the sky to where great machinery was required to remove them like snow, they'd hardly contain any value. Rather, they'd be a burden and a glut. So it is for well over ninety percent of Earth's humanity. The truth, for them, is the worst news possible.

The deadly and imminent danger then posed by this to the people of God, so few in their number and so completely unaware of their purpose and identity - and their peril - lies in the numbers of mathematics, the workings of biology, the equally certain dynamics of human lust in tandem with time and proximity. Finding this truth equates with basic survival as a species, in perfect keeping with the law of the jungle. Nothing "religious" about it.

Two other dynamics that one shouldn't overlook: The determination on the part of those governing the world to hide or to defame the truth and to deliberately proceed on the basis of the worst of lies, despite the ever-growing obviousness of where it is leading; And, again, the fact that every bit of it was foreseen by the authors of the Bible, all the way out to and beyond its conclusion.

The name of God - not even a "name" but a description -

plus the fact that all of this world scenario was mapped out in its entirety within the pages of the Bible by two thousand years ago, are cause once again to make a person laugh.

Laugh because we tiny few are privy to a great joke.

The super-seriousness of the arch-villains who have supplanted true authority, the grim and deathlike quality of their hirelings and their minions who execute their bidding in exchange for pay and prestige, the "hamster-wheel" aspect of the lives these emancipated fools lead while in harness to the darkness. And all of it hurtling, full-throttle toward the precipice.

They knew. And because they knew, those words from the Sermon on the Mount, so seemingly incongruous and contradictory when viewed in any "normal" context, may be taken to have been aimed directly at ourselves in this current time who are finding ourselves on the dead bottom of the structure of the world's society.

They knew and now we know.

For us then, the awakening will not be a rude one as it will be for the rest.

It's God's "wink".

MORMONISM
DELIBERATE HOAX: UNWITTING TRUTH

69

by Robert Burns

The trouble with all organized, formal religions is that they are focused upon myth instead of the reality that inspired the myth. This at one stroke removes the belief system from the nuts and bolts of the workings of the government and the society and throws it all to the mercy of business and finance and those who control them.

Worse, even the attention to the myth is secondary to the discipline given over to the form established which surrounds and perpetuates it. Church. Truly it has become form before function. The Church is but one more big business, exchanging a tax exempt status for carefully towing the official government and media line.

If it isn't obvious already that should the belief system rest on a foundation of sand so does all the rest, then it should be. The object rightly should be to isolate the core of truth and be instructed by it. Then no evil can touch you. This is only true in an individual sense, unfortunately. Forever there have been those committed to unearthing the truth and disseminating it to the best of their ability, often at great personal cost. And still every world system in every age has been found to be in the service of lies.

That would indicate on its face that truth and power are not complimentary. "How can they conceal that?!" It doesn't matter what. take your pick. Or, "If only that could be known, things would dramatically change." There's an actual publication currently available with the title, "The Truth At Last!" Note always that nothing changes. Change I would describe as a genuine and profound divergence from the present trend. Change is not meant by only more predictable deterioration as a consequence of the present course.

It is rather that power will do as power will do, regardless. That is determined according to whatever the power in control deems to be good for it. A certain order serving the position and wealth of some and implimenting the secret agenda of the most high up. Truth be damned if it is found to be inconvenient to this plan (and it usually is.) The controlled media can make "truth" out to be anything it wants. Those who hold power simply don't care and, in order to join this crowd, you must be willing to embrace and share in the lie.

Most common people likewise don't care and are willing to be led around by the power. They know, consciously or unconsciously, to go along with it or else... Or else exist in poverty or perhaps even prison. Of what use then in an arrangement of this sort is truth anyway? It simply is not practical, much less profitable. No one wants it. Trouble enters however because truth will not be denied forever, not even by petty fools who've wrangled and wrested "authority" into their unfit hands and who do nothing but fly in its

face. Consequences catch up inevitably and when they do under such circumstances, the innocent fall along with the guilty.

What goes around, comes around.

With that preamble out of the way, I'll outline the evolution of my own search for truth.

From a life-long background of atheism and reliance upon the scientific method, plus a good grasp of history, I unravelled layer upon layer of myth and falsehood until I felt I understood what it was that animated and controlled the affairs of the world. That was well and good - if disturbing - but it failed to provide much of an answer. In short, all of that ultimately only delivered one out in the middle of an open field, where it left him. However, learning the nature of what was in control did finally point the way toward finding the answer.

Realizing that what held power in the world was something very huge and very wrong, which sought to keep its nature hidden, which was possessed of an unclean drive and, mostly, knowing that its final success spells death for everyone including itself, one can see that the answer defies rationality just as does the problem. So it was there that the quest for what lay behind the great myths began.

And so it was also that just as I had approached the Bible in mid-life, armed with insights to bust every prejudice and superstition, I examined the Book of Mormon. The joy of both was in that my hard-won background allowed the critical aspects to jump out at me through all the wordiness which confuses and turns away most people, leaving it in the hands of others to do their thinking and interpreting for them. (An arrangement which literally begs and pleads for disaster to happen.)

As discussed fully elsewhere, the Bible is quite legitimate in that it descends from cosmic truth and actual history despite having suffered from tampering and error during editing and translation. The Book of Mormon, however, does appear to be one of the most elaborate hoaxes ever. Yet, despite that conclusion, it contains much that is instructional and, for the most part, that *could have* taken place and does impart some valuable codes of belief. Similar in that sense to the pagan beliefs of ancient Europe but also, in the end, unable to withstand onslaught from without.

In reading the lengthy text the first thing to become obvious is the great effort that went into mimicking the King James style of prose. An "angel" interested solely in conveying ideas and meaning as he caused an indecipherable script to be understood by a human would hardly concern himself with holding to what was already by then an archaic literary fashion. This is a lot more along the line of a trick that a skilled hoaxer would employ in order to better "sell" his product to a gullible public.

In direct line with that, certain references are injected which date the origin of the work to the time of its appearance, i.e., the early Nineteenth Century. To those people then, Columbus was the discoverer of a totally new land. Had it been inspired by an "angel", we must suppose he

would have imbued in it at least what we have since found out on our own: That very ancient man was well-acquainted with all of the land masses of the globe. The presence of Whites, or "Hebrews", here then would not have been anything fantastic.

Even more in keeping with the popular sentiments of the early United States are the repeated references to Jeffersonian concepts of individual liberty which are as alien to the actual Bible as anything could be. It is a modern reference and for it to have been included in any translation of an ancient text via an angel would have to mean that the angel placed importance upon what would be "politically correct". Angels don't do that. Only human crafters of bogus documents.

The most glaring thing is the frustrating omission of recounts of confrontations with what the Vikings would later refer to as *Skraelings*, variously translated to mean either "the weaklings" or "the ugly men" but representing American Indians. Having no real awareness of what the Indians were or how they got here, the story was invented that it had been the division amongst these very same "Latter Day" sojourners, together with the moral backsliding of one branch and the fratricide of both which accounted for the presence of savages and the absence of civilization by the arrival of Columbus.

Finally, the great cities of stone that supposedly were built by these people. That the Lord caused them all to be either swallowed up by water or earth is simply too convenient. Even the trace remains of the nuked and partially submerged Sodom have been located on the north shore of the Dead Sea. Is it even possible for such ruins to have escaped detection in the eastern United States?

Despite all that, the story contained in the Book of Mormon grazes remarkably close to actuality as we only recently have been able to know it. It draws a picture and sounds a warning that are completely valid. And probably this was the intention of Smith and company after all. To the credit side, few then or now would be willing to suffer that kind of persecution and death for a deliberate, profiteering and damnable lie. I think they knew what they were trying to do. However, we have no need to rely upon story-weaving or invention. We have the truth, eventhough it is every bit as dangerous and unpopular.

One curious thing is that at the time the Book of Mormon was "found", or was being written, a thing called *British Israel* was coming into vogue among Christian circles, including as one of its early proponents Queen Victoria. The crux of this theory was based upon migration. It claimed to hold evidence that not only had the nation of Israel migrated en masse out of Egypt in the famous *Exodus* to occupy the new land of Canaan, but that, following the Biblically recorded split, conquest and captivity, that same nation of Israel went on to migrate further, across the Caucasus Mountains and to settle primarily into northwest Europe.

This would open up a cauldron of disturbing

possibilities.

It might indicate that when Jesus said he was only sent to the "Lost Sheep of the House of Israel", he was directly referring to these supposedly "lost" tribes who were by his time already well ensconced in their new European homes, albeit as "pagans", and harrying the Roman Empire on its fringes even as it was preparing to wipe out their former home, now the Roman province of Judea.

It might explain all the apparent contradictions contained in so many of Jesus' pronouncements which so rankle the fighting spirit of what is considered to be Northern European man. His vantage point was from that of a conquered and dying nation, a people who had forgotten and forsaken their origins and were now paying the price for it: Occupation, deportation, dispersion, cultural and genetic dilution - erasure as a people. Life and existence in the north was fresh and strong and the pagan beliefs the people had built for themselves reflected that.

If this much were true, then the meaning of "Lost Tribes" or "Lost Sheep" would not have nearly so much to do with their being merely displaced as it would with their having, over the course of this, forgotten who they were which led to their downturn of fortune and displacement in the first instance. This then would render Jesus and the Apostles as messengers with a reminder that what happened to the "Promised Land" could happen just the same anywhere else if first the belief system fell lax and went into superstition. Though Christianity did ultimately sweep Europe or "Christendom", it unfortunately took a "spiritual" aspect rather than a *physical* Israel sense of identity, thereby losing much of its potency.

Worse still, this confusion resulted in true Israel imagining those people left behind occupying Palestine, clearly identified in the Bible as *Edomites* and blood enemies of Israel, to be "Jews" and the "Chosen People" of God. Viewing themselves thus as "Gentiles" while viewing these Edomites as something somehow "sacred", they placed themselves at an impossible, even fatal, disadvantage. So it stands to this day as we see conditions in Europe and the United States paralleling those of the late Old Testament.

Only a few decades following Joseph Smith came Ignatius Donnelly, a contemporary and friend of Abraham Lincoln, who authored a book entitled, "*Atlantis: The Anti-Deluvian World*". This work probed the myth as presented by Plato and presented a powerful case for the core reality of a super-culture of vast antiquity. This seat of a world empire was gradually erased through natural disasters but passed its mantle on to its oldest colony which was Egypt. Migration and colonization, again.

The result of the decline of Egypt was the *Exodus* and the establishment of the United Kingdom of Israel. The decline of that a thousand years later resulted in the further migration over the Caucasus and the foundation of what would become the modern states of Europe, or Western Civilization, together with its royal houses. As a direct and

logical extension of that, return to the Western Hemisphere and beyond.

"Return" because great stretches of the Americas had been part of the Empire of Atlantis and remained close trading partners with the Egyptians and later the Phoenicians who were but the Israelite Tribe of Dan and still later to be known as the Vikings. Columbus himself was guided by Phoenician maps in 1492, at the end of the Dark Ages. World history was coming full circle. Though Joseph Smith couldn't have known it, Hebrew presence in North America was a reality. This was the very picture he was trying to paint with the Book of Mormon.

What had caused these Atlanteans, or Hebrews, or Vikings, or Anglo-Saxons to vanish then had not been, as Smith suggested, their slipping into barbarous practices. What happened to them was the same thing that happened in Egypt and, later, ancient Israel: Annihilation or amalgamation by or with aboriginal peoples. Perhaps some of the Indian tribes did contain trace amounts of White blood. Again, what a weird twist! Only picking up and moving on had allowed what collectively could be called the Aryans to survive as a people. Europe geographically provided the most magnificent separation where integrity could be maintained and culture could again come to reassert itself and flourish.

Among the explorers of the Mississippi Valley region during the late Eighteenth and early Nineteenth Centuries arose rumors of "Roman cities" that soon were wiped out by the worst flooding and earthquakes ever recorded. And not until the late Twentieth Century did thinkers like von Daniken come to explain and place into perspective what probably was behind the myths of "angels" bringing prophecies and revelations to man.

Smith, it would seem, was quite a visionary after all, way ahead of his time.

THE CUP OF INIQUITY
by Robert Burns

How is it possible for an atheist to agree in favor of such a proposition as ultimate and eternal retribution for human behavior that is known to religionists as "sin"? That would seem to suggest images of the proverbial "Super Spook In the Sky" who, like Santa Claus, "is making a list and checking it twice". A belief for children, the mentally feeble or those who don't really concern themselves but who, for whatever ulterior reason, continue to go through the motions of this dead and hollow "religion".

Speaking for myself only, it is due to those very things cited above that I have always been atheist and anti-church. Whether humbug or hypocrisy, there can be no room for the enthronement of such as this in society.

A terrible game is however betrayed by carefully observing one critical thing: Modern, contemporary atheists and religionists are united in idealizing and promulgating all that which is poison to any healthy society. At the same time, they are in synch as to who and what to condemn. What does it matter whether one is motivated by "humanist" concerns and the other by "spiritual" orientation if the thrust and the results are to be the same?

This would suggest to me that, as per my original impression, the religionists have it all wrong but that, after a lifetime of observation, the traditional crowd of atheists have it equally wrong, only from the opposite end of the same spectrum. An extension of the old shell game, once again. Two hairy arms off the same body. Both are brothers in superstition by virtue of insisting to you that theirs is the only way and, if you disagree, you are either a hopeless fool or are damned to hell.

And both branches have put to death millions of people as part of their common argumentative style.

Religion started somewhere, with something. That appears to be Square One. The appearance of man and the appearance of religion, or belief. Did he invent it out of whole cloth or was there an original event? Was it only the result of a primal reaction to the fear of the unknown or death? Or did it come about as a lingering but faded memory of contact with a creator - or race of creators - concernedly imparting laws and guidelines to ensure the well-being of their own progeny?

In light of the very real wisdom and perfectly valid principles included within texts untold thousands of years old, I am inclined toward the latter concept.

How then could it devolve into something so debased and meaningless as to be merely a tool for State control or for unscrupulous money-makers? To have become even as Marx put it, an "opiate of the masses". So much so as to have given rise to an apparently logical backlash in the form of atheism and even such things as "apostate" priests, etc.

Any message or signal, even one set down in writing, will fade and blur given sufficient time. Deletion,

embellishment - done on the part of some scribe or translator who, through his own misunderstanding, sought to aid the "understanding" of those who'd be following him in the direction of his own misdirection.

In short, take something and make it fit into prevailing conception. "Politically correct". It is nothing new. Each age can be identified and known through its own pet superstitions which are popularized due to their handiness for the ruling elite and their convenience for the masses of that moment. The truth be damned.

Reverse-engineering in reverse. Some great technological wonderment, either captured or given, is dismantled or otherwise examined so as to reveal its secrets and to thus expand learning and development by leaps and bounds. Instead, a great cosmic truth, having once been imparted, is now taken and bastardized almost beyond recognition and becomes fossilized into meaningless dogma and ritual.

Has it ever worked? Can it go any way but down? Handing brilliance and perfection to those who didn't have the capacity to generate it in the first place. The challenge has always been to take it, hold to it and attempt to keep its meaning pure and vital. But can even that much be done? Apparently not.

However, there is another concept quite apart from the slippage of mere carelessness and that is of flying directly in the face of standing values. When things begin to deteriorate so fast that they move way out ahead of even the gradual corruption of the old texts which have more or less been guided the standards of accepted behavior for thousands of years, then there exists a very special kind of situation which comes about only every once in an historic while.

We today have the distinction of living in just one such time.

"Why, my God believes exactly the way I do." The book itself has now become a flat-out embarrassment for the present-day adherents of P.C. "easy-believe".

This struck me when the topic of one discussion show was announced as being, "Has Adultery Become Passe?" Perhaps a poor example of a mortal sin is adultery but it is nonetheless one of the "Big Ten". By a quick count I note that all but two - murdering and stealing - are no longer much of a concern for these laws today although these final two pose an immediate and dire physical threat to the survival of the society.

Would that be a coincidence or only the course of the natural progression?

Hasn't the attitude become, "Let anything and everything else go to hell as long as nobody bothers me."?

Only after a lifetime of independent study, observation and thought did I sit down by myself, on my own, and actually read the entire Law. It was shocking. I had in effect returned home in an instant, after having effectively already done the same thing by travelling full-circle. Not wanting to second-guess the great author, it did seem to be that so many

of the other laws contained in the Old Testament ought properly to have appeared in that "Top Ten" in place of some of the ones which actually do.

To scrupulously go according to the whole Law would in short order cause one in this society today to be arrested and charged with a battery of "hate crimes". And as the book itself says, to violate or ignore one law effectively nullifies the effect of the rest. There is a huge conflict going on here. Who'll win? Take one guess.

To pose even the question, "Has adultery become passe?", does it mean no one is doing it any longer or does it mean that so many are doing it so openly that it has become commonality, the "norm"? Of course, its the second choice. If that's the case then, it really means that the only thing that seems to be "passe" is the negative label and the moral condemnation of the act.

The act together with the context which renders it as adultery hasn't changed. Only popular conception has shifted. A stark parting of company. So now its "okay"? What counts is whether or not there are to be any hard and lingering consequences as a result. And not in some "spooky" sense but in a real and concrete social cost. Some may kid themselves otherwise as they "get away with it" for the moment but its always down the road where these things show up.

As I said before, adultery may be a poor choice to cite as a "sin" but, essentially, it is the perfect illustration of the way this works in principle. After all, who cares? Who's hurt? Where's the immediate damage? Obvious to a child is it that the "sanctity of marriage" went long ago and so what's the big deal? Naturally the "easy" ones would be the first to go. The rougher, more down-to-earth ones will hang on until the last. When no one any longer cares or is able to do anything about killing or looting, where will things be?

"Ah," you say, "but it'll never come to that."

If you dare, take a trip to certain sections of the Third World or, for that matter, to certain "blighted" areas of U.S. major cities.

There are "rules" and then there are truths. This System and this society have made a mockery out of both. It is compounded when the two are seen as the same thing. Hypocrisy. It is becoming that, unless some cop is standing over you to pinch you if you do something you're not supposed to do or don't do something that you are supposed to do, practically anything goes. This is a situation upside-down and it is enforced by the State.

No correction for it, only attempts to throw more money at the problem and to hire more police and build more prisons. Can you see where its heading?

Rules can be made up. Truth is eternal. They don't necessarily compliment one another. As a people goes rotten they develop a certain knack for justifying anything while shelving or discrediting the old laws and wisdom. Every generation in every age is - according to itself - the smartest and most enlightened of all time. They're quite willing to have it their own way and consequences be damned.

Like the chronic smoker and his "cancer sticks", the day will never come but, of course, when it does come it's already too late. Nothing "spooky" about the way that works.

As an alumnus of a conservative Republican background and, later, as a member of the Hard Right, I'd wondered for thirty years when and where all the degeneracy, all the smug conceit, would climax and find its end. This during the time when I also fancied myself "atheist" and "amoral". It is just that some attitudes and behaviors obviously will kill out a civilization and its people.

Not everyone shares that outlook, or, if they do it is only to varying degrees. That is, after all, your "right" in a "democracy". Something or someone evidently attempted to assert their "democratic rights" a long time ago which led to the Battle in Heaven wherein God expelled fully one-third of his angels and confined them to Earth.

Where the Truth is concerned, there can be no variance.

One of my favorite stories from the Old Testament is that of Belshazzar's Feast. Babylon was tottering but the rulers and the elite were confident enough of their mercenaries and their fortifications to ignore matters and go on with their revelry. The Persians, who were right on top of them, waded in under the wall while the river was running low, unopposed. The proverbial "handwriting on the wall" appeared in flaming letters but it was no good. The only thing that mattered was when the Persians suddenly burst in and slaughtered everyone.

If anyone will choose to deny that this is Babylon anew, here today, then they only pick their side.

One could just as easily cite the tales of Sodom and Gomorrah, or the Flood of Noah. These incidents were real, just as science and archeology have established. No myth, no fable.

So when does "God" move in to settle accounts as only he can? Only when the cup of iniquity is full to overflowing. Then everyone gets it and there are no "innocents".

Warnings? Aplenty. But no one's listening. Everyone "knows better".

And how can one know when the cup is approaching full? When mortal sin has become "passe". When "everybody's doing it". That's as close as you can get without really being there. That's the hour glass, that's the measure.

You clock it for yourself.

Tricky, again, because it doesn't necessarily have to be sudden and dramatic. "Going to hell" or "gone to hell" can simply denote total collapse or debasement. In fact, that is the most common result. So common, so ever-present, that no one takes special note of it. It too comes to represent the "norm".

At least no one seems to take it for what it actually represents. The religionists would have you pour out your dollars on these people and these situations, in these areas where they exist in perpetual misery and degradation as, to them, it's all just a matter of money. The atheists would have you do the same. Maybe a little "education" might

help... Anything except look and see what actions, caused by what attitudes, led up to this. Anything except take a lesson like that and apply it to ourselves so as to possibly avoid the same fate.

The centers of high civilization and development thousands of years ago. Today, the centers of poverty and squalor, utterly devoid of hope. There must have been a time when they also no doubt "knew better". The "wages of sin". And death comes in many forms. What we are threatened by at present is a living, national death like these other areas that have fallen before.

God's judgment, or only logical steps like one, two and three?

That part of the world where the Bible was written. Look at it now. Coming to a neighborhood near you. The representation of the Truth goes first, rendering the belief system itself useless. Finally, evil and confusion is stamped and embedded upon the very faces of the people themselves.

No chance then whatever of "repentance" or "salvation", whether here or in any supposed "hereafter". Jesus himself made it clear that anyone not having originated in Heaven will have any hope of returning to Heaven.

The state you find yourself in rested in the hands of some others. The state of your descendants is a responsibility now with you. Anything to jeopardize that condition defines as a sin.

Lose the purity of belief and the purity of all else will follow.

Eternal damnation on Earth, a living hell.

And who and what is pushing for this?

All the religious talk about the difference between man and animal being that of the presence or absence of a "soul" never caught fire with me. I see "human" traits in animals and "animal" traits in humans. And I've always felt that the concept of a "soul" is something concocted by a hyper-aware mankind to soothe his dread of an inevitable personal end. Also, some inner need to place some arbitrary and finite distance between himself and the rest of creation.

The serious problem entered when religious lame-brains began seeing all that appeared "human" as being imbued with a "soul" and, thereby, in God's eyes, "equal". Again, it gives a certain sense of superiority and dogoodism for crack-brained missionaries to go and flash some jungle-dwellers out of the bush and impress a little gospel on them, making them "like us" and at the same time racking up another "soul" for God. This very attitude has all but destroyed Western Civilization at present, especially in the United States.

Since consulting directly the Word of God as found in the Old Testament, I see plainly that he is not the culprit. Whoever, whatever God may have been or be, he was plain-spoken about directing his interests toward one unique group of people. The rest of humanity was to be conquered, displaced, enslaved and - above all - not mixed with. Neither was his law to be shared with them.

Not necessary for these purposes is it to get into the matter of Identity. All anyone needs to know - from the Bible itself - is that God hates a bastard, a mongrel. That sales out a lot.

Genesis says to the effect, "Come, let us make man in our own image." And there is the word "elohim", which is plural for "gods". The "One-Verse Charlies" in these Judeo-Christian churches today write that off as reference to the manifold strengths and omniscience of the one God. Or maybe in some reference to their "Holy Trinity".

As a child and as a young adult, that "Holy Ghost" or "Holy Spirit" thing bothered me as it seemed to make no sense. Indeed, I wrote the entire business off as crap, the estate of the superstitious and the unthinking. Superficially, it remains just that insofar as all the spooky talk is concerned. But a little investigation and reflection told me easily and plainly that the facets of this "Holy Spirit", as given in the Bible itself, are only descriptive of those qualities displayed by those intellects which are in possession of those all-critical, uppermost extra twenty points or so of intelligence quotient.

In translations of the Bible not commonly in circulation today, Genesis also has "the Beast of the Field" going about wearing sackcloth.

Vast differences between the various human physiognomies and countenances aside, it could be safely assumed that any created in the image of God would also replicate his own behavior. Leaving any "spiritual" notion of

a "Heaven" to children where it belongs, let's instead consider a different dimension somewhere in Space. And, instead of "angels" with feathered wings, rather a highly advanced race of beings in possession of technology we can only barely imagine. The mythology as handed down to us from ancient times might have been expected to record such realities in such conventionally stylized terms.

Today we ought to know better.

While watching an afternoon's worth of wildlife programming as I usually do on weekends, it hit me. The precise point of differentiation between man and animal. Be warned, it isn't where you'd expect it to be and the humanitarians aren't going to like this one bit.

From African bushmen to Borneo headhunters, one can't help admiring the way these are able to survive and exist completely within nature. Even the presumably pre-approved and "P.C." narration pointedly commented that they, in effect, were still living in the Stone Age as of the present. Nothing wrong with that, per se, except that it would tend to rule out what commonly is thought of as any kind of destiny. No development. Static. Dead end.

God in the Bible put destiny for his people first, above all other things. This is what prophecy is all about.

God, having come here from "Heaven", or Space, through the use of high technology, emphasizes the destiny of his offspring as eventually coming to re-join him in "Heaven", or Space, by the same means. And to accomplish this before before the planet which gave them birth, together with its solar system, could die. Or -

Or before, through human folly and wickedness, the machinations of "Satan", the "Adversary", such worldly things as animal lust could produce the results of cross-breeding, i.e., the pollution of the godlike strain by dark and primitive genes, thus destroying all possibility of achieving any great destiny. Stagnation and regression. How many brilliant civilizations over untold millennia have been erased this way?

He's still out there, beckoning us on. And with all the time in the universe. While we flounder around.

Never within recorded history have we been closer to realizing this destiny by reaching out into Space, "to touch the face of God." And never before has the light of civilization been in such grave jeopardy of going out forever all over the Earth.

Perhaps simultaneously then can the real difference between man and animal be understood and appreciated as well as what is entailed in the Book of Revelation with the meaning of "End-Time".

This was the title of the original novel that inspired the science fiction classic and its remake entitled, "The Thing". The creature from another world was what the author termed a "polymorph", meaning it could take over any organism, assume any shape. The shape, the form could be familiar but the new content would be a thing alien and deadly dangerous. Through this physical deception then, the "Thing" sought to fool other life forms and take them over, to literally absorb them.

Cutting to the chase, we have always had our "polymorphs" here among us on Earth: The Jews. They can be anything but, at bottom, they remain Jews. Alien to our blood and having their own alien agenda for the rest of us which they implement by passing themselves as part of our society and moving to control all sensitive areas thereof.

As members of the Movement, we know this well but too often we lose full sight of their methodology at work, and of the constant principle behind it.

As Aryans, when a land or a civilization in ages past went rotten, the genetically and instinctually healthy elements picked up and migrated out in order to prevent their own absorption into the darkening mass and to establish a fresh, new beginning for themselves. It has been a process stretching back farther than we can trace. In fact, all the way back, as far as that may go.

One significant change in this process has occurred within the past three thousand years however that we need to take note of. Prior to that time, this process of decay could be seen to be accidental and requiring many centuries to run its destructive course. Prior to that time, there were no Jews as we know them today.

Jews are a recent bastard product that we ourselves are responsible for by our own lack of genetic hygiene back during the time when we dominated the Near East. These "polymorphs" then infiltrated our society there, poisoning it and throwing it so off base that nothing of it remains and has not for a very long time.

We as a race survived that disaster only by leaving the area *en masse* and falling back on the isolated confines of cold and craggy Europe. We didn't bring the bastards with us nor the poisoned and ruined belief system. Left behind us was the former "Holy Land" - now only an ugly place full of ugly people - and the monstrously "morphed" version of the ancient Hebrew Temple - now known as "Judaism".

The lesson? History would tend to bear out that no form may be successfully salvaged once it has been thus infected and ruined. So much for concepts such as "conservatism" and "reform". Not to mention "compromise", as this is the very means by which the "polymorph" comes to invade and take over the host form without a struggle.

Our genius and our weakness: Aryan blood can create these brilliant cultures but they are utterly susceptible to

taint and pollution.

Back to more recent times. Now that there have been racially identifiable Jews and, more importantly, Jews which are conscious of themselves, the process of the demise of high Aryan civilizations has increased in speed to an alarming degree. And it no longer can be written off as "accidental". These "polymorphs" who as of long ago reinfected Aryan society can be easily documented as being our active and enthusiastic grave diggers.

Beyond even that, we have arrived at where there no longer is any place left on Earth for us to retire to in order to regain or retain our racial and cultural integrity. We all are headed now for the big, final round-up.

Tainted forms are what we need to understand and recognize. Most of us today will know the fallacy of the old, out-moded concept of "Fatherland". It isn't a piece of geography but the people who inhabit it. It isn't "government" or "constitution" as the people created or wrote these things for their own benefit, as tools. Aryans can occupy any tract of land, no matter how inhospitable, and make it flourish by their own inherent genius, energy and industry. And we can design societies and governments for ourselves as easily as pulling rabbits out of hats.

But to stay on that land, to hold to those political institutions blindly, unthinkingly, out of "habit", once they can be seen to have passed over from being healthy and of benefit to the race and to where they are killing us is plain suicide.

That indicates fatal trouble with the very belief system itself. The "values". When *form* comes to represent everything, regardless of *content* or *function*, then it means paralysis of the thought processes, the will and the spirit. Very basically, all hope is gone at that point. The "polymorphs" among us have done their insidious work well and it is all now only a matter of time.

Watch out for "forms": They may contain anything or they may be absolutely hollow.

Try to get this: With regard to the work of our nemesis, the Jews, what they fill any form they come to infect with is essentially the lie. Very basic and unchanging is the concept of the lie. *Confusion*. Better get it clear in your mind why anyone would deliberately want to confuse anything.

Unfortunately, the truth also has in all of the past come to be confined - to "move" - within set, physical or conceptual forms. "Frozen", as it were. Hitler's National Socialism is the best and most recent example of this. Always in the past, when playing according to the enemy's terms, the *form* of the lie has triumphed over the *form* of the truth. Minus such solid and recognizable vehicles, neither has been able to exert itself over the affairs of man and it has been mainly a picnic and a field day for the lie.

Probably because such things as artificial containers, physical and material vessels, are wholly unworthy and unbecoming of the truth has this process been unavoidable

after all. At any rate, it just about has run its full course. Something tells me that this has been the idea all along.

The all-dominant lie can masquerade as truth with its competitors silenced, discredited and out of business. Is this not the case as we have it at present? One problem: No matter what form the lie assumes and regardless how it presents itself, it remains a negative lie and can only survive so long as it may feed upon life, vitality and truth. That well is rapidly drying up as we can witness all about us. The "polymorph" has very nearly conquered its targetted victim completely.

It is the good news that this process is so very rapid now as compared against times past. For it will mean that the lie will expire before it has consumed the last of Aryan blood. When the foul and rotten means of its sucking its nourishment from the rest of us perishes - and that is this form of government - it will be done with.

In order to dominate and obscure, confuse, the truth, this invading lie must employ a very high-maintenance network via one more form: Money, economy and materialism. When all of this collapses exactly as it is alluded to in *Revelation*, the truth - which has only been defied and ignored, never beaten - will automatically rush in to fill the vacuum.

So who's kidding who and for what purpose?

Remember the line from St. Paul about putting away childish things. This is the moment to do just that. And in the context of what we see as being on the way, this would imply directly these same forms I have been referring to. Do not even stoop to the enemy's level by imagining he can be countered according to means similar to his own, more artificial, man-made gimmicks designed for the moment and hopelessly vulnerable to being taken over and used against us.

Get instead into the Thought, the Word, the Truth.

LEGEND OF THE VAMPIRES
by Robert Burns

There could be no fiction without fact. Man's mind just isn't that inventive. Truth is always stranger than fiction. Why the terrific demand then for fabrication and fantasy? The longing for escape. The object of distraction. Sometimes, however, for the purpose of instruction when to just come out openly with something would be difficult, if not dangerous, or otherwise self-defeating. The question then becomes: How many will be able to read the message and to how many will it remain merely entertainment?

Those are the risks and those are the constraints whenever conditions are such that a certain truth is, first, generally suppressed and unknown and, second, it just isn't "healthy" to discuss it openly.

The oldest talking film that still turns up regularly on television is the 1930 version of "Dracula", starring Bela Lugosi. Basically the stage play caught on film, it was an instant sensation and the ultra smooth and sophisticated air that Lugosi brought to the part is the one that remains with it to this day.

However, that was not the first filming of "Dracula".

In 1922, in Germany, F.W. Murnau directed the silent masterpiece, "Nosferatu". Instead of "Dracula", it was "Count Orlock". And instead of Transylvania, the setting was Hamburg. These small changes so that no royalties would have to be paid to the widow of the author, the Irishman, Bram Stoker. At that, the Murnau version kept a lot closer to the book than the subsequent Hollywood rendition.

There was nothing "charming" or "sexy" about Nosferatu. He was not the cadaverous fiend that Stoker described in his book. The horrific make-up on actor Alfred Abel - billed for the role as "Max Schreck" (schreck meaning terror in German) - became progressively worse as the film went on. When, as a child, I first saw clips on Ernie Kovacs' "Silents, Please", it scared the hell out of me.

Common knowledge today is the story behind the source for Stoker's protagonist, Dracula. An actual medieval prince named Vlad Tepes, "Vlad the Impaler", after his notoriously harsh treatment of captured Turkish invaders of his Walachian and Transylvanian domains where, even today, he is honored as a national hero. "Dracula" he inherited from his father as "Son of the Dragon".

This was and is no more than poetic or creative license. Ian Fleming took the name for his character - James Bond - from the author of a series of books on bird-watching. "Bond's" exploits, however, were real, being essentially Fleming's own as a former part of British Secret Service.

So from where did Stoker derive all the fabulous material with which to surround his own creation? During the 1890's, when "Dracula" was first written, the folk legend of vampires was still very much alive. Lately, modern investigation has revealed that much of the basis for local vampire hysteria stemmed from outbreaks of tuberculosis at

times when disease and the physical symptoms of death of decomposition, were generally misunderstood and superstition ruled.

That's where the stake through the heart originated but, ironically, it was by the very act of opening the graves and desecrating the bodies that the disease itself was spread.

These factual revelations, however fascinating, are still only representative of the rich pool of source material from which all skillful authors are free to draw their inspiration and their literary building blocks. This is not where the real legend of the vampires started from.

Names and identities, for one thing, are totally unimportant, except for those utterly common minds which thrive upon sheer anecdote in place of actual phenomenon, real historic event. We're discussing a plural, not a singular. The legend of the vampire involves a broad and enduring social happening, not a one-time oddity.

Location provides the first genuine clue. The fantasy "vampire" and the tuberculosis scarves may have found their venue in and around Transylvania but the real legend stems from a much vaster but far less known tract of land lying a little further to the east, just inside Russia, called the "Pale of Settlement".

Encompassing the Baltic States, Poland, White Russia and a great stretch of the Ukraine, all the way to the Black Sea, this was the area into which the Czar of Russia ordered the Jews to be confined once it was determined that they, collectively, were a hateful influence upon the national life. The Jews were not only a social and economic menace but also a threat to the Czar's business practices.

In other articles we've discussed Idumean Jews, Turkic people who fell heir to the ancient Hebrew Temple religion of the Old Testament and then turned it to conform to their own, native way as "*Judaism*". During the Eighth Century, A.D., their racial cousins to the north, known to history as Khazars, themselves converted en masse to Judaism and, at one stroke, became "Jews". These were the people who were confined to the Pale.

Vampires and blood, inseparable. For one, to suck blood directly implies parasitism. It was for this social and economic reason that the Czarist government had felt the necessity to locate all of its sizeable Jewish population together there in the Pale, outside the national life of Great Russia.

Interestingly enough, it was from these urban centers that the Bolshevik movement was born among these Jews and their kin, the likes of Lenin (Goldman), Trotsky (Bronstein), Stalin (Dzugashvili), etc., and which culminated in the Russian Revolution of 1918 and the murder of the Czar, his family and approximately thirty million other Russians. The "Red Terror", red being the color of Communism as well as of Idumea, during which, by the way, the Christian Church was openly declared war upon.

The vampire's aversion then also to the Christian

Cross. Merely a neat, colorful bit of theatrics for the sake of effect or another hidden clue? Remember that Vlad Tepes fought in the name of the Cross against invading Islamic Turks. Some inversion! Mightn't this strongest of symbolism be more literal and real than it ever occurs to the average person today? Being regarded here are the killers of Christ.

Back to blood and vampires again. Even today, it still comes up not infrequently in books published by the Jews themselves, the matter of "Blood Libel", or the "Blood Accusation". To the so-called "Anti-Semitic" movement, this is known as Jewish Ritual Murder. Very simply, as each of their high holy days approached - Purim, Passover, etc. - Christian children would disappear, never to be heard from again. Their throats would be cut, the blood collected and dried to be made into the holiday bread to be eaten.

Many of the Saints of the Catholic Church from the Middle Ages are some of these child victims whose identities and fates were established. Between this and the notorious, usurious financial practices of the Jews, the local populations were driven from time to time to lash back upon the Jews in their midst and these occasions are known to history as the "pogroms".

Which brings us to the vampire's legendary ability to "shape-shift", to change at will into other creatures such as the bat or the wolf. Exactly as a Jew can also be an atheist and yet remain a racial Jew, so too can he become a Zionist, or a Capitalist, a Liberal, a Conservative, etc., and still be first and foremost a Jew with loyalty to only himself and other Jews, regardless of outward guise.

Well known from the movies, the vampire must bring with him - or she - his native soil. Until their very recent seizure of Palestine in 1948, Jews of the world literally had no homeland of their own: *"The Wandering Jew"*. Stateless, rootless, without national allegiance of any kind. And yet citizens of every country, concentrating always in the centers of wealth and power, actively pursuing the monopolization of both.

The infernal, notorious strength of the vampire. All are aware or at least have some vague appreciation of Jewish involvement in finance. One apocryphal quote attributed to Jews is, *"Give me control over a nation's wealth and I care not who writes its laws."* This simply because, with sufficient money, anyone or anything can be bought - including entire governments, entire medias. With front men everywhere ("*Harkers*" or "*Renfields*") to do their bidding, with a total curtain, a complete information blind in force, their dictatorship is unseen and largely unsuspected. To quote from Bram Stoker, *"The strength of the vampire is that no one believes in him."*

The intimate association of vampires with rats, disease, death, etc. As Adolf Hitler succinctly put it in *Mein Kampf*, upon carefully investigating any social malady or curse, if one only probes deeply enough he'll invariably uncover a Jew. "...often quite blinded by the sudden light." Pornography, race-mixing, narcotics, gambling, homosexuality,

etc. Worse than this, governmental and social policies leading to national decay and death. The state of things here in the present is the best give-away as to who and what is in charge at the top.

To become a vampire's victim: To utterly lose one's soul, to in effect become one of them.

Touched upon in an earlier paragraph was the vampire's aversion to daylight. This is a direct allegory to the nature of any criminal conspiracy which must remain hidden from its intended victims lest they be alerted to take measures to defend themselves against it. Hidden in nature from all except those who have sold out to it, it could not survive exposure, the light of day. The Jews have taken care to have come to dominate all forms of media so as to be able to literally paint darkness as light and relegating their accusers to the margins. Truth is attacked as "hate".

Mirrors, of course. The vampire can't stand his own reflection. This is because he has no reflection. He is a lie. A mirror would betray this to any onlooker. The onlooker may be fooled but the mirror cannot be. Hence, the vampire will seek to shatter any mirrors he can find. In precisely the same sense then is this present world order, all of these worldly "ideals" and "values", all that passes for "reality", a damnable lie, the fabrication of Jews whom it is intended to serve. And we of the Movement are the mirrors. Note the new "Hate Laws" becoming enacted now where all the slander and stonewalling of the past have failed.

Dracula's objective? To invade the West, of course.

His seduction of the women. Satan's seduction of Eve. He knows where to strike.

Remember that when Stoker was penning his novel the Jews were just completing their grip over the inner-workings of the great nations of the world. Not only did "Dracula" appear during the 1890's, so did "The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion". These were the minutes of a meeting held in Switzerland of the top-most international Jews to hammer out their blueprint for moving on to outright political control of the Earth.

Now that too has become accomplished fact.

If you've noticed the society in which you live seeming a little weak or anemic lately, or diseased and repulsive, and you can't figure out why, go back and carefully re-read the full novel for further clues to the mystery.

Or maybe you'd still prefer the "safe" fiction over my dangerous reality.

You can go back to sleep.

Nighty, night!

WHOSE IS THE MASTER PLAN?
by Robert Burns

About the year 1897 a gathering of powerful but shadowy international figures met in Switzerland for the purpose of codifying a strategy for total world domination. Primarily representing high finance, the specifics were to discuss just how to extend this already established control inside the respective countries they each came from into comprehensive dominance over every aspect of national life and ultimately to subject formerly sovereign states under their one-world government-to-be.

Endless guesswork could be put forth as to the individual identities of these personalities, although the majority and their names would probably mean nothing to history. Such is the case with those who hold the actual power. And as much was declared by Benjamin Disraeli, British Prime Minister under Queen Victoria. One figure however undoubtedly had to have been Theodore Herzl who is known to history as the Father of Zionism. For this was the meeting of *the Learned Elders of Zion*.

Their document, *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, actually the minutes of that meeting, was leaked to the outside world, a copy making it to the British Museum, another into the hands of the Russian Czar who mass reproduced it for distribution as a warning to the world.

The Jews of the world, as one body, joined together in condemning it as a "fake" and a "forgery". Naturally, for what else could they say?

What is Zionism? Precisely that which is reflected in the pages of the Protocols: A movement among Jews to erect a world government with themselves in exclusive charge, over the heads of the rest of the peoples of the world - "Gentiles" or "Goyim" (Cattle), as the Jews refer to them. They regard themselves as the ones to whom God gave his covenant by which to rule the world.

Some people will suppose that it was this spirit of Zionism which animated the Jews of the world to seize Palestine and rename it "Israel" as a national homeland. Few realize that this one act in a much broader program only delivered into their hands the intended seat of their planned future world dictatorship.

Note well that the Arabs would long ago have, to use their own words, "driven the Jews into the sea" were it not for the 100% backing of the United States. But is it truly "backing" or is it only what may be expected on the part of a state having some time ago ceased to function independently, in its own interests and now only reacts to the commands of its real, hidden masters - the Jews themselves?

Conscious yet hidden. That defines conspiracy. If it were a covenant with God, it would not define this way.

If this were a part of prophecy, it wouldn't require stealth or deceit. Yet note the identity of those primarily upon whose backs all this manipulation and monopolization, the consolidation of power has proceeded: Those ones whose very

destiny has carried them to dominion over the Earth - the White nations of Europe and America. Here is the true covenant. Jews only represent a parasite carried in the bloodstream. The "Elect of God" are practically blind to themselves, yet carrying out their appointed mission, exactly as foretold in the Bible.

Well enough to toss off the insidious outline contained in the Protocols as "forgery" in the beginning. Within a few decades of its appearance, the precepts of the Protocols began to be played out on the world stage. The Federal Reserve, the First World War, the Communist Russian Revolution - with the murder of the Czar and his family in revenge - the toppling of the Christian monarchies of Eastern and Central Europe, all death blows to Western Civilization and to the very foundation of White society. By the 1920's, Henry Ford, Sr., was able to observe aptly that even if the Protocols were indeed forgeries, the Jews of the world were following them to the letter, as a blueprint.

Things were proceeding at a lightening pace. Europe and Russia were done. Deep rumblings were beginning to be heard in the colored world as they prepared to come out from under colonial rule. Within the United States there came the Great Depression, the "New Deal", "Social Security", the graduated income tax, etc., all part of the underlayment of what is referred to in Revelation as 666, the Mark of the Beast, without which no one could even buy or sell. In short, no one outside of this Beast System could survive.

If the Anti-Christ had his own forerunner and that forerunner had a face, then it had to have been the face of Franklin Roosevelt.

By 1933 it was effectively accomplished. Those with a grasp of history will know that within the Roosevelt regime the spirit, sentiment and commitment was essentially that of Soviet Communism. Except that, here again, the American people themselves wanted no part of it. So things had to move ahead carefully, in disguise, as part of "emergency" measures to offset the Depression - itself deliberately and artificially brought about.

One of the first acts of the Roosevelt government was to give diplomatic recognition to the Soviet Union whereas the rest of the civilized world had all along staunchly refused to do this. Some who were in the know realized that this was a case of the parent merely granting recognition to its offspring. This fact itself would then indicate positively that the actual nature of the *Jewish Millennium* was in reality that of Stalinist Russia and it just doesn't get any more brutal and ugly than that.

Over here and all over Western Europe, according to the media, etc., it was all admiration for the "reforms for the workers" in the Soviet Union. Of course we know who had already come to own and operate the media as well as more and more politicians. They couldn't take the West by force as they had done in Russia and as they had attempted and failed in Hungary and Germany. So a media "blitz", a "softening-up" was going to be required. Exactly like the "override fruit",

as Lenin postulated, would the West ultimately fall to Bolshevism, without a shot being fired.

But then appeared Adolf Hitler who, by working a social, political and economic miracle, first liberated Germany from its own, endemic branch office government of the one-world order, then took it out of the insipid one-world apparatus - at the time known as the "League of Nations" - and put the nation back on its feet with the slogan of the NSDAP, *Deutschland erweckt!* (Germany Awake!)

The most advanced nation on Earth now awakened and outside of the Jewish sphere. The very nation that Lenin had cited as the linchpin, the very key to world revolution, now in diametric opposition to this same world revolution. With but minor exceptions, the rest of the world declared war upon Germany already then in 1933, albeit strictly in economic form at first. Finally a hot war was ignited in 1939. Germany accounted for itself magnificently well for the next six years before being snuffed out by the weight of the rest of the world in 1945.

Roosevelt's Treasury Secretary, the Jew Henry Morgenthau, Jr., had previously devised a plan which later bore his name to erase Germany as a nation by apportioning its lands to surrounding neighbors, dismantling its industry and allowing its population to starve. Jewish revenge. This plan was proceeding according to schedule until the overactions of the Soviets prompted a reaction in the West among those not fully under the spell of the internationalists. "Anti-Communism". The Cold War. As a matter of pure necessity, the pressure on Germany was relaxed. "West Germany" was created (though the armies of occupation remained to ensure no revival of National Socialism), and, as though by prophecy, the Christian nations were united militarily against Communism in a great polarization. Each of the two Germanies soon rose again to pre-eminence in the world and the original scheme of the Protocols was ruined, thanks to the sacrifice of Adolf Hitler and Germany.

Soviet Communism fell - the only conceivable fate for a system of terror, based on lies and having been denied consummation for nearly half a century. The only hope for the Jewish Dictatorship now would have to come through the West where it still was required to conceal itself behind a mask of "democracy" and operate through nominally "White" sell-out politicians, or *Judas-goats*.

Which brings us to the present time and place. The tool of the Jews, George Bush, after leading one more war against a sovereign nation awake to the Zionist conspiracy - Iraq - himself declared the "New World Order". And there it is. The form to be fleshed out by a Soviet-style reality. That itself is proceeding apace. The master plan appears to be within reach of its goal: Naked, outright world control, without the need for any pretenses, of front men or branch offices.

The Protocols won't be fulfilled minus that "brass ring" of openly-declared Jewish world government. An insane ultimate requirement for an insane plan. The wrecked "League

of Nations" was quickly replaced by the "United Nations". For the moment, even while the symbolic seat of their world power is in Israel, the functional seat is the United States itself. And here we see the Biblical *Whore of Babylon, the Beast System*.

But there is a hitch to this and I can see it even if you can't.

We've already witnessed it with the demise of the Soviet system. Lies don't work. They can be inflated and enforced by coercion and terror but only as long as actual substance survives by which the rulers can pay their hired minions to do their bidding and can keep the masses of people paid-off and docile. This substance must be real and not a lie. Also, there has to remain some skeletal framework of an actual society - not some Marxist dream - over which the covering of lies can drape and be supported. In short, the very essence of what the parasitic conspiracy first set its sights upon to attack.

As any student of World Jewry will well know, their ultimate control equals destruction. Lies consume everything living, of worth, and leave only an impossible, unworkable mess in their wake. Look at the state of Russia following the collapse of the Soviet system. The "Midas Touch" in reverse. American society as we see it today - and worsening rapidly.

To the perfectly detached and objective person, I would ask how long can these present trends continue? Is there or is there not a bottom coming up? Is there or is there not a certain climax approaching? Like the dog who chased cars. What would he do if he should ever catch one? Like the man who believed he could cheat the law of gravity by leaping off of a cliff and flying. He got away with it for a little while...

Dictatorship is here now. Make no mistake. They continue to fumble around with old and familiar forms out of habit but in effect it is dictatorship. The hidden enemy wormed his way into all aspects of national life and succeeded in knocking the natural order way off base, in line with his own Protocols. He assumed control. However, as a result of doing what had to be done to take this control, the object itself was killed. And that was a healthy, viable society. With total dominance, the society has come to resemble its actual master - the Jews. Insane because of their very impurities, this can't stand on its own. It can only be supported as a parasite within a healthy organism. The organism itself is now faltering and is about to die.

Now to the Revelation of St. John.

"Therefore rejoice ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabitants of the earth and of the sea! For the devil has come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time." Revelation 12:12.

Satanic, Jewish rule will last only as long as this current System lasts. It is their System and it is dying even as I write these words simply because it is death itself and with its own triumph it has consumed all life. Whether it realizes this or will admit it to itself is immaterial. Any but a fool can see it and, more to the point, the

System's reactions (and note the use of the term "reactions" for the System is no longer calling the shots but is now subject to the consequences of its own rotten policies) are the witnesses that the Beast is now feeding upon itself.

Every move we witness today coming from the government - the Beast - is geared at least as much toward keeping the pieces from falling apart and the foundation from crumbling as it is toward extending their control and imposing their dictates as in times past. They are feeling the necessity of extraordinary measures now, as their empire begins to turn to sand between their fingers and beneath their feet, as the very fabric of the society they transformed to their image begins to simply no longer work. A police state will buy it a little more time but eventually all will come up bankrupt, three lemons.

Wrath is the reaction of those whose "Utopia" this is, or was. Wrath directed toward an impossible situation and against all those who have called it as it is.

"And they worshipped the dragon which gave power unto the Beast: and they worshipped the Beast, saying, 'Who is like unto the Beast? Who is able to make war with him?'" Revelation 13:4.

The fate of those within and without the United States who may come into opposition with its policies or who may merely seek to remove themselves from them? Persecution and death. The Beast, even in death, the unchallenged power on Earth.

Even direct reference to *"the synagogue of Satan, which says they are Jews, and are not, but do lie."* Revelation 3:9.

The end? *"He that leadeth into captivity shall go into captivity: he that killeth with the sword must be killed with the sword. Here is the patience of the saints."* Revelation 13:10.

"And he that overcometh, and keepeth my works unto the end, to him I will give power over the nations:", Revelation 2:26.

With awareness should come an increase in not only patience but in assurance. Not so much "faith" because such a great portion of it has already come to pass. How could anyone doubt the outcome?

Who possessed the master plan? Those who contrived to dethrone order - and succeeded - or that which foresaw it from the beginning and set forth its outcome way in advance?

No, not extraterrestrials. "*Not one's own*," as defined by one good dictionary. If one has been reading the companion articles to this one, he'll already know that it is one of our cardinal contentions that we are the direct offspring of the extraterrestrials, just as described in the prose of the Bible. Therefore, these could in no way be considered as *alien* to ourselves.

However, one very excellent source and authority on the subject - Jesus of Nazareth - did make it his life's business to point out to us the deadly danger posed by actual aliens who were and are in our midst. These he called Jews. Again, if one has been keeping up with these essays, he'll also know that still another of our primary thrusts is that it is the Anglo-Saxons, as they are known today, who were long ago known as Israelites whereas those today known as Jews were then and are now a mix of scrub breeds who happened to be conquered and converted to the Hebrew Temple.

Prior to that time and that place, i.e., the Holy Land of Jesus' day and several centuries before, there just were no "Jews" as we know them now and so the story picks up recently, as historic terms go, and can be studied in its entirety.

Our mistake. Always our own mistake whenever having dealings with alien races. Always our detriment and downfall. Only through contact with the "West", that is, with ourselves, that those making up the Third World today outnumber us ten-to-one and possess much of our own technology. Can you imagine where they'd be had we all along been careful to heed God's command and *have absolutely nothing to do with them*? Their numbers would be negligible and their threat would be nil.

But among all of the alien races we have encountered over the millennia, none come close to having the impact as that wielded by the Jews.

No other foreign blood has come to so infiltrate, rise to the top and thus *dominate* White life as have the Jews since first they were taken into White society in ancient Israel. Sometimes we have escaped them, as with the great migrations out of the Middle East and over the Caucasus Mountains into Europe. Sometimes we have expelled them, as during the period known as the Renaissance when they were banished to the East. But whenever they establish themselves among us, it amounts to a hidden, parasitic organism latching itself onto our very bowels and nervous system.

This piece might even be viewed as a sort of "benefit of the doubt" insofar as Jewish motivations are concerned. It might also be considered as an attempt to define what evil actually is. It could accurately be stated that evil is as evil does. So why then do Jews work evil?

I'm dismayed at the more awake and enlightened Christian types who patiently await that day when God opens all eyes, including those of the Jews who will then be turned

from their nefarious ways and join decent, honest and productive humanity. This by itself flies directly in the face of what is said in the Bible and spoken by Jesus himself as the Jews are discussed. "A leopard cannot change its spots nor an Ethiopian his skin." Jesus said that he and the Jews were of different fathers, that they "couldn't hear him" for that reason and, furthermore, not being able to understand him, they would try to kill him.

These are all racial references. Commonly then just as now, Jews are accepted as "White" within White societies, having only a "somewhat different" set of beliefs than ourselves. This fact only underscores what terrific significance can be carried in differences nowhere nearly so dramatic on the outside as on the inside.

Knowing in advance what the outcome would be of any intercourse between ourselves and those peoples of Canaan and environs who would later become "Jews", God nevertheless made it abundantly plain that we were to have nothing to do with them. That we in fact were to drive them all out or kill them. We did not. And only upon being absorbed by us and our society, assimilating our knowledge and beliefs, not to mention a proportion of our blood through intermarriage, did they become the dire threat which they were and are.

Our own sins upon us.

A mixed race. A mixed psyche. I've posed it before in these articles: How could a pure spirit ever be housed in an impure vessel?

Something added. Something missing. The drive and the ability to invade, climb and, again, to dominate. But the inability to act for the positive, with any lasting good. Their "success" the success of the parasite: Draining the life of the host until the host sickens and dies, thus spelling also the death of the parasite itself. They are a mix and God never intended there should be mixes and, therefore, no blessings ever are to be forthcoming their way.

In the confusion of the translating of the Bible, all those occupying the Holy Land and declaring faith in the Temple are referred to as "Jews". It is stated that God will bless those who bless them and curse those who curse them. We can see the truth of the matter reflected in any land which is a White land and any land which is a colored land. Racial Jews being smart enough to know which side the bread is buttered on without fail gravitate to lands that are White and prosperous. Then the sorrows begin. Confusion of the issue thus should be eliminated.

Adolf Hitler said in *Mein Kampf* that being Jewish was a state of mind. This is so true that it goes right over the heads of most. Not a true race, after all, but a certain mentality brought on by a crossing of genes against the intention of God. The evil then is in the results upon the Whites as a consequence.

Make no mistake, this mindset can and has infected all of otherwise genetically White society and it is this and only this which is threatening to destroy them completely. When it is said in the Bible that they are spiritually "lost"

or "sick". this is what is meant. They are unaware and defenseless against that which would harm them.

Any person at all intelligent in these times amazes and rankles at the spectacle of those in society who got to be where they are in professional, sensitive, lucrative or otherwise key positions through such as "qualifications" or, worse, "quotas", etc. This is proof positive, testimony to the degree and extent of Jewish mentality over general affairs, indeed, over the thought processes of the whole society. It's gone "Jewish".

Jesus was the very first to cite this as he is quoted in the Gospels of the New Testament. They had come to infiltrate and dominate his world and to twist it to their own, peculiar bent. As a child and as a young adult, it made absolutely no sense to me at all why this man who only wanted to do good was so hated by the world around him that he was finally put to death and his followers, likewise, were officially hounded underground. He was "*politically incorrect*".

Moreover, he was *racial* about it. Most serious of all, he was *effective* about it and was promising to overturn the system of darkness and restore the proper order. The Jews simply took steps to guard their interests.

Stemming from them having a different father than his own, in his own words Satan as opposed to God himself, it was within them to place emphasis on the details of a matter as opposed to the higher, governing principle. Picking a thing apart, going through the motions, going by the book, by the letter, getting absolutely lost in the interpretation. In short, taking it backward. "Brilliant" have they always been at this but it is easy, when seen this way, to understand why anything under their direction gets turned in upon itself and degenerates to nothing. No higher purpose.

Look at the Church, "*Judeo-Christianity*". Meaningless, absurd, suicidal nonsense! All the millions of fools and pretenders who swear by a thing that they don't even understand. They don't understand it because it makes no sense. But it's all very well assembled into a tight picture that must be "learned" and "repeat after me". They don't even see that the Bible tells them that God will be required to come and save them from the effects of the superstition they have fallen into, believing it to be "*the will of God*".

Look at Communism, *Marxism*. It appears fine on paper but was and is nothing but a sham and a disaster in practical function. To their mind, an anthill would represent the ideal performance and structure of a society. But this is contrary to the nature and spirit imbued to the White race by God, its creator. Taking it backward, of course, all that is needed is some "education" on the matter, some "restructuring" and, should all else fail, some force as applied by the State apparatus the control over which they have usurped.

I should add right away that it is no different in its essence than the scenario as it is under the concept coined at the same time by Karl Marx... *Capitalism*.

Some say it is because, again due to this "blind spot"

in their thought processes, they happen to believe they are the "Elect of God" (because they infected and so corrupted the Hebrew Temple that we bailed out of it wholesale, leaving them the hollow wreckage) and are thereby entitled - mandated - to employ whatever devious, heavy-handed means necessary to rule the rest of the peoples of the world, riding upon the backs of the Whites as their steed. But the Communist Jews showed that it is actually more deep-seated than even this.

Their brains, their thought patterns can do no differently than this. "God" would make a nice cover but, in basic fact, they only do what is in their nature to do. They thought it out, they hatched it, it proceeds from them. Therefore, it has to be right and must be made to work, despite anything. This is the Jewish outlook. You can't tell me you don't notice this prevailing sentiment in all of the laws today which you are subject to.

Right, justice and plain common sense have no role any longer. An artificial and impossible arrangement is being hammered out painfully and clumsily. The workings of the society they first came to invade - insofar as they still actually work - are utilized to implement this metamorphosis but, in working practicality, are destroying themselves in a futile attempt.

Attention to the superficial to the denial of the subtle and profound. In this mess they have made there is a real problem between divergent races of people who, once again, God forbid should even be together in any context. The Jewish answer? Forced integration resulting in miscegenation, or cross-breeding, leading to the eventual erasure of distinct races and the creation of one brown mass. "The only difference is the color of the skin." The supreme abomination in the eyes of God and as all of history has demonstrated, the death of civilization.

However, being aware they themselves are racial bastards, of what significance then to them could pure race represent other than a stumbling block to their own supremacy?

This is only the way it works, only the way it is. Cause and effect. Action and reaction. A neat trick that a big, heavy book - the Bible - could so snugly wrap around all of this. A coincidence? Too much of a coincidence, I maintain. But it is so very easy to get lost when dealing in terms of "good" versus "evil". No, something bigger is going on. If we were to think otherwise, guess what... We'd be thinking just like Jews.

"Fairness". That's one of theirs. God created nature and in all of nature there is no such thing as "fairness". There is what leads to survival and there is what leads to death. If you don't rip the weeds out of the garden they'll in short order choke out all the plants. How "fair" is that? How "fair" is it to cross yourself out of the equation genetically because some "expert" says it is the "fair" thing to do?

Set up a framework according to someone's "ideal" and proceed to make everything conform to that. If that isn't

taking it backward, then I misunderstand the definition. It was Hitler who said. *"We created the State. The State didn't create us. We order the State. The State doesn't order us."* The concept of "democracy". This is an impossible proposition, a guarantee of enforced, perpetuated confusion. The complimentary notion of "equality". Another impossibility leading straight up to the confusion of bloodlines, of races. Even in the area of economics, the idea of speculation - of unearned income - and of high interest, or "usury" as the Bible calls it even as it forbids its practice. All Jewish in nature. All tyrannical. All disastrous.

You've noticed the "attitude" of the officials, whether they be White, colored or Jewish. Aside from getting where they are through presenting the right paperwork or going through the right motions, once having gotten there, it seems the only real "qualities" shared in common are the abilities to be arrogant, domineering and overblown. It is a Jewish attitude but one which has caught on generally as the society itself becomes more and more reflective of its real masters.

Corruption and perversion. These are universal conditions. They are seen and accepted as such. Go for the money and the pleasures it can buy. See what has come about through their seizing control of education, entertainment and all media a century ago. A national population of "spiritual Jews". And people still babble about "morals" and "values"?! You begin to catch a glimpse of the driving, prevalent atmosphere. Within this all goodness has approximately the same chance as the proverbial snowflake in hell.

Keep it in good taste and don't get caught at it. That's the "1" requisite for being a tool of the Jews. Once the man-made laws have been manipulated into place and the public sufficiently softened and conditioned to it, then any foul thing, any mortal sin, is perfectly "okay" just so long as it fits the pre-established and "proper" parameters. That's a rather presumptuous thing, after all... Taking the liberty of stating what's really "right" and "wrong" in direct defiance of what God has stated in the Bible. (Or, if you prefer, despite what the lessons of history have taught us is poison to a healthy people.)

We're in trouble because we're infected by an alien spirit. And we're reaping the results of this. They may be uniformly labelled as "evil". But we must remember always that evil doesn't see itself as evil. Just "good, coming from a different direction". The only important thing is that that direction is not our direction. What is evil then? How about folly when it is elevated to "wisdom" and then backed by State authority? How could any results from that be anything other than what we see?

The end can be seen looming up quickly. It was predicted in its most minute detail in the Bible. And this can in no way be seen as coincidence. A higher source, a higher principle, a higher purpose... a *higher power*.

People agonize and speculate endlessly over the possible significance of the "666" as mentioned by John in *Revelation*. To me, the best guess at this is that it

symbolizes the *imperfect system of man*. Seven being the number which Biblically symbolizes perfection, or that of Godly inspiration, and six falling short of that. Not much of a difference, one might think. But as this piece began, great differences can be carried in seemingly small - at least outwardly - variations. If it were not so, I doubt we'd ever have begun, much less continued, to stick our hand into the fire.

The word then is *alien*. Alien infiltration, alien influence. Don't accept my word on it. Consult Jesus as he confronted these people as recounted in the four Gospels. That word, *alien*, had yet to be coined then but read carefully his extensive prose as he strains to expose the exquisiteness of this one major dilemma of all mankind.

The Jews themselves undoubtedly would call this "scapegoating" or "defamation". That's how their minds run.

What about yours?



The author on the Main St. Bridge, Pueblo, Colorado, which overlooks the Arkansas River and the levy upon which hundreds of murals were painted, including this one of the Stars and Bars.

THE ROYALS
by Robert Burns

Anyone who spends any time consulting the media cannot have escaped the attention heaped upon Britain's Royal Family. The popular fascination with so-called "celebrities" - Hollywood stars, rock stars, sports stars, politicians, millionaires and, of course, royalty. The hollowness goes beyond the worship of fame and wealth, into pure gossip. Naturally, gossip is at its best when dealing with the seedy and scandalous. This is a broad statement on the shape of the society and its values when the chief source of this emanates from those now positioned at the very top.

Never a moralist by nature, I try not to pay attention to what a Kennedy or a Windsor might do with their private life but, instead, what they're doing for the people they're supposed to represent. Many a ruler in history has earned a randy reputation but as long as his people and his realm were prospering, what did it matter? However, when the nation is sinking and suffering while those in high places continue to cavort - flaunting their privilege to the envy of the common masses - it is generally a time of shame even while certain climax prepares to close in.

The position of the British Royal House is at present unique because for some years now the question has been regularly put to the public whether or not the monarchy should be abolished. And the answer to the affirmative has been perennially high. Is this but one more meaningless tabloid poll? Is it possible to take a valid position on this issue? In the context of the times, does it even bear any relevance? On the surface, the answers would appear to be, yes, a meaningless diversion, and, no, there is no relevance. The royals are merely symbolic in any case and, even should their status as figure heads be eliminated, they'd still remain the filthy rich playboys that they are while the lot of the common person would not change.

But it is this very superficiality that all aware persons must train themselves to beware of. In general, we're all stuck with the same media. Someone said that the media only reports five percent of what's going on and even that much is distorted. In the public eye recently have been three things bearing directly upon the matter of British royalty, each one carrying part of the answer to the crucial and unasked question: Is there anything contained in the origins of this tradition that might yield some light on an otherwise dark and dreary subject?

These three news and media items included: The release of the successful film, "Braveheart"; The removal of the "Stone of Scone" from Westminster Abbey to Scotland; And the latest round of on-going troubles in Northern Ireland during which reportage of a curious sign showing an open palm superimposed over a six-pointed star could be noticed. What's all this? Who knows, who cares? Little things count. It's often in what the media doesn't tell you...

Let's start with that one which the media only

inadvertantly flashed in the course of their videotaping of Northern Irish rioting, without any comment. The Red Hand of Ulster in front of what looks to be a Star of David. Strange and out of place? Also without meaning? Not if the story behind it is known. But don't rely on the media to tell it.

In Egypt about the year 1600 B.C., well before the famous Exodus, twin sons were born to Judah, son of Jacob and founder of one of the Twelve Tribes of Israel. The attending midwife saw a tiny hand emerging and tied a cord of red around its wrist to mark it as the first born, entitling it to its father's birthright. As it happened, however, the other twin was delivered first. That one, Pharez, was granted the birthright while the one bearing the red cord, Zarah, was denied. One more meaningless Jewish fable? It doesn't stop there.

This blood feud within one family led to a split, with one descendant of Pharez coming to head one royal house - as King David of Israel - and two descendants of Zarah - Calcol and Darda - founding and heading the royal houses of Ireland and Britain, respectively. Calcol had made straight for Ireland while Darda went by way of Troy, giving his name to the Straits of Dardanelles, his offspring only making their way to Britain about the year 1100 B.C. following the destruction of Troy by the Greeks.

Of course, all of this was held until most lately to be a collection of myth and fable. Only a century ago was Troy actually found by Heinrich Schliemann and that curious symbol which somehow made it to Northern Ireland and remains in use to this day had its start somewhere.

It is a matter of migrations of one people, over many centuries, from one part of the world to another. The Bible carefully traces part of these sojourns from Babylon, to Egypt, to Canaan and back to Babylon before its story leaves off. That date is about 600 B.C., at the close of the Old Testament, when Persians conquered Assyrians and Babylonians, allowing the by then captive Tribes of Israel, numbering some ten million, to either return to Jerusalem or depart elsewhere. Fewer than fifty thousand made the return.

Our school history books often pick up at about the time of the sudden appearance into Europe of the "barbarians" coming from the east. To my own great frustration even then as a young student, their point of origin was either unknown or undisclosed. Only that they seemed to have come from the general direction of the Caucasus Mountains, on the border between Europe and Asia, from which Whites today take their name, *Caucasian*.

For some reason only half of the story is permitted to be generally known. Half a story is sometimes no story at all and, certainly, half a truth is all a lie.

The rest of the story, the first half and that part which is so critical to understanding, is that those migrations of the Gauls, Goths, Jutes, Visigoths, Vandals, etc., represented the tail-end of a long progression out of the Near East and into Europe of those people known today as Anglo-Saxons. They were going to join their kinsmen already

established there, primarily concentrating in the north-west corner of Europe and especially the British Isles. That ingathering of British folk was only completed as late as 1066 with the Norman invasion.

From the United Kingdom of Israel with its Twelve Tribes to the United Kingdom of England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland. Ancient Israel began to split apart following the death of Solomon, about the year 970 B.C. Great Britain itself suffered the split with the Irish Republic immediately after the First World War.

It isn't revealed, for example, that the name *Britain* itself is of Hebrew origin: *Brith* meaning "covenant" and *ain* meaning "land" with *ish* standing for "people". The other, older names for Ireland, Wales and Scotland - *Hibernia*, *Cambria* and *Caledonia* - also share Hebrew origin. The older languages of the islands - Gaelic, Welsh, Cornish and Erse - remain closely tied to the original Hebrew. The heraldry is the same as with ancient Israel: The Lion of Judah; The Unicorn of Ephraim; The Harp of David; Even the Ox which we find today in "John Bull". The Crown of St. Edward contains the same twelve jewels as adorned the breastplate of ancient Israel's high priests, representing each of the Twelve Tribes.

Those who watched and were moved by the film "Braveheart" ought to recall the major supporting character, Robert Bruce. In the year 1320 he issued to Pope John XXII what has become known as the Scottish Declaration of Independence:

"We know, Most Holy Father and Lord, and from the chronicles and books of the ancients gather that among other illustrious nations, our, to wit the nation of the Scots, has been distinguished by many honors; which passing from the greater Scythia through the Mediterranean Sea and Pillars of Hercules, and sojourning in Spain among the most savage tribes through a long course of time could, nowhere be subjugated by any people however barbarous; and coming thence one thousand two hundred years after the outgoing of the people of Israel, they, by many victories and infinite toil, acquired for themselves the possessions in the West which they now hold."

In the news also was the moving, with great ceremony, of the Stone of Scone, or the Stone of Destiny, from Westminster Abbey where it had lain for seven hundred years, and to Scotland. In a few reports it was mentioned that the stone was reputed to be the Biblical "Jacob's Pillow" from Genesis. 28. More fable?

The story behind the story is that having already become a sacred relic to the people of Israel, the stone was used in every coronation of every king of Israel for centuries until the capture of Jerusalem and the sacking of the Temple by the Babylonians. It was later taken to Egypt by the Prophet Jeremiah, who escaped about the year 585 B.C., along with his scribe, Baruch, and the two daughters of the last king of Judah, Zedekiah.

At around the same time in Ireland the arrival was

recorded of a venerated old man, "Ollam Fodhla" as the Irish called him, an aide of his they called "Brug", two princesses and a sacred stone. Their route had been from Egypt, through Spain and to Ireland. The eldest of the daughters, Tea Tephi, was married to the King of Ulster, a Prince of Zarah, thus reuniting the House of Judah there in the British Isles.

In 503 A.D. the line passed to Scotland with Fergus the Great and later to England when James VI of Scotland became James I of England.

The stone had followed the blood line and of this Genesis 49:8-10 says, "Judah, thou art he whom thy brethren shall praise... thy father's children shall bow down before thee... the sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a law giver from between his feet, until Shiloh come."

It doesn't stop there.

Almost immediately following the crucifixion of Jesus, his uncle, Joseph of Arimathea - the man who had supplied the "upper room" for the Last Supper and offered his own tomb for Jesus' burial - along with the Apostle Philip and their retinue, arrived in Britain about 36-39 A.D. They built the first church at Glastonbury and had with them the cup used at the Last Supper - the "Holy Grail". Joseph had been a wealthy businessman who owned tin mines in the area of Cornwall. His tomb was discovered in 1927 bearing the inscription, "I Came To the Britons After I Buried Christ. I Taught. I Rest."

Interesting and overlooked as well is that in December of 1917, at the conclusion of the Biblical period of chastisement for the Tribes of Israel of "Times Seven", the city of Jerusalem was liberated from the occupying Turks by British forces under Allenby. On the hilts of their swords were inscribed the symbol of the Star of David and the royal bandmen carried silver bugles, like those of Joshua's army. Even the music of the bagpipes had returned.

But who knows and who cares?

These royals today are an unworthy and miserable lot, yes. They have stood by and presided over the dismantlement of the British Empire, they watched as that group of people cursed in the Bible as *Edomites* seized control of the Holy Land in 1948 - "false Jews" - and today they appear content to carouse while England is overrun by Third World immigrants. Ireland has already gone its separate way while Wales and Scotland each have their own strong secessionist movements. All while these royals disgrace themselves and their people.

Where is the significance?

In the Book of Kings are listed all of the kings of Judah and of Israel, following the split after the death of Solomon. A total of thirty-six, evenly divided. Only nine of these, or barely one-fourth, "did good in the eyes of the Lord" while twenty-seven, or a majority three-fourths, "did evil in the eyes of the Lord." So it remains to this day.

That, however, is not the point.

The point is that this unbroken line of Judah is destined to occupy the Throne of David until Jesus himself - a descendant of Judah and of David through his mother -

returns to claim it forever. One would expect he will be disturbed to see the abuse and neglect of its authority. Nonetheless, there it is.

With all of this having sunk so low, the talk of abolishing the monarchy, the breaking-up of the union, the tampering with the Stone of Destiny, etc., it would tend to look as though the moment of that return couldn't be long delayed.

Or hadn't any of this occurred to you End-Timers?

DID JAMES BOND KILL PRINCESS DI?
by Robert Burns

105

James Bond fans will know that Bond's creator, Ian Fleming, was himself the real James Bond just as it was with the creator of Sherlock Holmes, Sir Arthur Conan-Doyle, who was the actual super sleuth who often aided Scotland Yard in solving mysteries.

Fleming wrote his own personal experiences into each of his "007" novels, drawing from his days as part of British Secret Service or British Intelligence. Fleming's growing dismay at the rapid decline of Western Civilization and especially the British Empire following the conclusion of the Second World War was also reflected in his Bond stories.

Most obvious were the identities given to Fleming's villains: Goldfinger, Blofeld, Klebb, Dr. No, etc. He was trying to tell his readers something. While Fleming's character, the hero, Bond, modelled after himself, was carrying on a desperate behind-the-scenes battle to hold the line of civilization against the encroachment of one-worldism with undisguised overtones of Bolshevism.

For Bond as for the rest of us, however, its been a losing battle steadily with sell-out politicians at the head of all Western nations pulling the wool over the eyes of their constituents while letting the enemy in through the back door. Worse, an enemy-controlled media has thoroughly eroded the culture and the values of these same people who are marked for destruction to the point where they can't tell the difference between friend and foe.

The fact is that all the "intelligence" and "counter-intelligence" in the world, all of the powerful police and military are useless against the gradual and insidious effects of these rats in the pilings. Everything is rotting from the inside, out. It would stand to reason that they'd want to keep you on guard against some external enemy.

These symptoms of decay had long ago reached into the British Royal Family and most lately have played themselves out before the public with the sad story of Princess Diana.

Having already practically flattened the institution of marriage among the common masses, creeping liberalism broke forth within the House of Windsor, the present generation of British royalty, with the divorce of Princess Diana from Prince Charles.

The absence of love and the presence of infidelity has never been anything unusual. Yet, a sense of duty and responsibility had previously demanded that the marriage be maintained for the common good, for the sake of the structure of the society, of the family, of the children, of the future itself. Now all that has been discarded and the philosophy has become "do what thou wilt."

That loosening of the outlook plus the liberal upbringing itself which inculcates into the brain of the individual that a suicidal attitude is somehow a virtue. To dedicate oneself to pouring out their care and concern, their resources and energy upon people and situations that amount

to bottomless pits of waste and despair. This very kind of activity is what Princess Diana had come to be identified with from the time of her divorce until the time of her death.

Alien domination has resulted in the most vile hypocrisy on the part of all the people but especially the rulers. "Politically correct" is one thing but natural instinct and working practicality are another. Officially, ideally, as demanded by the alien power behind the scenes which holds the purse strings and pulls the wires, all is "peace", "love", "brotherhood", "equality" and one-worldism. But even as all the puppet rulers "elected by the people", for the sake of their own wealth and prestige, have literally sold out their own people to the dictates of these suicidal policies, an infrastructure of "reaction" and "racism" yet is maintained in order to keep the show running and hold the pieces together.

Yet, these foul concepts which are hailed as great virtue are taking a terrible toll upon the people and the society at all levels.

It was alright to raise the girl, Diana Spencer, poisoned in mind and spirit by all these liberal dogma. It was alright to cast her off by a divorce. It was alright to see her now cavorting around as an international call girl. It was alright to have her image splashed all over the tabloids, pictured in the arms of wealthy Third World playboys. A magnificent example for the millions of other "Dianas" in the world.

Then it was learned she was about to marry the Egyptian character. It's alright for all the other "Dianas" of the world to interbreed with whatever as that is the cornerstone of "brotherhood". However, for centuries past and right up to date, minted into all coins of the British Commonwealth around the image of the monarch, is the title "Defender of the Faith".

Immediately following the fatal incident in that Paris tunnel, the Egyptian press was claiming that Diana and her party had been set up by British Intelligence because she was about to bring a Moslem into the extended Royal Family, as step-father to a future king.

Initially, it was the French paparazzi who were blamed for the crash and then it was the chauffeur who supposedly was drunk at the time. Both claims have been brought into question. Now extra car parts and paint scrapings have been found in the tunnel. Eyewitnesses have come forward testifying to have seen one or even two more cars speeding away from the crash site.

Whatever else British Intelligence may be capable of, we know they draw no line at murder in foreign countries. Perhaps the best example of this in recent years was the killing of Rudolf Hess in Berlin's Spandau Prison in August of 1987.

Hess, who had been Adolf Hitler's deputy in the Third Reich, was a man in his nineties, who had been the lone inmate at Spandau since 1966 when the rest of the Nuremberg

defendants were released, all of them having been confined there since 1946. The official version by the British who were in control of Spandau at the time, was that Hess had committed suicide by hanging himself.

The Hess family in Germany never accepted that.

The Soviet Union had been around that time feeling its way toward "glasnost" as a first step to an easy let-down because they knew the rotten Soviet system was going to collapse. For decades the issue of release for Hess had been batted back and forth amongst the former Allies who continued to share control over Spandau Prison. Anytime the suggestion was made on the basis of simple humanity, conveniently, one or another of the governing nations would veto it and the matter would be tabled.

Now the Soviets announced that when it was next their turn to occupy the prison, they would let Hess walk.

It had been the mission of Hess in May of 1941 to fly solo to England as Hitler's personal emissary in order to address Parliament as a last-ditch measure to avoid the destruction of Western Civilization should World War Two go on to its conclusion.

But it was the very intention of the hidden wire-pullers that this should occur. Indeed, they had a considerable investment made in their puppet Prime Minister, Winston Churchill, and his war party to engineer a spreading conflagration and so Hess was immediately arrested and imprisoned incommunicado upon crash landing his Messerschmidt in Scotland.

After the War, Hess was brought to Nuremberg to stand trial as a major "war criminal". He was duly convicted and sentenced to life. The powers felt confident he would relatively soon die in confinement and that would be that. His story would never be told.

But the old man was tough and he fooled them. Now, from being an embarrassment to their cruelty, he suddenly became an imminent threat to the security of their world conspiracy. Hess was strangled to death to prevent his talking in freedom.

All of which makes one wonder at the actual purpose of those guards at the hospital room of the single survivor of the crash that killed Diana. To "protect" him or to ensure he did none of the wrong talking. Just as Hess wasn't supposed to survive, this man hadn't been expected to live, either. How inconvenient.

A situation very similar to the unhandy presence of Lee Harvey Oswald after the Kennedy assassination or that of Marilyn Monroe earlier. Always a "plausible excuse" but always a victim with a dynamite story to tell.

Diana was killed before she could commit a supreme act of abomination in the eyes of the entrenched British establishment. She had become a dangerous loose cannon. Just as with Kennedy after his murder at the hands of his own masters, the same System that killed Diana could feel absolutely free to permit her dead corpse to be idolized by an adoring - if duped - public, harmlessly. Let the people

have their cherished illusions if it helps hold them in their chains. The armies of mourners all identified with the despicable, liberal causes Diana had given herself over to in life. All sympathy to her and that Egyptian wog. Fine example, again.

Amazing, actually, an assassination of this sort and for such a reason in view of the monarchy having presided over the dismantlement of the empire, the invasion of Britain by hordes of Third Worlders, the knighthoods for a veritable roques gallery of rotten bastards and their full support of the New World Order. Unless the monarchy had nothing to do with it.

Unless some element deep within the British government saw this as too much and took it upon themselves to act. Some large element had been eager and receptive for the message and offer Hess was carrying over fifty years ago which would have preserved the empire. That plan was only narrowly prevented.

Did James Bond - or the spirit of James Bond - kill Princess Di?

If so, it was an act motivated by a consciousness and a will that should have unleashed itself a very long time ago. Someone or some group apparently took "Defender of the Faith" very seriously. More seriously in fact than the royal family itself.

If, by faith, we understand it to mean that which protects the well-being and integrity of the people themselves as opposed to the popularly accepted brand of pure crap that only helps spread the disease of "tolerance" and one-worldism, the very thing that led Diana and countless others like her to their destruction.

THREE LIES
by Robert Burns

109

Many things are always produced by war but lies are in that forefront. It's "go for the win" at all cost and that includes the truth when it is trampled or discarded in the course of wartime propaganda campaigns. It's understandable after all. Must vilify the enemy, must generate solidarity at home, must get the soldiers primed to go and kill, all in the name of morale. But is it possible to over-do this and to fall for one's own propaganda to the extent where it becomes self-defeating?

Francis Parker Yockey, writing in *Imperium*, said that one either fights a real enemy or he fights himself. On the basis of that then, no propaganda should be required to either inform a people to its danger or whip up hatred toward anyone else. Someone also said that "an enemy is anyone who attacks". Twice in the Twentieth Century the United States has participated in World Wars totally unnecessarily, with propaganda filling the gap in place of a natural and mortal enemy.

Is there such a thing as a "white lie", a helpful or beneficial lie? "If it's in a good cause", you say? One would have to ask, of what need would any truly good cause have for the weapon of lies? Under any circumstances. Those millions upon millions who go to kill and die, believing their world is in some kind of imminent danger, are doing so under false pretenses. Somewhere along that line a case of mass murder could be established. A piece of white granite in a military cemetery is small thanks for having been duped into fighting someone else's battle for them, for purposes never fully disclosed.

This was brought to mind, perhaps oddly enough, during a television newscast in July of 1997 when it was perfunctorily announced that it had just been released that the famous band leader, Glenn Miller, had not been missing in action over the English Channel in 1944 but, instead, had died in a Parisian brothel. Included in the report was that this fact had been withheld all this time, and certainly at the beginning, for purposes of "morale".

The disclosure had no effect upon my appreciation of Miller's music. Of course, things were far different then. Miller had also been an Army captain and in charge of the Air Force Band which had G.I.'s who were then marauding all over Europe marching to the tune of W.C. Handy's "St. Louis Blues". Somehow one just cannot envision how James Stewart and June Allyson would have played "The Glenn Miller Story" shortly after the War with the truth of the matter intact.

And on the reverse of all those record albums of Miller's tunes that were still being released through the 1960s and beyond, in the liner notes, that tragic, mysterious and legendary ending - "lost over the English Channel in December of 1944" - made it seem as though Miller might somehow still be there, in action in ghostly form, unchanged from the days when his sweet brand of music was in vogue even

as the world was tearing itself apart and most of the participants were young.

The sincerely duped even offered "explanations" of what "probably" took place the day he was lost. From ice on the wings of the plane to a bomb indiscriminately dropped from above by a friendly fighter.

Better to let the Germans have the blame for his loss. Better to allow the veterans to have their lovely illusions. I wonder what effect the announcement of the actual truth may have had upon those very elderly survivors from the period who may have heard it. Far too late now in any event. A curious, if obscure, lie but a definitive example demonstrating that the government does lie. Only that, not much more. Nothing really affected then or now. No fuss. Few really even give a damn.

Up the scale of possible significance where lies are concerned is the story everyone is familiar with: Pearl Harbor, December 7th, 1941. The day Japan "sneak attacked" the U.S. Navy and precipitated American entry into World War Two. "Remember Pearl Harbor", as the popular song went. Who could forget the dramatic intonation of Franklin Roosevelt as he addressed Congress? "A day which will live in infamy."

But I personally grew up with one more most curious thing. My own father had been in the Navy and aboard one of the ships stationed at Pearl Harbor. He related to me the story of how his ship, the cruiser U.S.S. Boise, had received orders to leave Pearl Harbor a few days before the attack, along with the rest of the cruisers and aircraft carriers. Enroute west toward Manila in the Philippines, the ship sighted a huge, blacked-out fleet maintaining radio silence and heading east. Reports of the encounter made at the time and upon arrival in Manila were ignored. I still have his map pin-pointing the sighting.

Since that time volumes have appeared, authored by reputable scholars and historians, revealing that the Japanese military codes had been cracked and were being regularly intercepted and read. The Roosevelt regime knew the time, date and place of the Japanese attack and did not warn the military at Pearl Harbor or anywhere else. The obsolete battleships were deliberately left there as sitting ducks, along with the men on board. "Morale" or murder?

The fact is incontrovertible. Fitting it into place puts an entirely different slant on the Second World War. The least little bit of sifting now reveals that it was Roosevelt's deep and urgent desire to get the United States involved in the War. This despite the fact that he had gone on radio to reassure American mothers that, "I hate war". He needed war just in order to pull the U.S. economy out of the Depression where his own "New Deal" policies had consistently failed to do so. And, more than that, he wanted war so as to be able to come to the military aid of the Soviet Union, battered and staggering under German blows, as a crucial linchpin toward establishing the "New World Order".

The American people did not want war. They well remembered the scam and hokum which was used to draw them

into the First World War and the terrible mess that came out of that. Powerful U.S. political figures were leading the movement to keep America neutral. Charles Lindbergh among them said at the time that if Americans allowed themselves to be tricked into going to fight for the liberty of others again, they likely could end by losing it at home. Prophetic words. A ruse was required.

Failing in his attempts to goad Germany into attacking first, by conducting open warfare upon German ships in the Atlantic in 1940 and 1941, he succeeded by imposing embargoes, sanctions and ultimatums against the Japanese. The propaganda machine took it from there and the duped American public fell all over themselves to go to war. Our guys were being overrun in the Pacific, running out of ammunition, as the bulk of the effort went against Germany. In the end, they nuked a helpless and prostrate Japan as "retribution" for Pearl Harbor. What was gained was half the world under Communism, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, the Cold War and the grip of the "New World Order".

These books which carry this information are readily, easily available. Among even amateur historians this is common knowledge. Apologists can only dance around it with weak "maybes" and "perhaps". During the televised ceremonies commemorating Pearl Harbor's fiftieth anniversary in December of 1991, I watched as two elderly veterans, one American and one Japanese, who had been there in 1941, addressed the memorial to the dead. The American openly cursed Roosevelt and his criminal regime in Washington for having been the ones responsible.

Another deliberate, overt lie. You decide the significance. You decide whether the cause was a good one. But, before you can do that much, you must first determine what the cause actually was. Are you capable of doing that or are there too many other, as yet unrevealed lies obscuring the truth just like so many unexploded land mines?

But note, just like the story of Glenn Miller, there is no flap, no outrage over this, no one spilling into the streets as they did after December 7th, 1941. The truth is laying there for anyone to pick up. However, it's been well over fifty years and what's done is done. What can be done about it now? Perhaps that was the idea at the time, just as with the commission of any crime.

Lastly, we move into the realm of what truly could be labelled "The Big Lie". A lie so huge, of such magnitude that a veritable "religion" or "faith" has grown up around it. This to the extent that, in some places, to even question its authenticity can get you fired from your teaching post, get you barred from entering certain countries and even get you thrown in prison. This one, or rather its unmasking, is no ho-hum matter - it's pure dynamite.

Not until decades after the end of World War Two did it receive its familiar label which the entire world knows: *The Holocaust*. It doesn't matter that people on the spot at the time, as well as competent revisionist historians all along have been exposing it as the fake that it is.

Here I can share and appreciate the outraged incredulity of any person newly confronted with this counter-allegation. What about all those pictures and newsreels? What about all those "witnesses" and "survivors"? Six million dead people is a lot of people. But would you know the difference between a photo of a pile of five hundred dead bodies and a photo of five thousand? Would you know who they were, who killed them, why and how, unless someone told you? Statistics show that at no time did the Nazis have within their reach as many as six million Jews.

Arguments of logic can make a powerful case against the idea of a "Holocaust" on the part of the Germans. While holding off the weight of the world, would they devote so much time, attention and vital resources to such an obviously "mad" pursuit as the liquidation of masses of men, women and children? Or would the highly practical and canny Germans - as they did in actuality - put these people to useful purpose, aiding their war effort as laborers?

"But why *concentration camps*?" Everyone has employed concentration camps. The United States against the American Indians and the British against the Boers. The United States again in the Second World War against its own Japanese citizens. Not nice but sometimes a perceived necessity.

"But what about the *gas chambers*?" Aside from just blurting out "gas chambers", their ever having existed cannot be established and, indeed, never has been. (The mock-ups made after the War notwithstanding.)

"What about the *Zyklon-B*, the *shower rooms* and the *crematoria*?" What about them? A delousing agent, bathing facilities and means for disposing of the deceased.

"What of all those piles and heaps, fields and pits full of corpses?" Casualties due to the effects of starvation and typhus, all inside the final ninety days of the War, all as a result of Allied disruption of German transport of necessary supplies.

So what then are the facts?

Especially following the collapse of the Soviet Union - which had overrun the so-called "death camps" - and the sudden availability of the captured records kept by the meticulous Germans, we for example know conclusively that a total of twenty-four thousand persons died at Auschwitz during its entire existence. We are able to accurately estimate that two hundred and fifty thousand persons altogether died in German concentration camps. That is of all causes, including Allied bombing, and none of them attributable to "gassing". And, of those, only approximately half were Jews.

"Bad enough", you say. But a far cry from six million and an even farther cry from a deliberate program of "genocide" as it is claimed. This can't be dismissed as a slip of the pen. Especially since stringent laws have been enacted to "discourage" anyone now from even attempting to investigate any further into this. Someone is lying, they know they are lying and they are taking measures to protect their lie.

You need to ask yourself why they would do this and who has the power to do this. Glenn Miller is dead, Roosevelt is dead, a lot of people from World War Two are dead. It happened a long time ago. Can there be a significance for today in any of this?

Yes.

The same force that instrumented all those lies then remains alive and in power today. And as pervasive as it is, mightn't it be reasonable to assume that it also affects directly you and your future, personally, and in ways you cannot even imagine?

WHAT'S BEHIND GUN CONTROL?
by Robert Burns

My contention is that conspiracy is of itself every bit the phenomenon any UFO or Bigfoot sighting could hope to be. It'll get you branded an eccentric just as fast. The difference is that its reality affects everyone, like it or not, know it or not. All these things are linked in one way: They are not accepted in the world of illusion, i.e., the so-called "real world" where everything is two-dimensional cardboard or a financial bottom line. I'll be an "eccentric" gladly, anytime.

Graduating long ago from political conservatism where everything was frustration, outrage and mystification over how "our leaders" kept dropping the ball or otherwise committing one seeming blunder after another, I matured, *woke up* as it were, into the harsh and glaring light of the world of conspiracy. You can't really believe that all those hard-boiled lawyers and businessmen who make up this government even are capable of making stupid mistakes, can you? It is that assumption that rightly ought to bring forth the snickers and sideways glances.

Therefore it has to be planned and then allowed to look like a "mistake", an "honest error" which would be endemic to any "democratic system" and which can be "corrected" in the next election. If you survive long enough and are actually aware of what's going on around you, not being the sort who keeps going back to the same sideshow charlatan to be taken again and again, you'll inevitably come to realize that all this bumbling around has a distinct pattern about it, that it's heading in a certain direction. Honest error will at least break in favor of the other side now and then (i.e., indeed, there really is any other side.)

You've been sold a lemon.

Get hold of a Communist Party program and you'll see all the "mistakes" of the past hundred years fall into place exactly like a jigsaw puzzle. Get hold of a copy of the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, dating from a hundred years ago, and you'll learn who's doing it, how and even why.

The dictionary defines conspiracy as a coming together in secret of those who are planning a criminal act. We can see and know exactly what the nakedly Communist regime did to the land of Russia following its sudden, forced takeover. Of course, at that, it was to put into place the "Workers' Paradise" even if over thirty million of them had to be murdered in the course of doing it. Criminal? Why else have to disguise your aim?

But Communism fell, one might say, totally discredited and has been replaced by a wonderful, free and just "New World Order".

They couldn't represent the same thing, could they?

"Conspiratology" - if I may coin a word - relies upon more than just logic alone. More than just "ye shall know them by their fruits." Suppressed facts are at the core.

Facts suppressed by whom? You may have guessed it, by the conspiracy itself.

Lenin's Bolshevik Revolution of 1918 in Russia was bankrolled to the tune of tens of millions of dollars by U.S. Wall Street bankers - Kuhn, Loeb, Kahn, Schiff, Warburg, etc. What would American high finance be doing installing a Marxist dictatorship, the Red Terror, over the heads of the Russian people? A seeming contradiction? No. Only a clue as to who the real bosses have always been.

What does all this rambling preamble have to do with the subject of gun control? I could have picked from dozens of other examples of phony "issues" forever being batted back and forth before a dazed public, between two equally false, impossible and irrelevant "positions" for the distraction of the stupid and gullible masses in order to illustrate my point. I chose this one as a prime example to demonstrate how the conspiracy works to implement its secret agenda without the knowledge of and certainly without the approval of the people who are being affected directly.

What's the excuse? "To cut down on violence?" Right off the bat, one has to be an ogre to not want to curb violent crime. So to take a stance in opposition to gun control, you place yourself in the same camp as the "bigots" and the "extremists". (Yes, one must know also that the conspiracy in its early days took care to monopolize all forms of media so as to be able to make these labels.) All "enlightened" and "responsible" people will naturally support the idea of gun control: A society free of violence.

But then who wouldn't have supported the idea of the "Workers' Paradise"? Well, as soon as it was firmly in place, if you didn't actively fall in line with it you wound up in a gulag - or worse. (However, yes, the Soviet Union achieved its own gun control at a single, quick stroke and, right enough, within its society there was little actual crime or violence, at least from the private sector. The only violence came at the hands of the government itself, against the people who now were helpless to resist.)

I recall the year 1968 when the first anti-gun laws were enacted. Prior to that time you could literally snip an ad from a comic book and order your weapon by mail. The nation was founded and grew to greatness in just this way: Freedom.

The conservative, pro-gun people have the slogan, "*Guns don't kill people. People kill people.*" Typically they miss the greater point. If it's a question ever of "Law & Order", then unless that law comes from within each member of the society, it will have to be impressed from without. This describes a sick society and ends with the gradual loss of freedom, the onset of repression and ultimately with dictatorship.

One more of my thrusts is that you can examine nothing within a vacuum. Everything is linked.

While 1968 was indeed a most violent year in American history, the state of the overall society itself was as yet overwhelmingly law-abiding and orderly. In the small

Midwestern town where I lived at the time, we'd only thought to begin locking our doors the year before. Drugs were only just then beginning to make their appearance. Most noticeably of all however was that the racial minorities, i.e., the Blacks, were responding to a crescendo of agitation and were themselves being changed. One more great "ideal" that for one to have gone against, then or now, would immediately put you in the same league as - God forbid - Adolf Hitler.

(But on the subject of Hitler, gun control was anathema within his National Socialist regime in Germany. Everyone, young and old, male and female, every home was encouraged not only to possess private firearms but to become proficient in their use. His government sponsored competitions and issued awards in order to further this interest. Only after "liberation" by the Allies did gun control enter the picture, to where even children had to relinquish their air guns.)

The embarrassing fact and the thing which you are in terribly bad taste to even point out is that, just as the gun control measures have steadily escalated since that point in 1968, so too has the level of crime and violence increased in the same place, over the same period. But they would tell you to ignore this apparent dichotomy, just hire more police, build more prisons and take away all guns.

A "mistake"? Another of my own flashing red lights to always watch for is once something has been demonstrated to be a social flop, note how it is not only not discarded, not rectified, but how ever-increasing pressure and determination is applied toward that direction despite the failure of its stated objective. It is here that a fanaticism devoted to something apart from the rubbish presented to the public as "plausible excuse" the presence of which is betrayed. Here is clear demonstrated the alien will of the conspiracy at work and its control of government by which it can and does move to impose itself upon the people.

Formulate an insane policy and then engage the power of state to force it to "work". Not just a conspiracy but a conspiracy on the part of those whose very spirit and motivation is alien but yet dominant. This was the essence of Communism then, and it remains so today. Names and labels are unimportant.

Again, why conspire? Why lie? Do they really "know better" than we do and, if so, why don't things improve? One old saying goes, "*Just because you're paranoid doesn't mean you're not being followed.*" All of this adds up to an enemy take-over, an enemy take-over that's already an accomplished fact. The only thing remaining is the gradual maneuvering of the people themselves into conforming to the new mould envisioned for them by their unknown masters.

The United States remains yet the most well-armed citizenry in the world. The masses of simple, common people obviously equate the ownership of guns with "freedom". Of what conceivable good is having any kind of weapon if you cannot see your enemy, if you are unaware that you even have a mortal enemy? Braced for some imaginary assault that will never come, they are gradually sold-out from behind and below

by "their leaders". Exactly as a pacifier to a sucking infant, the guns still in private hands merely grant a false sense of security even as the Communist program continues right along on perfect schedule.

It is rather the inevitable effect of their very own insane and impossible doctrines upon society, now causing it to implode, that gives rise to the increasingly edgy demands for further anti-gun legislation as opposed to any real need to head off a genuine freedom revolt. Sadly, tragically, the intelligence level here just isn't going to allow for anything other than a bloodbath of anarchy.

So there is today's lesson in *Conspiracy 101*. A game of masterminds yet, in the end, a game of fools. To win all the battles and end by losing the war. And all at incalculable human cost.

If anything, it at least should make you wonder.

People more and more today are awakening to the fact that the System which surrounds them is in no way "theirs" and is in all fact their enemy. This is good. Those of us in the Movement have been waiting decades for this, telling ourselves that "when things become bad enough they'll wake up." However, existing for a long time with an insidious illness, only to awaken one morning with a terrible pain still is a long way from a proper diagnosis, much less a cure.

Even those within the Movement often times fumble the ball when it comes to nailing the starting point of our present travail. Most appear to have their own favorite pet when it comes to time, place and specific cause for the current pass. Just as frequently, they have their own ideas about what it would take to rectify the situation. The only thing certain is that what has taken place has indeed taken place and the trends it has established go on uninterrupted toward their disastrous objective.

People generally overlook the principle of *gradualism*. It's too easy to just place blame. I stress always that nothing occurs inside of a vacuum, that all things are linked, with one leading into another. Not one or another "thing", "person" or "incident" then is responsible for present circumstances and, surely, no such formula can have any hope of fixing them.

Man warring from the truth. If one wants it in a nutshell, there it is. But then right away in come questions like, "What's the truth?" and "What would be variance?" Very tricky because we're dealing with the super-obvious. You've been so sick for so long that you've forgotten what actual health is like. Indeed, it's been so long and so *gradual* that a state of health is only a relative thing, depending on what point in your past you care to focus upon.

The natural state of rightness and wellness. Minus all embellishments. Can a label be applied to that? Should a label be applied to that? The common saying today, "If it isn't broken, don't fix it." In trying to keep to a tight time-frame, we can look at the developments within the United States. Never founded as a "democracy" but rather as a fascist state by, of and for property-owning White males, it nevertheless permitted a certain group of racial aliens to enter its midst and, equally as bad, to divide against itself.

To the men who fought and killed each other on both sides of the Civil War, the totally unthinkable image of a Negro Supreme Court Justice, Thurgood Marshall, a hundred years later, would echo the real meaning of their conflict at the time: That the U.S. Constitution died a casualty of that war. But just because the fatal damage was done then didn't mean that the full symptoms, the full implications of what had been committed, would necessarily have to show all at once.

Indeed, to those who know their history well enough, the period in the South immediately following the Civil War and known as "Reconstruction" did reflect the full horror that would come as a direct consequence of departing from God's laws, i.e., importation of colored slaves, having truck with racial Jews, and, most certainly, a house dividing against itself being unable to stand. Yet, due to the very suddenness of all this then, it ran up against a mass of White men who were outwardly and inwardly untainted by the poison and who were ready, willing and capable of resisting and overturning it: The original Ku Klux Klan.

No, only *gradualism* will work to degrade, enslave and destroy a formerly great people. Slow poisoning, slow asphyxiation, a death sleep, a cessation of life.

"Democracy" under the rulership of racial aliens, Jews, and among races of people which do not belong together. An impossible lie. A formula spelling death. But not all at once. The enemy power needs time to more deeply entrench itself, as its presence and influence grows the effects of this requires time to increasingly infect the unsuspecting masses of people who are subject to its influence, i.e., to get them to "worship other gods", for the demographics to shift ever more in favor of the colored races and, taken together, for the now spiritually diseased Whites to interbreed with these coloreds and thereby erase themselves.

It isn't as easy however as one, two, three. Much pain and anguish will attend something so terrible as a scenario like this. And it is precisely this distress which is getting the attention of an increasing number of average people today. They feel the death throes of a society. They feel the pain, they recognize the rottenness of the System, and still they are essentially helpless to affect anything about it.

The lie has grown too big, too all-pervasive over the last several generations. They still believe in a quick or easy fix. They may look back to a somewhat better time when the physical symptoms weren't quite so painful. But always they think in terms of the System which they know nothing apart from. They can't grasp the panorama.

A great nation is founded and made to thrive through much blood and toil. Then "*strangers*" make their entry. In the language of the Bible, these were any who were other than our own White kindred and, more specifically, Jews. One of the Founding Fathers, Benjamin Franklin, urgently advocated the exclusion of Jews be written into the Constitution itself. He was overruled. His predictions for what course this land would take then have all come to pass.

Primarily through the manipulation of great sums of money, these *strangers* were able to first penetrate to sensitive positions of power and influence, either directly themselves or indirectly through the use of paid agents, and from that point begin to make "*adjustments*" in the order of things, always under the guise of "*progress*". In reality, the White order was becoming debased.

When the Fathers declared "*all men are created equal*", only a madman, an imbecile or a criminal would have taken it

to mean anything other than what it actually meant: That all *White men* are created equal. For further on in the same document it is stated that, for purposes of census-taking, any colored slaves are to be counted as only three-fifth of a human being. Variance off of the established truth.

They weren't even aware when the government went over from being their representative agent to their occupier. Of course, when it happened no enemy flag was hoisted right away to signal the change. Only *gradually* would this transformation begin to make itself apparent. "*Ye shall know them by their fruits.*" By the time things had gotten bad enough to where significant numbers were becoming generally aware, not only was this no longer "their" government, it wasn't even "their" country any longer. No longer was it the land of their fathers. The whole point to *gradualism*: By the time you wake up to it, it's already too late for you.

With total power of media, of taste-making and opinion-making, truth, the natural state, rightness and wellness are designated with dirty labels. The power of inertia is a terrible power indeed. Like that of a snowball. All are caught up in this atmosphere, unable to distinguish truth from lie because the blackness has succeeded in covering the entire horizon with itself and can call it any way it chooses. There isn't any other game in town.

Few have the inner qualities to stand apart from this and against it.

Is there such a thing as a "minor" variance? It may seem so only because it requires much time for the ramifications to fully reveal themselves. But it is that span of time which makes up the room required for the machinations of the alien enemy presence to do their work: *Gradualism*. We provide the opening, they move in on it.

Any White who is a White knows well that this country is dominated by a distinctly *anti-White* sentiment, despite current numbers and despite enemy yelps of "racism". How else could a thing like this be other than through a scenario such as the one I have just painted? We in this Movement came to christen it during the decade of the Eighties the *Zionist Occupation Government*, or "ZOG".

A total lie which must resort to more lies in order to cover itself and which must rely upon increased State force to perpetuate itself. This is how tyrannies are made. The Whites who built the land are dispossessed, disenfranchised, dominated, divided, poisoned, confused, misled and dwindling in number. And all they mainly are able to see are those most painful and glaring results of the maintenance of this evil and impossible arrangement as they appear in the form of an iniquitous, hypocritical System whose only capability is the pursuit of folly and a society which grows increasingly more violent and corrupt.

The advancement gradually of this alien poison means at the same time the retreat of all that is healthy and worthwhile. For its sustenance the lie must consume truth just as cancer must consume good tissue. Of course we know how it must end.

About all the individual can do is be aware of this so as to be able to remain aloof from the game that the majority are caught up in. When one witnesses the anguish caused by all this darkness and alienation, then having such awareness can easily be seen as being no small thing. If anything, it must be viewed as not only one's own personal salvation in an atmosphere of complete despair but also as the rock upon which any new age must be set.



The author at home on Harvard Avenue. The flag was a gift from the widow of Ed Reynolds - a long-time comrade - who passed away in April of 1998.

Having previously established how a conspiracy has set itself up over us, in our midst, and also what effects its presence is having, it would be well to devote some space to just how it works in its routine dealings because it is here that each individual may certainly expect to become involved, sooner or later and undoubtedly more than once.

First, a conspiracy by nature must maintain its secrecy or else it is no conspiracy. It must use stealth because to let its presence and purpose be known to its intended victims would not only cause them to resist it but, more than likely, to kill its participants in righteous wrath. So therefore its existence must remain unknown and in order for it to implement its agenda it has to make it appear as though it's *your idea* or, at the very least, to be the "*popular will*" and leave you thinking you're just out of step.

In essence then, the conspiracy has no real power. Only that of the proverbial "smoke and mirrors". But it does go further than that. The terrible power of money. The conspirators themselves declared a very long time ago words to the effect, "*Give me control of a nation's gold and I care not who writes its laws.*" That's step one, of course. Step two is getting the target populace to become crassly materialistic, to get them "*worshipping other gods*" in the actual working of things. The new god being Mammon who is but the face of the conspiracy itself.

Now the people have become thoroughly corrupted and compromised - enslaved, actually - and can be bought and sold readily, can be led around by the nose. They are for hire. This includes all officials, everyone. Those controlling the gold *own* the law-makers, *own* the police, etc. It goes well beyond even this. For any conspiracy, it is well to have their finger-puppets bought and paid for but it is better still to have their slaves firmly *convinced* that what they believe in and what they do not only is their own idea but that it is the *right thing to do*.

And this same gold also was very quick to act a century ago to buy up the media and the educational system. Control the information, mould the very thoughts, form all opinions and your control is about as total as anything can be. For a safety, you still hold the chain of material possessions to yank anytime anyone looks as though they might be getting out of line.

This leads to a very obvious, if unpleasant, conclusion that in order to realistically buck the System, you must first renounce materialism. The words of the Bible jump into stark relief at this point when one recalls Jesus' admonishment to eschew wealth and John's beseeching us to "*come out of the Beast.*" Only with the most recent developments of this, the latest of times, have these words assumed a perfectly practical meaning instead of the mildly weird and silly connotation they carried in times not long past.

Having gone this far by taking this huge, personal step, one may be out from under direct System control and he may be awake and in possession of himself. However, at the same stroke, he has made of himself a target. He may be sure he is in the computer along with a red flag. It still remains that the conspiracy requires your cooperation in taking you out.

Take you out? Isn't this utterly paranoid? How can they or anyone else just come after an average, law-abiding citizen who happens to not believe in them anymore and knows his reasons why? Besides how, *why* would they want to bother? It comes down to this: With even one pin-point of light in existence anywhere, the darkness cannot with absolute assurance call itself light as is its wont to do. It can and will attempt to ignore you most strenuously, it will punish you most cruelly with poverty and isolation but it will never be able to rest easy with your continued presence. The fear, the dread is that the light may spread.

The trick to holding the truth is that it is only entrusted to those who are going to do something with it, something about it. Otherwise, it is not worth having. Neither is the individual worthy of having it. Here are sown the seeds of inevitable conflict. At the very same time, the conspiracy is on guard against this and yet is most welcoming of it. It is by this that the extraordinary individuals will expose themselves and, usually, provide the conspiracy the opening it needs to move against them using the guise of "*law and order*" to eliminate its opposition.

The old truism that "a little knowledge is a dangerous thing" can be seen to play out again and again these days as first one then another brave and dedicated soul goes off on his own *banzai* or *kamikazi* mission against the dominance of the conspiracy, more of late in hopes of awakening his fellows to the presence of actual resistance in the expectation of generating more of the same.

Due to the absolute control of the media, we can't accurately gauge the degree of the success of this most desperate of measures. But we do know quite well the way the conspiracy takes it and uses it. Maintaining always the mask of "rightness" and "authority", they seize the opportunity to paint the fighters for the truth as "terrorists", "extremists", "haters", etc. Tragic? So it has always been whenever confronting a dominant evil. Masses of people being what they have always been, the death sleep goes on largely unbroken.

A win for the conspiracy, for its System? A loss for the cause of truth? Only superficially. The evil System gets to grin and chalk up one more. The life of the brave individual is severely affected, if not destroyed. But the course itself remains unaltered. The conspiracy goes on from one victory to another, all the way to its ultimate defeat. And, as the ancient adage goes, "*the blood of the martyrs builds the church.*"

The workings of this are these: With all its apparent, dreadful power, the System is still greatly handicapped in

that it must dally around with charades before it can move to take out its perceived enemies. In order to accomplish this, it has to enlist your full cooperation. In other words, it cannot afford to appear to be what it actually is. You must willingly assume the role of the "bad guy" so that it can then fulfill its role as the "good guy". This is exactly where that incomplete, imperfect knowledge of the truth can get a person killed. It can get you to sell yourself too cheaply and, at the same time, permit the evil System to paint itself in glowing colors as "friend of the people".

In its intricacies, as I have often witnessed even from within System prisons, etc., it never stops. I have seen that if they feel they don't have you well enough or deep enough in their clutches, they'll certainly endeavor to cause you to dig yourself deeper. People talk about being "set up". I suppose there are such things but I've never seen a real one. I have always maintained that there is no smoke without fire. You simply must aid them in their work if they are to succeed. It could be as simple as your own impatience at the lack of any action. Or it could be a case of one of their lowest-of-the-low guards or other hacks "getting in your face" and prompting you to "go off" thus placing the ball in their hands to add more charges to you.

Now do such seeming contradictions as "turning the other cheek" and even "loving your enemy" take on sudden new clarity? The conspiracy and its System of lies, in effect, is like a vampire. With no life of its own, it has to draw from yours. And it does this in a myriad of ways. You must learn each of these ways and block every one.

The hirelings of the System are aware that their iniquitous actions are calling for supreme retribution. They know this. Yet they are too weak and miserable to rebuke their master and relinquish their personal power, prestige and comparative wealth and security... all of which stem directly from their continued allegiance to the System of Satan. *"They will flee even when none are pursuing."* The cumulative effects which result in a mood of paranoia, a word that had yet to be coined when the words of the Old Testament were penned.

Do you see that it is *this* that will destroy them, not any actions on our part? God, quite literally, is going to get them just as he said he would.

John, in *Revelation*, posed the question, *"Who can war against the Beast?"* This is the question we need to carefully reflect upon for ourselves, today. Look at the results and weigh the cost. Has anything been achieved? And only now do his words, *"He who lives by the sword shall die by the sword."*, take on immediate relevance for us in the present circumstance. Concern over gun control? Let them take them! They only give a sense of false security and make of the owner a target for some over-zealous S.W.A.T. team member.

Remember what they can least afford is to appear as what they actually are before the people they must keep duped in order to maintain their control. This is their "Achilles Heel". And soon enough they will be forced by circumstances

of their own making to make just that very same fatal error as things become so bad as a result of their policies that they, through their paranoia, feel compelled to move wholesale against those of us outside of their fake world of unreality.

In order for one to get themselves on that list, they need only to stand forth for the truth and rebuke the System of lies. That is action and "crime" enough. One who is the least bit astute should be able to see it coming even now.

But they will be on their own in this action, we will have not played into their hands to help maintain their facade. This will signal the moment alluded to in *Revelation* just prior to the destruction of the Beast System. For those who want action, who desire to see the situation heat up, it'll get plenty hot in those days, as we are promised. The biggest job, the biggest challenge, as it is stated, will be to hold up under this persecution and wait...

I love to repeat the words of John in *Revelation* when he says that, "*Satan will grow ever more wrathful as he senses his time growing short.*"

Some while ago I wrote a piece entitled, *Fourth of July*, in reference to the finding of fresh significance for dates upon the calendar that are still marked as holidays but whose meaning was lost long ago. My contention then and now being that this is one of the surest signs of death and decay in any civilization: When even the hallowed holidays have become meaningless.

Eleven o'clock, on the eleventh day, of the eleventh month, 1918. When the guns fell silent by pre-arrangement on the Western Front in World War One. "Armistice Day", the end of the "War To End All Wars". Certainly a date worth remembering.

One problem however: The fighting never really stopped. Terrific battles continued across Russia as part of that country's civil war; territorial conflicts involving restless Poles, Czechs, Serbs, etc., throughout Eastern Europe; the Turkish persecutions of Armenians; revolution and counter-revolution within Germany and Italy. Throughout the Twenties and Thirties, eventually with the fires converging into a Second World War.

At that time it became a war to "Make the World Safe For Democracy". Then Korea, then Vietnam, etc., etc.

This is not intended as a history lesson, save to say the whole premise of "The War To End Wars" was baloney even at the time, a P.R. blurb for the poor fools who were to go and do the fighting, bleeding and dying - and for the families they left behind, or never began in the first place.

With the christening of World War Two, this disparity became inescapable to all and so "Armistice Day" had to be adjusted into "Veterans Day" eventhough this country already had its "Memorial Day" to honor those who'd been killed in the Civil War. A broadening redundancy needed to cover a sanctified lie.

A quickly evaporating pool of the very elderly may yet attach something to these dates, recalling as they do the best years of their lives spent devoted to killing their brethren in Europe and overturning the foundations of Western Civilization. Their children and grandchildren probably care a lot less, strung out on their drugs and "hip-hop" music as they are. So much for the "better world" all the terrible sacrifice supposedly went for.

Commemoration of sacrifice in the service of a lie? Sorry, but that's not my kind of holiday.

The world didn't know it, the broad masses of people didn't know it but the basis of their universe had just been shattered to bits. Existing outward signs, the left-overs, the previous habits and customs were still evident to provide some reassurance that all was not lost but the core and the direction were gone. Over time, these things would make themselves painfully manifest but the suicide had been committed already. It were as though this had been done in such a "good cause", the disruption of the natural order

undertaken in such "high ideals", not to mention the cost in terms of lives. It was unthinkable to ever at any time admit that it had been a hideous mistake. And so onward...

A mounting cycle of shame and ugliness was only the price to be paid for such "goodness". Lies, all lies.

That the living symbol of all this, a prophet for the modern age, should also have been born on this date in 1934 might even be seen as more than coincidental. The advent of Charles Manson.

A date to mark, pregnant with living symbolism. Lies may have inspired it, lies may surround it, lies may be what spring into the minds of most at its mention but truth remains truth, regardless whether anyone is able to recognize it or not.

Such total and magnificent clarity and polarization. They have you loving the lie of "Veterans Day" and hating the truth of Charles Manson. Take your side: The Beast System or Manson. Everything represented by Babylon's Whore or Manson. Take a penetrating look into yourself and decide which you most closely identify with, which contains most of you and vice versa - the System or Manson. The Satanic System, which controls all media, all opinion, all taste, all thought, the very concept of day and night, represents itself as "good" while representing Manson as the living embodiment of "evil". How deeply do you buy into that worldview?

The unfailing, familiar pattern of slander. The "nobody", the "misfit", the "criminal" who, despite all, becomes a world icon in his lifetime. Truth will manifest itself invariably as mighty social phenomena. All the governing power of lies can do is use their image-making media apparatus to misrepresent it.

If he hadn't walked in the total truth in the middle of an age ruled by total lies, nobody might ever have noticed. But the darkness cannot stand to be disturbed, not even by a pin-point of light. Darkness may call itself light but only so long as all true light can be effectively suppressed. And so Manson, guilty of no real crime, must remain locked in maximum security prison. The truth and the light.

Just as the outward appearance seemed to belie the reality in 1918 and again in 1945, so too did a society descending somewhere in mid-progression to hell in 1969 - the year of the "Manson Murders" - most certainly deride and ignore Manson's statement to the Court to the effect, *"These children coming at you with knives are your children. You raised them, I didn't."*

And totally blind and consumed by the lie, they today, a generation later, can't make the correlation between that statement and the officially inaugurated phenomenon of the "Super Predators" - youths who are redefining what violence and disorder are all about. But a lie can never admit itself to be a lie nor can those who hold it take any truly remedial measures. It and they can never "do the right thing" as that would automatically mean ending itself, their base of power.

So by giving Manson all the blame all will be well, right?

If the children are the products of their parents' homes, of the media and the schools, etc., what then was Manson a product of? Of the very government-run facilities - "correctional institutions" and "reformatories" - which are supposed to represent and inculcate law, order and the highest values of good citizenship. "The Hallways of the Always", as Manson refers to these places he was literally raised in. The message? Obey the rules and all will be well with you?

No. That's only the cover, the screen for the real, actual message: Participate in the lie and you may share in its rewards.

Manson emerged from prison and into a world in upheaval in 1967, the "Summer of Love". A strong and profound social schism, blind and instinctive, among youth in reaction against the moral bankruptcy and hypocrisy of their parents' world. Tragically, this very reaction had been foreseen and false avenues and bogus personalities were placed by the powers in control to ensure that nothing could come of any of it. Basically, it amounted to "more of the same". Whereas the parents only paid lip-service to the tenets of the lie, the offspring would now totally embrace it. Gasoline on the fire hailed as "idealism".

Without access to media, minus the blessings of big money (reserved exclusively for servants of the lie), Manson, the world-shattering personality, could only affect those with whom he had direct contact. And they dropped the lies and went with him. Sons and daughters of the professional and well-to-do who had been lost. Bets were on everywhere that the country was about to erupt into race war in 1968. Manson took his group to the desert, to Death Valley, not only for its physical safety but for its spiritual purity - "out of the Beast".

But to leave the Beast is to deny the Beast. To willingly exist outside the Beast, however humbly, to survive without its "blessings", amounts to that very pin-point of light which the Beast cannot tolerate for fear that others might see it as well. The darkness was too powerful and people are weak. Things went awry. The forces of the lie were provided the opening they required to rush in under the guise of "law" and destroy the Family out there in the desert.

What ensued was a circus for the media. "Hippies", they were labelled. Reactionary America felt vindicated under the illusion of the lie. The "New Left" thought it had found a hero. That was until Manson carved a Swastika into his forehead. Being supremely aware as he was, he refused to allow himself to be misrepresented and co-opted in this way by the media, to be used as part of their own gigantic ruse, to be caught up in the System's own game.

Truth remains truth even if no one sees it. If only one man sees it and assumes its burden, then it at least has assumed earthly, physical form and the dominant power of darkness has been split. If it must be one man against the power of the world, then so be it. The fulfillment of all prophecy is in the "I told you so" as the power of the

130

lie continues to crack ever more as it lurches on toward its own demise, the physical impossibility of itself in a universe where immutable physics ultimately rules.

From his cell, don't you think Manson sees and knows all of this and is content with his own immortality?

It seems to come down to this: Whatever a person may believe or accept. Like one, big world-wide version of "Ripley's Believe It Or Not". Extend that to the workings of the U.S. Constitution and you have the inalienable right to believe any damned thing you choose. Upon examination, can that be necessarily, a good thing?

It's one thing to have a supermarket or a car lot packed with endless "choices" to dazzle the consumer but should it be the same with belief systems? Of course, within a multi-racial and multi-cultural society this might follow as logical but, again, is this the desirable state of things?

From what my generation grew up with: "The church of your choice". And now to this: "Going shopping for the church that most closely suits your liking." Is this a search for truth and guidance or more of a quest for mere self-edification? Hasn't this got it backwards? Isn't this reflective more of a pick your own brand of bunk situation and, if you "believe" it hard enough, it'll take effect?

In short, how "real" can these beliefs actually be to their holders? Simply accept a certain doctrine, all the while closely abiding State laws and popular mores, and the "hereafter" will be yours? Rather like a game of "pretendzies" at both ends. Going on with that line, anything and anyone who also "accepts" or "believes" the way you do is a "brother" and, should one only profess his "belief" on his deathbed, that still gets him in the door?

It required of me to have made it into my mid-forties, with a life-long background of suppressed information plus an interesting and head-clearing stay in prison to be motivated enough to open a Bible and begin to read and, more importantly, to have all the meaning leap out at me from the pages as only the purest, most obvious form of logic.

So many of the really good and sincere people I have known who have spent their lives attending "Bible study" groups will certainly go to their graves without any inkling of what its actual message is.

My life-long background is as a National Socialist. I ought to have known that it works both ways: While I embraced Adolf Hitler on the basis of his being rejected by most, that is, according to how he was erroneously represented by the media, I rejected the Bible for the exact same reasoning, only in reverse. It had to do with which direction the crowd was heading. My mistake. The way Hitler had been misrepresented appealed to me while the way the Bible had been likewise misrepresented did not.

Well, at least this sledge-hammer formula of logic got my foot in the door.

Exactly as with *Mein Kampf*, no one actually reads the Bible. They are content to proceed on the basis of what the media - or some priest or minister - tells them they contain. A most dangerous proposition for the lazy man who leaves his critical decision-making up to others.

So it was for me as for millions of others: First, the Bible was a "Jewish" book, dealing with Jews only and how they were "God's Chosen People". Second, it was without relevance in the modern world, full of strange names, strange places, strange customs - all from a very long time ago. Third, it probably was all myth and fable anyway. Fourth, the popular conception of "God" did not sit well at all with an intelligent and questioning mind. Fifth, if - as I had concluded - the choice of a healthy instinct was to part company with a misguided and complacent majority, then these "Judeo-Christians" eminently represented that very bunch to be dropped like a hot rock.

But did it never occur to us within our own Movement that we had an overabundance of those who staunchly claimed to be "National Socialist" but who most certainly were not? They had been effectively misled by the media and were buying into that alien lie with everything they had. It actually looked "good" to them! Same principle exactly as applied to the Church, only on a vaster scale. The spectacle of people trying hard to conform to a misconception deliberately whipped up for them by the same crowd of image-manipulators, for the same reason. However, as National Socialists, that access to underground information opened our eyes to the technique of the "Big Lie" as well as to the identity of its practitioners.

Perhaps the first major hurdle is "God". If you can come to recognize and know God from even the point of view of a life-long atheist, as I have been, then you know him for sure. Between our own recent advances and breakthroughs in science and technology on the one hand and the most recent discoveries in the area of ancient history and archeology, we can now know that "God" was and is a race of colonizing being terrestrial and that every word contained in the Bible may be accepted as literal truth.

Everything is high-tech, biology and physics. All as solid and real as it gets. Not a matter of "believe it or not" but a question of recognize and follow certain eternal and immutable laws or perish. So much of this was going on further back in time than we can imagine that it isn't very much of a wonder how it has come to seem like fairy tale.

The implications of the Bible are plain enough: God fathered a race of people on Earth far more "in his own image" than any of the rest who obviously had to be much earlier "rough copies". The Bible concerns itself strictly with the story of these people and no others... Hebrews, named for Heber or Eber, an antecedent of Abraham, whose name also meant "colonizer". Later they were known as *Israel*, after Jacob whose name was changed to mean "ruling with God". Who and what these people were is important to understand. They were the very final wave of Aryans to fall back on Europe for its geographical safety from contact with darker races, joining with their racial brethren already there.

"Jews" are well taken up in the Bible but not in the way most people imagine because they *don't read it*. For those with the proverbial "eye to see" and "ear to hear", few

things are stressed as heavily within the pages of the Bible as genealogy and purity of bloodline. That and the incredible close calls these two had to survive in that area of the world make up two of the central themes. The Exodus from out of Egypt was the last of the White element literally fighting its way out of a dying, stinking, polluted alien mess identical in its aspects to the situation we have with us here today. They occupied for themselves the most remote, north-eastern province of Egypt - known as Canaan - much in the same way today's Separatists postulate the U.S. Northwest as a racial homeland for Whites.

The Bible takes pains to emphasize how God commanded the Israelites to destroy, to literally wipe out those whom they found already living within this province, "Canaanites", and how Israel fell short of this task - much to their grief later on.

A complete set of laws was provided in order that Israel might survive, prosper and preserve its racial integrity. But after nearly a thousand years, those Aryans had reached a stage similar once again to that in which we find ourselves here today. Corrupt, materialistic, superstitious, divided, conquered. Ultimately they found themselves dominated in their own land by those very ones whom God had told them to kill off. These racial aliens had assimilated themselves into the society, changing both themselves and that society in the process, emerging as "Jews".

Defeated by these Jews who themselves, in turn, were ruled over by the empires of Persia, Greece and Rome, the people of Israel eventually saw that they must leave or die.

They eventually abandoned any of their old history, wisdom or traditions in the critical link between where the history of Israel in the Bible leaves off, about 700 BC, and its direct continuation into the present day. Because all of the extensive prophecy in the Bible since 700 BC, concerns itself with the future and the destiny of those same people of Israel. That Israel is not a separate, distinct and currently extant race of people in the world. It's real, it's alive and not some "Masonic Mind Club" of believers, much less a counterfeit clique of alien Jews supplanting and pretending to be the actual "Elect of God".

Again, advanced and in-depth discovery has allowed us to know, to confirm to us that those migrations of "barbarians" we were taught about in school who were entering Europe from unknown points of origin in the "east" during the immediate centuries B.C. were the very same displaced Tribes of Israel. Numbering some ten million, they had for the most part forgotten who they were and were entering a twenty-five-hundred-year period of chastisement, or purification, from the poisons they had just succumbed to in Canaan. It was as "pagans" then that they came and it was with other "pagans" that they joined. It was, however, all one blood and one destiny.

We know a new page was turned in the Earth's history

once all these Aryan elements were gathered together in Europe, free for the moment from all alien contamination. This History is also part of Biblical prophecy and is known in the history books as the rise of Western Civilization. It is only now approaching its own climax.

There is another stumbling block which seems to invariably get in the way of most of those within this Movement. That is the identity and the role of Jesus of Nazareth. As a National Socialist, I am intimately familiar with Odinism, pagan philosophy, the wisdom of the Norse edda, etc. That and the age-old argument that Christianity is of "alien origin" and represents a "conspiracy to destroy the Aryan race". That the Church and the whole slant of the philosophy went corrupt long ago is not in question. However, knowing our Norse culture and worldview as I have all my life, in reading the Bible, particularly Psalms and Proverbs, I can unmistakably feel the identical spirit as having been the author of both.

A people's blood will give rise to a belief system worthy and representative of itself. Not generally known, even by the avowed Odinists, is that Odin, Thor and Freya were themselves part of an earlier exodus from out of Egypt, ahead of that one of Moses. But all are as the same people and blood.

A clash of racial outlook? A doctrine of slaves? The strength of Odinism versus the weakness of Christianity? One must know the times, places and circumstances. There is nothing "weak" in the Old Testament. God commands his people to pick up the sword and kill his enemies. Sounds like pure Viking. Yet Jesus, in the New Testament, is saying that the meek shall inherit the Earth, to turn one's cheek, to love one's enemies, etc. Sounds positively subversive.

Aryan folk, gathered together, united in the strength of their numbers, conscious of themselves, fully aware, ruled by their own customs, by their own natural leaders, can well afford to be brash and blustery whether in Canaan, Northern Europe or North America. But infiltrated, divided, poisoned, weakened and ruled over by Judas-goats it is an entirely different matter.

Wishful thinking, again, won't change reality no matter who's thinking it or what direction it points in. Though we are of Viking blood and disposition, our present circumstances more closely resemble those endured by Jesus and the Disciples. We are the same stock but we must take into account practical circumstances. We are everywhere subject to alien laws, alien rulers, our own people are unconscious of themselves and fatally compromised. We are in many places physically outnumbered by racial enemies. Bombast is hardly the ticket unless one is suicide bent.

We as a people have arrived full-circle at exactly where ancient Israel found itself over two thousand years ago. Read the lamentations of the major prophets and be struck by the unmistakable, incredibly detailed parallels.

When Jesus said, "I am not sent but unto the Lost Sheep of the House of Israel", he was making a racial reference and

was referring directly to those Aryans then, even in his day, who were already ensconced in Europe... *Greeks, Scythians, "Gentiles"*, etc. It was all over with in the Holy Land and he had the unenviable task of bringing down the curtain there for Aryan presence while seeing to it that the truth and the warning reached the people in Europe so they might know. The last Whites left the area in 66 A.D. The Romans came in and laid absolute waste in 70 A.D.

As Separatists in the current atmosphere we know how dangerous is our own ministry. That of Jesus lasted a scant three years before the Jews of his day succeeded in getting the duped, occupying Romans to execute him on totally trumped up charges.

Adolf Hitler? He has already been called "*the one before the last*." The last great Aryan king to lead his people in defiance against the descending darkness of the Jewish world order. Or, better put, the "*New World Order*" of Satan just as it is fully described in *Revelation*. Hitler drove Satan back into the shadows so that he remained compelled to rule through the use of his hired Judas-goats. He even halted Satan's first attempt at naked world dictatorship - Bolshevism - as it militarily ground to a stop right in the heart of Germany, at huge sacrifice, causing it to languish and eventually collapse.

Today the signs are equally alarming or reassuring, depending upon your orientation, that the final round approaches. Satan's one-world system is in place, a reality. Never before has the Earth seen this. It feels its way toward the confidence it at the moment lacks to drop the mask of "democracy" and step forth as what it is. And also, for the first time on Earth, the Aryans have no place left to retire to, secretly and racial separation. This is it.

In *Revelation* it asks who has the strength to make war against the *Beast*. No one. Now, in this context, we can see the real meaning, the real significance for ourselves of, "*He who lives by the sword shall die by the sword*." It states plainly that, as Satan senses his own time growing short, he will grow ever more wrathful. Does this not fit perfectly today's situation as the Big Brother Pig System tightens up, becoming increasingly paranoid and repressive even as it feels its foundation crumbling under its feet?

John, from his prison on the island of Patmos, wrote that, "*I know the slander of those who say they are Jews but who are the synagogue of Satan*." He also had a vision of a returning Jesus, the very final manifestation. Arrayed in bronze battle dress, no more white robes, no more being betrayed and nailed to a cross. In essence, the same spirit as Hitler, the warrior and conqueror, accompanied by all the martyred saints, all the angels. And coming gunning.

Every ancient record to recount the coming of the "Gods" - or the extra-terrestrials - in antiquity also includes their solemn promise to return. In the Bible it is to save the remnant of Israel from being overwhelmed and consumed by the all-triumphant Satanic System. To rescue the "faithful" who will be persecuted above all others as

they declare the truth as only they know it once the Satanic System lowers its mask. It is made clear in *Revelation* that the best we can hope for is the ability to stand forth, to endure and finally overcome.

The prophecies themselves? These are too detailed and too accurate to be guesswork. I expressed my theory some years ago that any who had the power to traverse space must also have had the ability to cross time barriers, to slip in and out of dimensions. I believe it has already happened, they witnessed it, cared enough to reveal it to ancient man and he attempted to record the visions as best he could.

Mohammad, the Arab, when he read and comprehended this, saw fit to pen his own religion tailored to his own blood. No way can any but Aryans embrace the Bible. That is if it is read and understood by its letter, not the "interpretations" of others whose motives we do not know.

Beyond even that, God told Israel that he chose them, they didn't choose him. Not exactly a passive thing and certainly not a matter of personal "belief". All a matter of having the awareness to see and know what exactly is what and how to relate to it according to what we are. Many who think themselves to be embracing Christ will be in for a huge let-down. Many who have imagined him to be a racial alien will also be in for a surprise. To borrow from Isaiah, "...in that day each will flee to his own."

When it happens at the unknown, appointed hour like the thief in the night, most won't realize what actually is happening. They'll only be petrified with terror at the sight of what apparently is a Hollywood-style "invasion from outer space". Some will keel over dead at the sight. Some will actually attempt to resist it on the side of the familiar, earthly Satanic System and, as the Bible reveals, one-third of everything will be blown away.

Or the whole thing could be a hoax, life on Earth could be meaningless and directionless. And things could drift on the way they are now indefinitely.

But who really believes that?

I've long held that the key, the great give-away as to the true nature of what's what in the society with regard to who and what the real power is, what their agenda is, as well as what is right and wrong is bound up two ways: What critical element or elements are missing; And what is it that the power attacks and condemns most. Usually, these things will be, the same. A double assurance.

It must proceed from the conviction that something is basically and fundamentally amiss. How can one be sure of a situation like that? Despite everything, all efforts in "the most enlightened of times". things only become worse. So, unless you are one of those who has a vested stake in the power structure, you should be able to well sense what I'm talking about. That is, of course, if you're not one of those going through life with a very heavy set of blinders on. That is if you have sound instincts left.

Unfortunately, there are many of those, both with the blinders and without the instincts. And it is they who are the very ones who shoulder and support the very same criminal System I'm referring to. The productive workers. Too involved in chasing the buck, running on the hamster wheel, to pay very much attention to what's really going on around them. Too afraid to lose what they've got to ask too many questions. Too complacent to do any real soul-searching. The very same class of people targetted by the System for erasure in only a few more generations under present trends and circumstances. But you can't tell them that. It's too dangerous to tell them.

Only the concerned, the intrepid and the aware will be suited to sense a serious problem and to ask the right questions, much less be prepared to handle the right answers.

In another article, I compared these times to mid-Roman times, especially as it affected the lives of the earliest Christians. Universal decadence, corruption and barbarity - the hallmarks of a recently great civilization now visibly on its way to its doom. Yet still easily strong enough to persecute and kill any would-be reformers who had the insight and the nerve to declare the truth in the midst of a governing lie. And just capable of that only...

It is that it quite simply profits the few in control and they will use their power to keep it that way. The future of the people can go to hell. Period. The people themselves have only what they are told by their masters to go on. You can see why Jesus stressed the matter of the rich hardly being able to enter Heaven. They have an interest in this. As for the masses, common types will be occupied by common things. *"Many will be called but few will be chosen."*

We in the Movement could barely see all of this until the most recent decades. For one, most of us rejected the Bible on the basis of how "Judeo-Christianity" appeared to us. We didn't connect with the way National Socialism and Adolf Hitler were presented to the public at large by the

same media manipulators as the reason they rejected them. Deception and blindness.

Neither did we suspect the full nature of the situation we sought to confront. We formed our "parties" to "do something" about it. We couldn't accept that it was with Adolf Hitler that the final effort of significant, independent action against the encroaching Beast System took place. And it ended with the mass crucifixion of the whole German state. However, just as with the crucifixion that took place on Golgotha two thousand years ago, if that started something, if that signalled the time was "close", then the sacrifice made by Germany in the Second World War had to represent something infinitely more significant and to signal that the time was imminent.

"No political solution", and, "We have lost", were what began to dawn over an increasing number of us in the decade of the Seventies. That was also when the term "Zionist Occupation Government" - or "ZOG" - was first coined. That was when leaders like Joseph Tommasi decided that any course of action to be taken now would have to amount to violent and practically suicidal attacks upon the System itself and not the swarming masses of "strangers" filling the streets, much less "petitioning for redress" to the sold-out scum in the government.

What was happening?

Tommasi had said, "Heighten the contradictions." Charles Manson said, "Make them be what they are." This all refers to polarization, greater alienation and, of course, an increase in contrasts. Well, better than we could hope to do it on our own, the System has been doing it for us at a ratio of a hundred thousand to one. Our frustrated political schemes are thus explained: *The times are evil. Nothing good or positive can come about. The Beast owns a monopoly. Jesus said to "hang onto what you have" and to exercise "the patience of the saints". To be "as cunning as the serpent and as gentle as the dove."*

For, "who can war against the Beast?" And, "he who lives by the sword shall die by the sword."

This means that we had it backward before. We thought to inspire and guide the events. Wrong! We, in a more perfect awareness, must stand like a rock in a raging storm and allow the roaring torrent to pass us by and finally expend itself. This means that the System's hirelings have the power to abuse their authority while we have the power to not let it affect us or our course. They are guaranteed to pass away while we remain standing. "And the meek shall inherit the Earth."

Our own awareness. "First myself and then the world." We imagined ourselves and our effort long ago as part of the political process. How naive! We didn't appreciate the scope of the thing. We were children. As Paul said, with greater maturity, it has come time to put away childish things. There is a lock on and if you haven't sold out or otherwise become part of that lock, you're only kidding yourself, only feeding into their deception.

Total contrast.

But I want to take up how we might best *know* we're not merely cranks as well as how we can assure ourselves of the ferocious *speed* at which all this is approaching its finite conclusion.

I stress again and again the hallmarks of this Movement, the very two which earn us all the derision are these: So-called "racism"; And so-called "anti-Semitism". These two no more and no less fall into perfect alignment with what the Bible admonishes about not dealing with, much less marrying with, "strangers" and never permitting "strangers" to be in positions of power over us. This points directly to the inescapable fate of a multi-racial and multi-cultural society, one dominated at the top by racial Jews.

Bingo! This is why things are a damnable mess and can only get worse. You'll not hear that across any media, unless it is to condemn or decry "racism" and "anti-Semitism" as what is really "wrong" with the society, as the two biggest "evils".

Well, the reality is that this nation was *founded* on "racism" and "anti-Semitism", by "racists" and "anti-Semites". Most of the Founding Fathers were slave holders and not just a few, like Franklin, wanted Jews to be banned from the new United States by a Constitutional act.

But no. Slavery was finally abolished but the large colored bloc wasn't removed to Africa the way that Lincoln wanted it. And Jews were permitted to swarm in and buy up everything by the end of the Nineteenth Century. The new "Promised Land", the new "Land of Milk and Honey" was changed and not for the better.

Look at it now. Where so-called "White racism" is the most anathema thing of all. Where sometime in the next hundred years Whites will be a *minority* in this land. The identical same thing that took place in the Mideast, in the Holy Land thousands of years ago and for exactly the same reasons. And take a look at that place today! The contention between Jesus and the power representing that system then was *RACIAL* - though he, in his own perfect awareness, represented it as spiritual.

It had required many centuries of carelessness and degeneracy for things there to deteriorate to the state they were at by the time of Jesus. One might almost have viewed it as "accidental".

But it was during these same centuries that we can see racial Jews developing right within the pages of the Bible, "*the children of the Devil.*" Now, today, there is no "accident". There is a fanatical *plan* and a conscious *drive* to extend the Third World "Brown Belt" to encompass North America. And it is led by Jews and their army of "*Judas-goat*" hirelings. So what if it is being done under the disguise of "democracy" or even "Christianity" just so long as the Holy Seed is being erased?

The old Hebrew Temple in the hands of racial Jews soon becomes Judaism, while Christianity infested with non-Whites quickly devolves into Voodoo. Spiritually shot, the

remaining Whites themselves devolve into mulattoes. Too late then to babble about "salvation" and "redemption".

But the speed of it...

We, with our message, would not have been "extremists" in Washington's day, for example. But we have held onto the truth while on-rushing events have swept all away with them, headlong into degeneracy and toward death. Even a hundred years ago, or fifty, or twenty-five. Look at the swift change.

As the hidden alien conspiracy extends its tentacles and its influence begins to tell more and more, the contrast with those who "hold fast" becomes more marked. Whereas we used to be "conservatives" and "reactionaries", ostensibly on the side of the nominally White status quo, we now are flat-out revolutionaries and, more to the point, Separatists. This would not have been possible very many years ago, when one could still kid himself that this was yet "the Land of his Fathers".

The Sixties scum who were in college then are now everywhere in the driver's seat. The lie is dominant. Look at how it was, how it is and know that much worse is on the way.

Two things to note about this contrast, this clarification: It is becoming so severe that average, common people are coming to awaken to it on their own, without the extensive and practically underground Right Wing training that we had; And as the lie comes to replace all remnants of truth and reality, as things fail more and more, coming up hollow and bankrupt, they are having to resort to more "laws" and more repression to keep functioning. More people are finding the truth and, at the same time, the persecution of truth will become correspondingly worse until the climax.

It is this speed that will ensure that we will outlive the Beast. Within his own circumstances, time is on his side to absorb the last of the Holy Seed on this continent within a growing sea of mud. But by his very own pushing of his rotten policies and their manifesting failure - leading up to Apocalypse - time is what he's running out of. To quote John, "Satan will grow ever more wrathful as he senses his time growing short."

It'll get rough before it's over.

But it's coming FAST!

by Robert Burns

Religious division, the bane of the White race. Unbecoming enough out within the mainstream but the stuff of which utter fools are made when found within the Racialist Movement. After all, we claim to "know better". And yet there is the huge division roughly between those segments of the Movement identifying with Christianity and those with attachments to one form or another of paganism. My concern, as a realist, is this: Among those who are sincere and devoted to the furtherance of the race, are these beliefs mutually exclusive to an irremedial degree?

I have long maintained that one doesn't even need religion in order to be aware of what the trouble is in the world as it concerns the White race. There simply are certain laws of nature, of physics and of history that can be positively isolated and applied and cannot be disregarded, that is unless one is determined to commit suicide.

However, two very critical considerations have surfaced over the past couple of decades within this Movement that pertain directly to why the lack of hard success that one might expect to attend a political movement geared to the salvation of a people. One, that there is no political solution to this; And, two, that this has come about through a spiritual failing of our own.

Both of these conclusions are correct. Next, to find the answers.

Backing up for a moment, I personally rejected popular morals and values on the basis of the way they were represented by, supposedly, their own institutions. Specifically, the Church. And I instinctively gravitated to the "racist" cause, partly out of instinct, partly on the basis of its being condemned by these same rotten but dominant values I myself had previously turned my back upon.

So far, so good.

What a shock I was in for once I had fully enjoined the Struggle, once I had fully immersed myself in the "Radical Right". The twisted and distorted image given to it by the controlling media had the effect of attracting to it all manner of kooks, weirdoes, derelicts, deficient and deviants. It was a case of misrepresentation and its logical effects.

The job was and remains to sort the truth.

Then a difficult but logical realization came over me. How valid really is it to absolutely accept one thing on the basis of its being rejected by a badly misled majority while rejecting absolutely another thing purely on the basis of its acceptance by the same deluded majority? Misrepresentation is misrepresentation, regardless from which end of the spectrum.

With a life-long background in atheism and as a committed National Socialist (which, by the way, brought me into simultaneous and favorable familiarity with Odinism, etc.), also being well-steeped in revisionist history, I found myself as of 1995 embarking on a three-year prison term

and with lots of time on my hands to read, study and write.

I had already solidly rejected the Church, as I have said. I had been acquainted with Christian Identity since 1969, soon after joining the Movement. And I was even presented an unsolicited ministerial certificate in Identity during 1977 but I considered it at the time to be no more than a novelty. But then, in prison at the age of forty-two, I first read the Bible for myself.

I knew I was onto something from the first. Altogether I suppose I've annotated no fewer than half a dozen Bibles in the ensuing three years and I am convinced I know what is there better than most. The critically necessary key to the "decoding" of it only comes with the kind of background as I had gained over the course of my previous life. It all jumped out at me.

The most misrepresented and misunderstood collection of works of all time. And for very good reason. Yet, with the proverbial "eyes to see" and "ears to hear", the whole outline is there, just as we in this Movement have been straining to put into words and distribute these many long decades. Stunning, breathtaking and a little frightening.

No one can seem to see it on their own and it is easily taken and twisted into the philosophy of suicide that we long have been willing to assume it to be. But look at what they make out of the philosophy of National Socialism. It is forever on us to discern truth and reality. This is the very means by which the few, from out of the many who are called, will answer.

Each one needs to ponder the question: How far can anyone aspire while he is separated from his God?

Quickly as I can, realize that the Biblical *Exodus* was but the final migration of Aryan stock from out of a mongrelized and decaying Egypt. They left for the sake of saving themselves racially. An earlier migration had founded Aryan India. Another previous one had included Odin, Thor and Freya. Factors of geographic isolation from colored populations saw the latter migration thrive while the former one degenerated and died just as did Egypt.

Get a King James Bible and begin to read. It is laden with errors in translation, half the books that belong there are missing and some of the books which are present don't belong there. But with the background of a Racialist and a knowledge of true history - plus the eye of the realist - this won't matter. The shattering truth will emerge in an unmistakable pattern.

The Whites (*Hebrews, Israelites*) are commanded to kill off entirely all those inhabitants of the land of Canaan which they are now taking for themselves as a new home lest this inter-racial contact eventually destroy them. They do not kill all of them off but put them to paying tribute, enslave them, eventually and gradually assimilate them. Finally, a bastardized society and belief system. Division, collapse, conquest and foreign captivity.

Historic fact is that as these Aryan tribes were departing from their Near East captivity, the Aryan

"barbarians", the "pagans" were entering Europe over a path that caused the whole to be known to this day as "Caucasion". The pathway, the timing and the *Identity* all add up to mean that the exit of the one and the entrance of the other are but the transition of the same people.

Frightening that before this process was even completed, it was recorded that this was to be the means to renewed greatness for these tribes. By this they would be purged of the poison - genetic as well as spiritual - that had infected them through infestation by these afore mentioned "strangers". (The "strangers" clung for the time being to the old land and the old and now thoroughly bastardized religion that they themselves had caused to become poisoned. They materialize by name halfway through the Bible as what they remain known as today: Jews.)

Egypt dying, India dying, Persia, Greece, Rome, etc. Everywhere except Northern Europe where the tribes had made their way. Frightening again that the prophecies contained in the Bible all relate to the greatness that awaited these reconstituted tribes over the next two thousand years, collectively to be known as Western Civilization. And, yes, the return to debasement and degeneracy, brought about, again, by these very same Jews - "the accursed".

Painfully clear to me at once was the reason for the apparently irreconcilable disparity between Jesus and Odin. It is in the reason why Hitler's tactics don't work for us here today. In the fresh North of centuries ago, Aryan belief could fully flower and express itself. In the Palestine of Jesus' day - just as here today - if you were White, you had to be very cautious.

Speaking the simple truth here today is practically a criminal offense. It today amounts to "heresy" or "blasphemy" and is certain to bring down the wrath of the Jewish powers that be. We know what happens to all of our leaders. Is it so much of a stretch to see this very thing happening back then, in that place?

"But they were all Jews", most might say. The single biggest point of confusion in the White world today and for a very long time past. Yes, and we are all "Americans" here today. There you have it in a nutshell. Should an Aryan remnant succeed in breaking out of and leaving this mess behind, they'd become something new while the "Americans" would come to be represented solely by the ever darkening and degenerating mass remaining.

Jesus nearly made it to state power. Hitler nearly made it to world power. Jews enlisted the help of the duped "Gentiles" around them to go do their dirty work for them. Then the respective persecutions of the followers after these twin catastrophes. We in the Movement today might as well have been there then, two thousand years ago. Read the Gospels and the Epistles and you'll get the feeling that you *were* and it *is*.

Odinism then is the philosophy for an ideal and organic society among all Whites. The pronouncements of Jesus are for the same folk, except now trodden down by an alien

establishment, overrun by racial aliens in their midst, contaminated by a poisonous philosophy and hopelessly divided against one another. Far from hailing and idealizing these things, the message contained deals with how to survive them, retaining one's integrity, until the inherent rottenness of it all brings it down.

The two standpoints are in no way mutually exclusive.

Be on guard against misrepresentation in all things.

Take careful note of how all this was outlined to its complete conclusion in the pages of the Bible. The uniqueness of that factor surely is what prompted the earliest zealots to risk their lives in order to get that message up into Europe, to their Aryan brethren: *The Lost Sheep of the House of Israel*.

It would certainly behoove the Jews to infiltrate and attempt to derail this from within. They've done a pretty good overall job of it exactly as with the defamation of Adolf Hitler.

They had me fooled for a long time too. But no longer.

Hitler's struggle was the same as that of Jesus, for the same people, against the same enemy.

If that's not enough to convince you, let the same Jewish hate for both settle the rest.

But let's once and for all understand this so the division may be stopped.

"I don't want to learn it, I want it to instruct me." So said Adolf Hitler about the study of history. As to the whole education process itself, Hitler added that the object was to retain the essential and to discard the non-essential. He was speaking of the ability to recognize and comprehend patterns and principles, to be able to see them at work regardless of the ever-changing names, dates and places as, without this, there is no understanding and, according to the famous saying, people are doomed to repeat the past.

On the subject of Hitler, how many are they who make it their avocation to study the Third Reich period in Germany, right down to all the names, dates and places, the orders of battle, etc., and can recite these from memory as though part of church catechism - yet know *nothing* of what it all means? They've "learned it", alright, but they have gained no instruction from it.

How many of us devoted years and decades of our lives to intensive political activity within the framework of one or more "Neo-Nazi" group, so taken were we by the Hitler mystique that we shut out the entire context in which he lived and moved to the point where we approached irrelevance? So badly removed from working reality that we didn't realize this wouldn't have been the course he'd have chosen for us under the new circumstances. Hitler copied no one, he fit his atmosphere perfectly and yet he was in a spirit which is constant and eternal.

The religious. Stuck in the letter of the ancient texts of the Bible, they literally can quote you chapter and verse. The man with his long hair, beard, robe and sandals. Approximately as out of place in today's world as Hitler with his moustache and uniform. But two thousand years ago he was so common for his time that it required a "Judas kiss" to betray him to his enemies. Yet, due to a unique spirit, he was able to meet a certain set of circumstances which keep recurring and to do it in such a way that his name has lived on.

Well and good to look back upon and admire all that devotion among people, places and times so distant from us as to begin to appear as myth. But it was real to them. Among these fans and supporters today, who would face the fiery end in Berlin or death upon the cross? As "glorious" as the legend may be now, it represented only personal death to them then.

People, it is clear, would far prefer to latch onto something safely in the past, to refer to it only when satisfying and convenient. Then put it away to go on about the business of blindly existing, essentially serving whatever power it is that sits in control of present-day reality. Why this phenomenon of belief systems that are detached from present-day practicality? If one wants to retreat into the past, at least call it as such but don't pretend to affect the present or the future by it.

It's an apparent human trait that seems to be inescapable. They forget. They never learn. They can't see the same thing reoccurring even as it surrounds and envelopes them. Great suffering, then a climax, then a new age. Then they forget again. Each time a Hitler or a Jesus is summoned to answer up for an entire people. Then they enter common legend and even their own, personal significance is lost with the rest. They become "icons".

It's disturbing to see and know these "experts" on matters such as the Bible or even Mein Kampf. The ability to quote lines is useless unless one can fully know *what it is*. That the two are even regarded as separate, even conflicting fields says it all. The reality is that they are the same. They tell the same story, sound the same warning, to the same people, offer the same salvation - except an entire age apart. Time and again. The dynamics never change, only times and manners. How should such trivial considerations - like those of a costume drama - disguise an eternal cycle coming back around again? But they do.

Greatness and fall, greatness and fall. Of the same people, for the same reasons. With all the same earmarks, with the same results. Even the same enemy. Why is it that only we forget?

Human nature - man's curse. If the Bible has any special significance it is because nothing else does a better, more poignant job of pointing that out and making it plain. It's set to happen, it's known to happen, it was seen to happen. And there is an outcome. Finally there comes an end when awareness arrives in full, never to depart again, so that greatness and well-being may never anymore be disrupted or lost.

If the experience of Adolf Hitler has any special significance it is to underscore beyond any doubt that the "age of miracles" does not lie hopelessly far back in the dusts of time, beyond contact of modern man. That it is here with us - or us with it - in the present time despite anyone's inability to grasp it. That even in the midst of this most common and mundane of times - in reality no different than any other - great things can happen to upset and topple the march of destruction and of death as a people.

Above all, not a matter of "faith" but of *principle*, of *physics*. If only the individual can see and know all this then it, or the awareness of it, can be for him a bottomless, unquenchable source of strength and assurance. This was the identical message of both Hitler and Jesus. The one's message told to his faithful as he strove in a deadly dangerous environment dominated by his enemies. That of the other as he dictated his words to one of his faithful while confined to a prison cell, charged with "high treason".

Events have always, will always, take it on their own. Masses of people will be swept along. A tiny few extraordinary personalities, in possession of high awareness, with rare courage and ability to speak and act, will catalyze these events. It might be unremarkable had not the conclusion to it all previously been foreseen and recorded.

That by itself might be of no benefit to us today as we suffer and endure except that this same awareness I speak of allows us to see and know that what was "near" two thousand years ago is today imminent. A spot flare-up here and there across the globe over past centuries, only to move on and repeat itself, indeed, seemingly without end right up to the present. Time and again. But we were warned to pay little heed to these "rumors" consistent to all times.

That was until the last of these more or less familiar, historical incidents played out in 1945 in Germany. Since then it's been a global lock. Same people, same enemy, same dynamics. Except now, for the first time ever, it is world-wide and not a sideshow. Never before.

Magic is about to replay. Should the enemy, or rather the dull, dead grind of existing in a world of his making, have managed to convince you that all is dead, dull and gray, that all is contained in his financial bottom line, to the point where you can't even go on in hope, then he has achieved a major portion of his goal. But an intelligent mind should be able to view all this in one of two ways - or perhaps both:

Physically, practically, this can't endure as it can be seen to be feeding upon itself now, even as former greatness and health have been all but consumed. The "cup of iniquity" approaches full as hypocrisy and folly have full rein, about to reap what they have sown. It's almost full circle.

More than an unmistakable cycle that the astute might be able to spot for themselves, to be able to draw their own conclusions from, someone knew it would come to this and cared enough to detail it for us many thousands of years ago. That next to none can see its meaning - that much was recorded also. Despite any ignorance or even resistance, the pattern and process of birth, death and rebirth won't be denied.

We are given the distinction of living to witness the last great playing out of this cycle on a world-wide scale. For those who have longed to know the secrets of the beginning, most if not all of them will be revealed now, at the end. This time, so it is claimed, they won't be lost.

THREE FACES OF SATANISM
by Robert Burns

The complacent, clueless masses are going wild looking for "Satan" under every rock, every bed. Especially where their children are concerned, whether it be the music they listen to or their mode of dress. Exactly as when I was a youth, the parents are upset over the ever-steepening downward trend but only are able to moan and bewail the latest outward manifestations of a deeper problem. This generation is as alienated from its elders as the previous one was from theirs.

Why? Because whatever "values" the older ones may somehow yet cling to are patently, uniformly hollow, hypocritical and rotten. They go in search of something, anything that seems at least real. Unfortunately, the same source that destroyed the traditional values also took care to lay every sort of gimmick and trap out there to snare the lost and searching. A deliberate set-up.

The worse-than-useless churches are of course leading the cry against "Satanism". The Red Devil with horns, pointed beard, tail and pitchfork who waits in Hell to torment you through eternity following a life of dissolution corresponds well to the bearded Jehovah seated on his throne in Heaven with his ledger of good deeds. Twin "super-spooks". It has the same ring of crap to it as any of the rest of their garbage and, by the unbroken trends, it is having the corresponding effect.

That's what happens to a people when its belief system degenerates to total superstition; The people themselves degenerate next. Throwing more superstition at very real social problems is like throwing gasoline on a fire in attempt to douse it. Unfortunately, it seems as though that if ever people do depart from these childish notions it is only to abandon the concepts altogether as non-existent. That there is no good and no evil.

I can assure you that these concepts are real and infinitely more profound and "for keeps" than these simplistic allegories. But if we're going to talk about Satan, let's at least try to do it intelligently.

The first thing to try to maintain firmly in mind is the *principle* of the forces actually at work as distinct from images, individuals or groups in the margins of society who may be attempting to affect this or that for reasons of their own. The one has no bearing upon the other.

It was in 1966, in San Francisco, that Anton LaVey founded his *Church of Satan*. I'm very proud of my 33 1/3 album of his "Satanic Mass" and my inscribed copy of his "Satanic Bible". I quoted LaVey extensively in my first book and a number of my closest and best friends are bona fide *high priests* in LaVey's church.

I even permitted myself to be billed as a "Neo-Nazi Satanist" to appear opposite radio evangelist-for-profit, Bob Larson. If they want me to be "evil", I'll be "evil" for them. Evil sells, evil excites and electrifies. Knowing the

jaded public will respond a lot quicker to him "fighting Satanism" right on the air than to him merely shoving the tired, old "peace, love and brotherhood" crap, Larson tackles "evil" live in exchange for your money. But take careful note: What is it they have linked in the title of that encounter with evil but "Neo-Nazism" and "racism"?

Pay attention to what's going on here: According to not only Larson but the whole value system of the society, "evil" is a subjective thing and can change according to the times, place and which direction the wind is blowing. So it logically would follow, if you are able to prop up a suitable "bogeyman", it's a cinch to stand in opposition to that and make yourself out to be the "good guy" automatically. A fun game, perhaps, but even while it's being played, things in general continue to slide into a very real Hell.

Good and evil are working principles and do not change, ever. What we really have is a people stricken with blindness.

That's what's been done to people's perception of these opposing poles. They literally don't know which direction is up. That's a major part of the trap. A world deliberately turned upside-down. LaVey himself vehemently attacks those who give lies for truth and truth for lies and in this he borrows straight from the Bible.

For LaVey it works like this: Going on the basis of what "God" has popularly come to represent in this sick society, would it not be expected for it to occur to an intelligent mind and healthy instinct to at least give "Satan" a second look? If "good" has come to stand for weakness, shame and suicide, then might not "evil" be worthy of a close reappraisal? If "God" and the Church are today the domain of the rich and the hypocritical, then perhaps the Infernal might properly be the place of the common yet sincere.

But "Satan worship" in the modern age? Isn't that sort of like asking for trouble? LaVey has showmanship strikingly reminiscent of George Lincoln Rockwell and knows how to use shock and symbolism to defeat the news blackout, to reach people's minds and to shatter preconceptions. Remember that there is what's real, what's actually going on, forces which are dominant - and then there is whatever any of us might want to believe. Two different things.

Realizing the System media will never give you or your ideas fair and equal representation to the masses, which only they can reach, you opt to proceed on the basis of sensationalism in hopes of achieving some measure of exposure even if it must come in the form of smear and slander. LaVey's Church of Satan, Rockwell's American Nazi Party. From that point, having attracted a small number of the intrepid - laced with a proportion of the unbalanced - you might have the foundation for an organization.

There's an important give-away here. The System with its opinion-forming media knows why it links "Satan" with "Nazis". Incorporated within LaVey's creed are heavy references to Ragnar Redbeard's "Might Is Right", so very

"Viking" in its nature that it could even provide a common philosophical link between his and Rockwell's group. Playing into System hands or just playing a double game? The Norse, the Vikings, the doctrine of strength as opposed to weakness - everything that this System, its State Media and State Religion brand as "evil". Names and labels are nothing. If something rings true, go with it and pay no heed to whatever slander may be flung at you.

Such are the waters in which all who find themselves out of the System loop must swim. No use trying to "sneak" your ideas past the masters of censorship and psychology through polite and sensible arguments. It won't work. You'll end your days spinning your wheels, doing great disservice to your cause through endless compromise. May as well assume the head-on approach and allow the chips to fall. The astute will respond. The remainder hardly matter.

One final striking similarity shared by LaVey and Rockwell is/was their absolute insistence upon strict legality among their respective memberships. Plain good citizenship. This so as not to allow the ever-waiting System to play the "criminality" card in order to put you out of business and at the same time pump themselves up as the "good guys".

The LaVey crowd are realists, pragmatists. They positively cannot abide the phoniness of this society and so they chose the image of "Satan" - the "Adversary" - as their symbol of this opposition.

That's one face of Satanism.

The second face involves the truly anti-social types which are burgeoning in the land and which most capture the attention of the public. Richard Ramirez, the "Night Stalker", is the perfect example of this type. Young, disenchanted, disaffected, disenfranchised, full of violence, resentment and very frequently non-White. A former paramour of mine had been closely acquainted with the Night Stalker and through her I discovered that he could be most charming and personable. She mirrored his own division of personality, being equally capable of extreme belligerence and destructiveness.

Here we have the "jokers", the "wild cards" of society who are intent to do "evil" exactly the way that society defines it. Gratuitous crime, violence, "weirdism", the torture of animals, the abuse of children, sexual perversion, etc. These types are to LaVey's group what the younger and more impulsive Skinheads are to the older and actually conservative Nazis: An embarrassment. But they fire the imagination and attract other youth by their exploits.

What is Ramirez in reality other than a philosophical forerunner of what they are already calling the on-coming generation of thoroughly alienated "Super Predators"?

The two groups are distinct, not remotely mutually admiring and in direct opposition. Strange? A contradiction? Not at all. We have LaVey on one hand, a very conscious and careful reaction to the phoniness of the System. While on the other hand we have Ramirez, archetypical of the real

nightmare people who are *products* of the System. its own sins assuming solid form to come back upon it.

But whether highly intellectual or highly emotional, these two groups are manifestly human. Despite names, costumes, symbolisms or dogma, if we are seeking Satan's identity we'll have to look elsewhere because the one thing these two faces really have in common is that Satan himself could hardly be less concerned over their existence or activities.

While the gods rage overhead in conflict, we in the margins maintain our pretenses largely for purposes of our own edification as the broad masses go on totally oblivious. But it is to determine the destiny of those same masses that the struggle is being waged. To say, "I am evil", "I hail Satan", etc., is to ensure alienation from these masses. No power, no influence, no fooling of anyone. In point of fact, to do so is to be remarkably truthful and forthright.

Directness is not a hallmark of Satan.

It is not Satan's goal to enlighten or to liberate anyone. He seeks to seduce and enslave. Ultimately his goal is to remove any chance of eventual salvation. If you have as your vision of Hell one of "fire and brimstone", then you miss the mark. If you have been led to accept that Heaven and Hell exist only in some "hereafter", you further are lost. If you think that the key to one or the other lies in "dogoodism", you've been duped. Who would have an interest in your believing thus? And who is in the singular position to be able to so influence your thinking?

John 8:44. "You belong to your father, the Devil, and you want to carry out your father's desire. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the father of lies."

Revelation 2:8. "I know the slander of those who say they are Jews and are not, but are a synagogue of Satan."

Finally, if you suppose that it would be Satan's object to look and dress funny or to pull endless gags or practical jokes, you're really at jeopardy. The object is to destroy God's highest work. The methodology? Satan's only power is to get you to thinking it's been your own idea. To do that he must become "responsibility" personified, "legitimate authority", to gain your full confidence and willing participation as he literally leads you over the precipice.

The master stroke of the master of masquerade is to step in between you and God and get you worshipping him as "God". He will invert "good" and "evil", knowing that you will want to be found on the side of "good". And when it's all been done there'll be no refunds.

No silliness, only grim business. Satan has no time for playing in the margins. He'll go where the power is. This is no Halloween party and Satan is "the man in the gray flannel suit."

There you have it, the third and actual face of Satan. The "Big Brother" System? No. It's the *Beast System*, the *Whore of Babylon*, the *Satanic System*, right here and now. All

power, everything, is gathered solely in the hands of the System. Regardless what anyone may think, say or do, only it controls the trends which are inexorably leading straight up to Apocalypse. The omnipresent corruption, the philosophy of genetic suicide, not to mention the fanatical and irresistible drive to force all this without variance. No accident, no mistake.

Certain belief has it that Satan assumed outright control of the world in the year 1914. Only one serious breach in that take-over has been made and that was in Germany, between 1933 and 1945. For the rest, dark night has been descending remorselessly over mankind. And who does the System paint as the most "evil" man to have ever lived other than Adolf Hitler? To the System and all those locked into its mindset, the most hated symbol but the Swastika? Far more so than Christ's Cross because they haven't been able to compromise the Swastika and turn it to their own use.

So, to anyone serious about worshipping Satan, the steps to take would be to do whatever is necessary to blend into his System: Get a university education, enter big business or politics, make big money, become "respectable" and certainly attend the "church of your choice".



The author at the antiquated and obsolete computer upon which these articles were re-edited through his stay in Pueblo.

A WORLD BEYOND
by Robert Burns

That was the title of a book which came recommended to me over twenty-five years ago, written by a woman who claimed to be a psychic in contact with the spirits of the dead. At that time in my life I was more than skeptical of all this sort of thing. In fact, I was a militant atheist. Reading that book was however a first for me in that it marked the divide between mere skepticism or dismissal and a case wherein I was clearly able to discern deliberate deception.

The religionists of the world are burgeoning, taking in millions of people and raking in billions of dollars. A former contact of mine who was a business person once pointed out to me that, even in the worst of economic times, you'll still see insurance high-rises and churches being built. (Signs of a very "spooked" people hedging their bets between two kinds of "insurance"?)

My own intuition told me that if the message and works of these churches was truly on the mark, what with the vast wealth and resources at their command, this would at the very least be a world on an upswing rather than teetering ever nearer the brink of the abyss as it is.

Terms like "mass taste" I was by then familiar with eventhough "politically correct" had yet to be coined. By that time I knew all about media monopoly and thought control. Now I was seeing and examining its effect at work. Certainly at that point I was deeply into what was being called "revisionist" history. (And that term, *revisionist*, is still widely derided by all the mainstreamers as being somehow "cooked" to reflect a certain agenda whereas we all were taught in school that what was represented in the history books was the straight stuff. At the very least, getting a take on history from an opposing slant should facilitate one's fomulating a more complete and balanced conception. That would be if that's what the actual object is.)

It is tragic that practically everyone is subject to this rigid taste-making via this information black-out. Someone once compared the human mind to a computer: Regardless how sophisticated or powerful, if critical data can be withheld from it, or if misinformation can be fed into it, valid answers cannot possibly be forthcoming.

I, as a dyed-in-the-wool conspiratorialist, maintain that that is precisely the aim.

People in the main only can go on what they have to go on. To this then can a very large measure of the mess the world is in be ascribed. In this particular instance, awareness of the principle enabled me to penetrate the mask of one more "occultist" scam.

True to the old stand-by, "pie-in-the-sky" of churches and occultists alike, the author of this book went to some length to first paint a beautiful, idyllic picture of this "world beyond". The "brotherhood", the "tolerance", the "love" preached by these types, so elusive here on Earth, was

an established reality "over there".

To help illustrate this she cited in detail an encounter she apparently was able to overhear between Adolf Hitler and Martin Luther King. The most complete opposites in life. the best of friends on the other side, they were sharing and enjoying all the "equality" only latent in the living flesh but given full bloom in the afterlife.

Of course it didn't stop there. It was that the "evil" and "misguided" Hitler had happily awakened into the righteousness of the generally-accepted position of King. Gee, how very reassuring. So Heaven is politically correct too and, by that, if "P.C." governs the Earth, as it surely does, then we ought by rights to be experiencing "Heaven on Earth". But it ain't necessarily so.

The woman who authored this touching scenario was but a product of the educational system which raised her. Moulded into the fashion of a sincere liberal type, in order to make a name for herself on a popular bandwagon and possibly make a few bucks off the sales of her book, she decided to become a "psychic". But anyone tapped into the omniscient consciousness of the cosmos should at least be as well-informed as any novice revisionist. Instead, she brought with her into this venture just what state-of-the-art bunk as she herself had been taught. And she used that as she attempted to convey to the credulous what "Heaven" must be like.

Had she been in possession of the rest of the balance sheet, she'd have known, as did J. Edgar Hoover (himself no lily), that Martin Luther King had been a highly-trained, carefully-placed agent of the International Communist Conspiracy, with his task being to lead his fellow Blacks from a course of nationhood for themselves and toward that of "integration" with White society with the destruction of the latter being the goal. More a vision from Hell than from Heaven. One must ask themselves how, in plain practicality, all of this is working out after these past decades of being pushed into official, state policy.

She'd have known that King was a loathsome sexual degenerate and was surrounded in his organization, the "Southern Christian Leadership Conference", by more Communists and perverts. So much so that the degenerate Hoover at one point advised King to commit suicide.

She'd have been aware that it was King's own bosses who had him liquidated after it had become obvious that his leadership was being rejected by an increasing majority of Blacks who were more interested in nationalism as opposed to the one-worldism that integration promised. After which his killers elevated him to the status of a "god" to their foul "ideals", one which would be of infinitely greater use to them in death than the living person had been.

Had King awakened into some hereafter, some universal plane free of all lies and deceptions, he could hardly be expected to remain clinging to the same, old dialectics drilled into him by his former Comintern masters, especially realizing they had just snuffed him for all his trouble and devotion.

Whether there exists any such hereafter to awaken into or whether King could have gained admittance to it are other questions, beside the point entirely.

But the author of the book knew nothing of this and it clearly showed through in her work. How many readers were taken in by it all, gratified and re-enforced that their own pre-fab liberal notions were being so nobly edified? The cyclone of disinformation feeding upon itself.

Beyond that even is the "pop" concept of Hitler as evil incarnate. The most cursory reading of "*Mein Kampf*" would have revealed to her or anyone else the importance Hitler placed on the preservation of the British Empire and the Catholic Church, his commitment to the humane removal of the Jews - a stubbornly unassimilable minority - from Europe where they weren't wanted and safely to a land of their own, the rich island of Madagascar being a heavily favored projection of the time. The absolute urgency Hitler placed upon the military destruction of World Communism. The stringent laws which were among the first passed in the new Third Reich for the protection and humane treatment of animals. And after the rest of the world had declared war on him for having removed Germany from the grip of the "New World Order", he neither sought to develop what he considered to be inhumane weapons, like the atomic bomb, nor did he utilize the ones he currently had, like poison gas.

The most lied-about man in history has had innumerable volumes written against him alleging every foul thing imaginable. Upon investigation - revisionism - none are found to stick. The exact opposite is the case with the treatment accorded to Martin Luther King.

It's not the man nor is it his actions. It is rather what any individual represents to the interests of the power which is fully capable of making darkness appear to be light, ugliness appear as beauty, enemies appear to be friends, etc. Image-making as a key tool for the instrumentation of a secret agenda.

Can you conceive the kind of power that this is? The degree of control? Above all, why lie?

Truly a "world beyond".

Is living in a world removed from truth and reality any less incredible than living or existing in an entirely different dimension? Or might they even equal the same thing?

Hoax: Something I hate. It is one more aspect of the lie. "Know the truth and the truth will make you free." In this case a knowledge of suppressed truth not only exposed a hoax, it demonstrated again that truth is always far stranger than fiction. But while knowing the truth even when the power of lies sits in dominance may make you free mentally and spiritually, it will also bring you into direct contention with all the physical force that the lie has at its disposal.

The great secret of it all is revealed by this: Instead of falsely concentrating on making it appear as though the Eternal is in support of all this insane monkey-business on Earth, better to realize that this unholy nonsense stands in direct defiance of the Eternal.

With or without an omnipotent God somewhere in an intangible Heaven, it is the laws of nature and of physics which are being flouted and denied. Either way, it cannot last nor can it go unpunished forever.

TOTALITARIANISM
by Robert Burns

Since forever this System, its media and educational institutions have decried totalitarianism - dictatorship - as one of humanity's worst possible evils. On its face, this seems easy enough to go along with. Nobody likes the concept of being "pushed around". When I was a kid in school it was the Soviet Union which stood as the living example of the kind of system to fear and to hate. Riding roughshod over not only its own people but also those of Eastern Europe and, by proxy, those of most of Asia as well.

But when it really came down to it, as far as our teachers and textbooks were concerned, it was Adolf Hitler and the Third Reich that stood as the supreme example of totalitarianism and all that was bad about it. The Soviet Union was just a pale runner-up. This eventhough Hitler was dead and the Third Reich was a thing of the past while we were being immediately and directly threatened by the Soviet Union and by Communism in general.

Horrific, sensational and simplistic examples aside, in its workings, totalitarianism was dissected in its aspects as being the denial of freedom of choice and the imposition of a single, dominant authority unrepresentative of anything approaching a popular will. Police state, prison state, censorship, thought-control, sham "elections", finally an *Alice Through the Looking Glass* - or "politically correct" - charade which must be presented and taken with a perfectly sober and straight face.

Since the collapse of the Soviet Union, where might one find an atmosphere such as this?

I have assessed it.

If this means anything it is that totalitarianism doesn't necessarily require an obvious strong man or "dictator" at the top. That's only indicative of a perfection in style and approach, a sophistication over Stalinism, for example. It changes nothing, practically speaking.

The dictatorship will yet have its hard-core clique of "insiders", its own peculiar brand of philosophy and its determination to have it strictly its own way right over the heads, against the will and without the knowledge of the people. Being a dictatorship, it will have long ago seized not only the elements of government but also of media, church, police, military, etc., and will use readily and remorselessly these against the very people which they were intended to serve, all in the name of keeping itself in power and enforcing its policies upon the people whether or not they approve.

I stress always, *watch the trends*. The dictatorship with its agenda will stay in control and the direction of things will never vary, despite the turn-about in office of liberals or conservatives, Republicans or Democrats. This is the shell-game used to keep the populace believing they are "free" and that there may be some "hope" of changing things. You may change sell-outs but they all are sold out to the

identical same power.

While they were condemning totalitarianism, they were just as busy hailing "democracy". Freedom of choice. That's the ideal for which the United States sent men to go and crush other systems and other countries, ostensibly to protect our own freedom as well as provide those blessings for these other people and create the perfect world. Watch the trend to this: This country succeeded in all this and take a look at the world it has created, its "New World Order". The word is *disparity*, the gap between promise and performance.

Unless the promise was a lie all along and the performance is accurately indicative of the actual intent. Sold a lemon. Been "had". Sorry, no refunds.

Name-calling. Labelling. A game for children if not for the fact that this game is for global stakes and the fate of millions of people. "Totalitarianism", "dictatorship". Dirty words.

Had you considered the value of freedom of choice when all the "choices" are manufactured and managed for you by a power and a philosophy as fanatical, rigid and implacable - and I should quickly add hostile and alien - as anything ever perpetrated by any Stalinist regime? A state of enforced confusion. Very literally a shell-game. If the "choice" doesn't suit the power, that's a "choice" you never receive or know about.

Conversely, what about a government or a leader whose really got it right, in the right place, at the right time and for the right people, who is sure enough and dedicated enough to *not* allow any intrusion, any interference to take place in the implementation of the genuine will of the people or its best interests? A man or a regime who will happily enlist all elements, all institutions of a society to aggressively, militantly - and remorselessly - safeguard and put forward that same society?

In a situation such as that, anything less than a *totalitarian dictatorship* would do grave injustice to the goodness and the rightness of the cause and the people themselves. Furthermore, it would be an open invitation to the "shrewdies" and opportunists to once again infiltrate, to confuse and muddy the issue and to quietly monopolize things once again to the detriment of all.

One other thing I constantly stress is that one would be wise to always pay attention to who and what this System points an accusing finger at and consider the source. The *Book of Revelation* makes it quite plain that evil will be triumphant in the world prior to the end and if that doesn't jibe directly with the enthronement of the present "New World Order" then people's thinking is just badly out of whack. So for this System or any who subscribe to its values and mindset to hurl any evil epithet at anyone, past or present, it should be taken by the astute person to signify a golden badge of honor.

So we behoove ourselves in that new light to take a second look at Adolf Hitler and the Third Reich. So badly off

the mark of rightness has the current System become that, were they but generally known for what they are, the tenets of God as clearly spelled out in the Bible are so strange and far-removed as to be equated by the prevailing power today as being themselves "evil".

Proof? In simplest fact, Hitler's was a theocratic state. Purity of blood, purity of belief. The exclusion of Jews. Zero tolerance of poison and confusion. Absolute unity of the one people. The "choice" to be made was for what was right and proper for the good of the nation and it was the job of the leaders and the government to make that choice to the exclusion of all others. Every working of the State was harnessed to the furtherance of this one, supreme objective. As Hitler declared, *"The State didn't create us. We created the State. The State doesn't order us. We order the State."*

Hitler also declared that, even in its earliest beginnings, his NSDAP had two principles: One, that it would possess a true philosophy; And, two, that it would be the one and only power in Germany. There you have it for what it's worth - the admission. Totalitarianism, dictatorship.

But someone else declared the same intention, only in somewhat different yet unmistakable terms.

In the words of the Bible, God and/or Jesus made it plain that theirs ultimately would be a marriage between themselves and their people. Themselves as the groom and the people as the bride. A marriage. These are only the words of the Bible and no interpretation of my own. But one ought to consider the implications of these simple words. Given the least bit of thought, they can be readily seen to equate Hitler's very own meaning.

A marriage. Not an "open marriage". not a "shack-up". with no provision for separation or divorce later on. And the Bible is very clear in that the wife must submit to the husband. The proviso here is equally clear in that the husband must deal fairly and justly, with *righteousness*. It is implicit that he should not tolerate any rivals or interference because to do so would dishonor the marriage itself.

"Democracy" then, in the modern and accepted sense - when viewed against this backdrop - is equivalent to the very "adultery" and "infidelity" alluded to also in the Bible. As it is among couples, so it is with nations. The damage it will bring to the one, it will just as surely bring to the other and isn't this, in essence, just what we see ourselves surrounded by at present?

The motto was once put forth by George Lincoln Rockwell that, *"it isn't how much government but how good government."* And for a truly good leader or government to allow for any interference is itself a contradiction in terms, a dichotomy, an impossibility. A weakling may permit this state of affairs just as a strong but evil tyrant may stamp out his opposition. All things must be in balance.

Having hopefully defined things this far, it remains to spell out that what prevails today is the very antithesis of what is good, right and proper. But note carefully that

though it apparently is a state of confusion, that condition is *determinedly enforced* by some source which shares no ambivalence of the kind. This is all very conscious and deliberate. It is only you who is intended to be kept in the dark.

And here, again, is the very essence of conspiracy and of the power of lies.

Whose government, really? Jesus clearly nails the "Father of Lies" as being Satan himself.

If there were clearer words than this, I'd use them.

AN UGLY PLACE FULL OF UGLY PEOPLE
by Robert Burns

Never out of the news is the Middle East. From the conclusion of the First World War, through the Second World War, through the Korean War, through Vietnam, outlasting the Cold War, always, there has been turmoil in the Middle East which bubbles like a cauldron and, at times, boils over, threatening a world conflagration.

Centered upon what is referred to as the Holy Land, or the nation of Israel, or Palestine, depending on who you are and where your sympathies lie. "Holy" to three major religions yet in working reality the symbol of everything unholy. The focus of hate, war, repression and terrorism. For all the talk of "peace", there appears to be no hope of this and no future unless and until one side or the other either yields or is annihilated.

If ever there was a festering sore on the face of the globe, this is it. From Biblical times to the Persian, Greek and Roman empires. Through Arabs, Turks and Crusaders. Into our own time with the British Mandate, the Zionists, the Arab-Jewish wars, the Palestinian Intifada, right to the present day.

A unique spot upon the map. A sliver of land between the Mediterranean and the Dead Sea. The literal crossroads of three continents. More hotly contested than any other place. The formerly dispossessed Jews, though offered as a national homeland great tracts with the Soviet Union under Stalin and the huge, rich island of Madagascar by the powers of Europe, insisted instead upon taking Palestine - occupied and settled as it was by Moslem Arabs - and rechristening it "Israel" by 1948, using the identical means of terror then that the world media bewails and condemns now when used by the Arabs.

"Israel", they - the Zionists - insist, is to be the seat of their one-world government that is to come, supposedly as promised to them by their God in the Old Testament. No other location will do. To them it represents the center of the world from whence the Jewish Millennium will issue forth. If the Biblical "Armageddon" should be triggered by this self-fulfilling prophecy, then so be it.

Belief. It would seem that no one group can lay legitimate claim to the Holy Land as being theirs by virtue of ancestry - at least no one more so than anyone else. So it is belief and to what lengths any respective group may be willing to go on account of it. Also, at what cost. Determination, resources and who'll give out first. From a practical and historic perspective, the position of the Jews there today makes a very close comparison to that of the Crusaders of a thousand years ago with their "Kingdom of Jerusalem". And we know how that ended after scarcely a hundred years.

Barring a world war as a result of this contention, any outcome at all won't matter a single damn as, regardless, it'll remain an ugly place full of ugly people. The supreme object lesson of the folly of religion and the power of

superstition in the absence of all real meaning and positive force.

Let's look briefly at those three beliefs for which that narrow corridor of real estate is so sacred:

The Jews. It is stated plainly in the Bible that God gave the land of Canaan (yet another name for the area) to the people of Israel after he had removed them from Egypt. However, the story doesn't stop there. It is equally well-recorded, though not generally well-known, in the same Bible exactly, how Israel began to take it all for granted, forgetting the Law, going corrupt, dividing against itself and being conquered and deported to other lands. Fewer than fifty thousand of these people returned there after the captivity and those were mixed. During the period of captivity, the conquerors imported foreigners to fill the vacant land. That plus well over 90% of those calling themselves Jews today are descended from an Asiatic tribe - the Khazars - who only converted to Judaism in the Middle Ages.

Belief. Does a change of mind or the location of one's birth place one in line for the Biblical covenant, which, in any case, has already long ago played itself out? These Jews of today are practically as alien to the Hebrews, the Israelites, of three thousand years ago as would be any Chinese or Australian Aborigine. Yet they fanatically cling to this belief. But does fanaticism necessarily equal truth and validity?

The Christians. Jesus and the Disciples, together with those events that surrounded them, all are rooted in the area of Judea (one more designation for it) and so, to the Christians. It is also a place of pilgrimage and reverence. Yet not since the Crusades have they been so foolish as to think it is either necessary or practical to go and occupy it.

Belief again. Christianity is wide open to anyone who wants to call themselves Christian. Whatever else that may entail, it has only to do with some afterlife according to what the Church has devolved into in this modern age of political correctitude. Though the area is a place where much happened, where many significant people lived and died, where many special sites exist, it is questionable how much real connection any of this has with what modern Christianity has come to represent. Though, in the main, the "Judeo-Christian" West seems content to stand behind the bandit state of Israel against the Arab world.

The Moslems. The legend goes that Mohammad, upon reading in the Bible exactly what God had to say about the racially mixed, threw his copy down and fled in panic. Being a wealthy and powerful man in his own right, Mohammad saw fit to create a new religion, as its own prophet, tailored to his own people, and so wrote the Koran. And as such it has been fabulously successful. The Biblical link is provided through Ishmael, the wayward son of Abraham, father of today's Arabs.

By sheer default the whole place is theirs. Four hundred million Arabs can't be wrong. This is only a fact of

life, it cannot be changed and to attempt to fly in its face is to invite disaster. The eventual and ultimate outcome of the issue is clear, or should be to any realistic person.

But realism seems to be a scarce commodity, with superstition standing in its stead. As with the dealings regarding the Holy Land, so are the dealings involving all the rest of the nations of the Earth. Focus of values is entirely misplaced, and in entirely the wrong hands. Easily understood when approached in this light, the belief systems all overlapping this one spot also govern the millions around the world that are subject to them. No wonder at all then why things are the way they are and with little or no hope of improvement.

All this importance placed upon the Holy Land is effectively the equivalent of the irrational importance placed by the millions who pilgrimage annually to Graceland, the former home of Elvis Presley. Terrific symbolism and emotional impact but of what real meaning apart from that of a souvenir, cold and material? Elvis is dead. (Though it is ironic to note there are those who will insist he still lives and even more who go to great lengths to imitate him.) The great religions are no different. What of the long columns of those who still wait in the freezing cold of Moscow so they can file through Lenin's Tomb? That his experiment fell flat doesn't seem to matter.

The saga of the Holy Land may be summed up thus: If one will read and comprehend the words in the Old Testament and apply what knowledge our modern age has gained, one will know that those people who abandoned Egypt in favor of Canaan were what are known today as *Anglo-Saxons*. At that time in their history they were collectively known as *Israel*. Previously, all Egypt had been of this same racial make-up. The same thing which destroyed Egypt later destroyed the Kingdom of Israel just as it did all the civilizations of North Africa, the Near East, all the way to India.

Simply put, those who couldn't muster the will to leave, to *migrate*, were absorbed into what today is commonly called the Third World. Only the rocky and isolated confines of Northern Europe prevented the process from being repeated there over time. The story of Adam, to Abraham, to Isaac, to Jacob, to Moses, to David, Solomon and to Jesus is one of a local struggle that was locally lost.

The beliefs as set forth in the Old Testament were intended as a safeguard for the bloodline of that people so that they might endure as a people. Over much time and with typical human carelessness, the belief system became debased and failed them - or they failed it - and they vanished from the scene, one way or another. Now, today, in that place is a mass of essentially non-White types who happened to fall heir to the leavings of the great people who once inhabited it.

Inheritors, exactly like these inner-city dwellers of the United States who claim the same religion and even bear the same names as the former great ones like Washington, Jefferson or even Cortez and Pizarro, etc. *Debasement*.

The "Holy Land". A pathwork of different religions,

different architecture, different races. A mess. All myth, all superstition. Attention to the past, to death. Weird customs and practices. No future, only strife. The mosques, temples, cathedrals, synagogues - mere trappings, the mere going through of empty motions. All reason and purpose lost and forgotten. There is no "Holy Land", only a *Holy Race*, and Israel isn't there anymore.

Formerly the "Land of Milk and Honey", now and for a long time past the symbol of literal hell on earth. There is a lesson in that which goes way beyond praying at the Wall, bowing toward Mecca or retracing the footsteps of Jesus. The worth of abstract belief versus the geographic separation of races. Look at Europe, particularly the northwest fringes, then look at Palestine. Both approximately as inhospitable but once, three thousand years ago, the latter was much the same as the former is today. Then the Whites left, the land turned to desert and all the religion in the world is of no use whatever.

Better still, pay close attention to right here in North America in the present. It's been often said that those who don't learn from the past are doomed to repeat it. It required a long time for the Mid-East to go to hell. Some estimates have it that the Arabian world was nominally "White" until the 1600s. Whereas, migrating out of there, we left an insoluble problem behind as we took up new residence in Europe. In coming to America we actually imported the identical same deadly threat into our midst.

Worse, a belief system that has already become thoroughly confused and bastardized renders the overall situation as a giant relay race with suicide.

The period projected from 1950 to 2050 - of which we have covered half already - will see the United States having gone from overwhelmingly White to straight to hell. There are two great "belts" expanding over the globe: The Brown Belt and the Desert Belt. Third World and Deforestation. Masses of coloreds, overpopulation, backwardness, poverty, misery, disease, violence. Third World, great ruins of dead civilizations. What actually produces this irreversible despair is what passes as today's philosophical "ideal". Go to the heart of any major city and witness the process in action.

Is it the fate of the entire world to become one, big ugly place full of nothing but ugly, brown people? Yes, if such remains the "ideal" and is supported by State power and media. What any coloreds may believe is unimportant as they are already genetically lost beyond all hope of redemption anyway. What Whites may believe is of critical importance as they are the only ones with anything to lose by it.

One final word as regards the religious element. Each one talks much about the concept known as "sin". What really is a sin? The answer that should be obvious by now is anything that would contribute to the scenario I've just outlined. Each religion talks about "good" and "evil". What is good? The pristine state of Creation. What is evil? That which motivates toward, again, the scenario of this article:

Confusion, mongrelization, bastardization. At the same time, the answer to what Hell is: The final and irrevocable arrival at that point.

Knowing that, you also are able to know what real virtue and Heaven are.

UNIVERSAL ORDER





