



PATH OF GODS



HANDBOOK FOR THE 21ST CENTURY FASCIST

PATH OF GODS

HANDBOOK FOR THE 21ST CENTURY FASCIST



Wewelsburg Archives
publication

CONTENTS

PATH OF GODS	1
CON/CUCKSERVATIVE, REACTIONARY, REVOLUTIONARY.....	3
DEMANDERS.....	12
BEGGARS	12
CHOOSERS	14
DEMANDERS.....	16
CHARACTER VS INTELLECTUALISM.....	23
VIOLENCE	36
VIOLENCE AS PROPAGANDA	37
VIOLENCE AS DETERRENT	44
GOD IS DEAD – LONG LIVE GOD!	53

PATH OF GODS

I heard from the elders that people have two paths: the path of ancestors and the path of Gods. So says the eastern book: The path of ancestors is the path of families, nations, laws, humanity. We all walk it in our upbringing and while we learn. We walk it when we respect and love our language and traditions, when we fulfill our inner call.

The path of Gods is the path of conscience. We all follow it when we rise above what we learned and when we do what is outside of language, tradition, law, family, and nation and when we do our task given to us by God.

The two paths are for a long time one, or at least parallel. But, there are times when the two paths diverge. The path of Gods never leaves the path of Ancestors, but the path of Ancestors is able to leave the path of Gods.

And if, like today, the distance between the two paths grows more and more, and if, like today, the path of Ancestors is the polar opposite of the path of Gods, someone has to come and say: STOP!

Respect your ancestors, says Hierocles, pythagorean, but what should you do if these ancestors have become unworthy of themselves? If God's law commands one thing, and the Ancestors' another, submit yourself to what is better, do not listen to your ancestors, disown them, and do not accept anything other than God's laws.

That is the way someone spoke 2500 years ago. But it needs to be said the same way today too. War needs to be declared on those whom we can thank for everything we have! From them we got our thoughts, history, country and laws. We are connected to them by

nation, tradition, race and blood. But, man must choose between the path of Gods and the path of Ancestors. There must never be doubt which is right. If it is needed, be rebellious, no matter how hard it is for you to do it, o Arjuna, pick up the weapon because the Gods are on your side! Opposite of you stand your brothers, cousins, relatives, friends, they speak the same language as you, the same land feeds them as you. But, woe you, if now you step aside! Woe you, if you are a coward!

The matter isn't that you waged war against your own blood anymore, but that finally someone exists that will give a sign. You're a tool in the hands of the Gods, not a sinful man. You're no longer your own. You don't understand? Man doesn't understand Gods. Don't understand, but submit. Rebellion against your ancestors will be forgiven only if you submit to the Gods. Your unfaithfulness towards your ancestors will be forgiven, because you are faithful to Gods. You have accepted a hard destiny. Set afoot, Arjuna, show them the path of Gods!

CON/CUCKSERVATIVE, REACTIONARY, REVOLUTIONARY

I never particularly got the whole “*cuckservative*” thing, I did get its value to shock conservatives with the realization that they are whiny, pathetic and repeatedly give up ground to the enemy. However at the end of the day that is what a conservative is *by definition*. As **George Lincoln Rockwell** had already said seemingly so long ago: “*Conservatives are sissies*”. That behavior is the *very core* of conservatism, but here we can look at the other implication of this new title – attempt at differentiation. Upset rank and file conservatives saying to their establishment representatives “*you failed us, you’re no real conservative, you’re a CUCKservative, you betrayed what we stand for, you’re a sell-out*”. And yet the people using that title are the same, they just realize how badly they are losing and they don’t see that their very position is premised on inevitable defeat, so they put the blame elsewhere to hang on to their delusions.

So I’d like to give you a rundown of what conservatism **actually is**, then we can also look at the *reactionary* position and finally the **Revolutionary** position and dispel some illusions around those terms as well.

Conservatism comes from the word *conserve*. The entire point of conservatism is to *conserve* or *preserve* something – that’s it. As such this concept is entirely relative to whatever has been established prior, most likely by people of strong character and vision or principles, like *conquerors* and *revolutionaries*. Their descendants inherit these things but they never worked to get them in the first place, however the first few generations fight to build on

and expand from there because they still have a direct connection to that original glory. Yet as time marches on descendants become more and more disconnected from that original glory and take their position for granted though they certainly still **enjoy that** position, purely for the benefits they receive – they no longer understand the glory, they only understand the formal benefits and comforts. This is the downward slope of the inherently losing position that carries the name of conservatism. It's an attempt to stop something that is destined to die from dying just for the sake of its formal benefits. The glory, the living spirit that established the structure that provides said benefits has long since left, it's but an empty carcass that is falling apart, however this carcass is the most precious thing to those who never knew the glory in the first place.

To put it simply: someone builds an Empire, their immediate descendants build or expand its spirit and glory, inevitably the spirit and glory fade and what is left is but a *formal structure* inhabited by people who never knew the spirit and glory and only knew the benefits of the structure – the positions and titles, the basic political power and thus they don't get *the point* of why the Empire was built in the first place. When the spirit and glory are gone the **Imperium** becomes merely an *Empire*. So they are focused solely on keeping the structure and they want to *preserve* it. This is the very premise of the ancient teachings on the cycles of rise and fall in societies, for instance the Greek **Anacyclosis**.

This is something that the German thinker **Ernst Niekisch** had spoken of after Germany's defeat in the First World War, describing the conflict between the generations, namely the generation of **old men** who *lost* the Empire and the **young** generation who *never got to know* the Empire of their ancestors: *"These young hearts have never been impressed and inspired directly by the proud grandeur of their*

Fatherland. Political anger, social poverty, economic decline – that is what is self-evident to them, always in their face, their personal experience. Prewar Germany was nothing more than historical memory, akin to the memory of the Empire of Otto I, Frederick Barbarossa, with the memory of the great and incomparable state of Prussian King Friedrich. But if the old generation were to chide the youth for such “historical” viewpoint of Bismarck’s empire, it might have received a reply in the form of bold and impertinent questions: Wasn’t the fate of this empire in your hands? Were you not the ones who lost this empire in the first place? How do you have the audacity to still so arrogantly claim your own importance on the political scene?”

However, again, conservatism has no core of its own. It is always relative to what preceded it, thus conservatism isn’t inherently “*right-wing*”, if you still buy into that formal differentiation. Conservatism is always different from place to place. In the United States conservatism stands to conserve the *Constitution*, the ideas of the *Founding Fathers* and so on. Which all happen to be *liberal* in the classic sense of the word, of the government as being nothing more than a “*guard dog*” of society, with little to no involvement in the economy and social affairs, only enforcing the law and protecting it from enemies without. Is this not the American conservative/republican motto of *small government*? Compare that to conservatism in the British Empire that at one point **defended absolute monarchy** against constitutional monarchy, defending the *big government*, conserving its power. Hell, *look at USSR*, think there was no *Soviet Conservatism*? It stood against **Gorbachev’s reforms**, attempting to conserve the old soviet system, which later became reactionary, but more on that specific example later.

Conservatism is always a defensive position of what had been established prior, thus it is always relative and has no core of its own and it differs from place to place and time to time. It defends the formal structure that has lost its real core, what gave it life in the first place. Conservatism defends the purely material, formal outer shell, it mistakes the *outward form* for the **essence**. Just as when the animating energies or the soul leaves the body, once the essence is gone, once the life force that created the form in the first place is gone, the form is already as good as dead and its decay and death are inevitable. But the conservative grasps hold of it in desperation, not wanting to lose what he has, but inevitably failing and coming to terms with their failure but he'll just try again: *"Well we lost some ground, but not a step back from here on in! Oh, we lost again. Well no mo-oh, it happened again. Well this will be the las-damn it!"* Slowly but surely, they give ground and what they try to conserve is chipped away at, piece by piece. They can't defend it, because they fight for something temporary and that is fated to pass away, mistaking it for the end all and be all.

Thus all conservatives, by definition, are *cuckservatives* and *sissies*. The name is a nice zinger, but it doesn't do much in of itself other than feed the delusion of those who use it to signify that they are different, that they are the *"real"* conservatives who will uphold the *"conservative values"*. Mind you that with the United States we do have a special case, namely that it was built entirely on the ideas of the founding fathers, which from the get go do not reflect the values that we Fascists uphold. Defending them is no better than defending the Soviet values, which likewise came about from man-made ideas. It's simply a choice between a liberal republic and a state socialist republic. And still both concepts decayed over

time into merely formal structures, not even the original ideas remained.

Here we move on to **Reactionaries**. The difference between conservatives and reactionaries is purely in their stance, the former one being **defensive** (*conserving and preserving*), the latter is **offensive** (*attempting to restore the old structure*). At the end of the day, however, it is a thin line and one could say that reactionaries are at the very least admirable for being more pro-active, yet it is still a fight for an *empty husk* – not reanimating the corpse, just trying to *rebuild* it from the rot it decayed into. To give an example of actual reactionaries you can once again look to USSR, reinforcing the point of how it is likewise a relative position with no core of its own. The old guard of the Soviet system formed the **State Committee on the State of Emergency** and attempted to stop Gorbachev's reforms during the **August Coup of 1991**. Some people in Russia like to entertain the idea that the USSR could have lasted a while longer had the coup succeeded, but its decay and collapse were inevitable, because likewise the spirit and ideas that built it in the first place were no longer present in the political elite that was simply engaged in basic politics.

Reactionaries are but a braver kind of conservative, someone who is willing to take real action to retake the husk, but again, they place the value into something that itself only gained value from elsewhere, from the essence that had built it in the first place and without it, it is surely doomed. Reactionaries may even use violent means but their fight is nevertheless destined to fail, which was best explained by the Russian thinker **Nikolay Vasilyevich Ustryalov**, who likewise wrote on the difference of *form* and **essence**: "*Violence cannot save a dying idea, but it can provide immeasurable help to the rising idea.*"

And the rising idea always comes in the form of **Revolution**, regardless of the means for its rise, but again, violence can provide it *immeasurable help*. Whilst man-made ideas are by their nature lies, figments of the imagination, as opposed to the Natural Order and Truth that we as Fascists and National-Socialists uphold, they still have power to them and have a certain spirit which can sway masses of people and the course of history.

Thus Revolutions are inhabited by something living, they have some essence to them (*the Truth or Idea*), when talking about real revolutions that is, like the March on Rome which was a revolution through a show of force, or Hitler's rise to power which was a revolution made through entry and reform. Even the Russian October Revolution, which was a violent, **living** revolution (as opposed to the **February Revolution** of decay or the events of **Black October**). We're not talking here about "*revolutions*" that are orchestrated by (geo)political interests or which happen as a slow decay, like the Social Justice Warrior degeneracy we see today. Revolutions are always passionate, they breathe fire, fire that destroys and creates, regardless of what it is that it creates. SJW and modern liberal/democratic change is more akin to a *parasite* or growing *rot* than a fire-breathing **dragon**.

Revolutions are always aimed at the decaying husk, they burn away the husk and create space for something new, which can be either good or bad but it is nevertheless full of life rather than decay and death. You can't compare modern commies and SJW's to the original communist revolutionaries, the latter would probably kill the former had they ever met. But the original communist is long since dead, and he was a *worthy foe*, unlike the **scum** we face today which don't *deserve* the title of **enemy** – you can *respect* an enemy for his dedication to his beliefs and readiness to die for them, *even if he*

is dead wrong. SJW/PC/Feminist/etc. scum are just parasites that can be easily crushed underfoot, they don't wish to die because what they fight for is comfort and pleasure which cannot be enjoyed while **dead** – they're merely pawns to our real enemies who profit from the decay they create.

What's more important, however, is that real Revolutions are all about **essence**, they will destroy any *form* that stands in the way of the victory for their essence, whatever form doesn't oppose them will be infused with the victorious essence, though essence of ideas will always be at odds with the essence of Truth. And here comes into play the difference between Ideas and Truth. Ideas are lies of the imagination, man-made concepts of how the world *should be*. The Truth is how the world *actually is*. Ideas can substitute one another, rather reflective of **Marx's** view of how history is a continuous cycle of revolutions, which in turn takes cues from the concept of cycles of rise and fall in societies that we spoke of earlier. Thus the essence of an idea is temporary, though they may reoccur over time. The Truth, however, is eternal, it is always one and the same. Forms may come and go, regardless of the essence that lives and fades in them, but the Truth remains the same. Thus our struggle is an eternal one.

We don't fight for *forms*, and that is our primary difference from the conservatives and reactionaries. We do not fight for *mere ideas*, even if they are revolutionary. We fight for the Truth. It is eternal but forms *are not* and so the Truth may fade from a given form and a revolution becomes necessary to bring back the essence of Truth. Which is what puts us at odds with conservatives and reactionaries – they protect a decaying husk, they protect something dead and lifeless. They defend the product of essence, whereas we fight for restoring essence itself even if its old form must be destroyed. What

puts us at opposition with revolutions of Ideas is that they are lies. We have no allies in conservatives and reactionaries, or revolutionaries who do not share our loyalty to the Truth.

Let's clear up something else, however, before we draw this to a close. Namely the confusion around the title of **Conservative Revolutionaries**, which is essentially just a clumsy way of explaining a Revolutionary struggle for *essence*, as it is again confused with the *form*. It was probably the first attempt made to articulate this direction in some categorical terms and thus a rather clumsy title was formed, driven by the desire so signify that this is a living, revolutionary force that wants to defend that, which made the old form great, back when it used to be infused with essence. At the end of the day, however, it is the same force as us Fascists and National-Socialists, though it was more concerned with self-analysis than action. I'm adding this part to make it perfectly clear to people who would use this title to differentiate themselves from "*those bad fascists and nazis*" that you won't fool anyone but yourselves – we fascists know what it actually is and our enemy can smell that it is something related to us. It is not some other "*third position*".

Using these terms to differentiate from Fascism and National-Socialism is futile, just as futile as it is to attempt to differentiate between "*real*" conservatives and "*cuckservatives*" – the former a delusion indulged in an attempt to protect oneself from the enemy's criticism, the latter a delusion indulged in an attempt to protect oneself from admitting that he stands for something that is doomed to fail and fall. And this is why Fascism always *was* and forever *will be* a **Revolutionary force** – it fears not the destruction of forms, of what exists purely on paper fading away. So long as there is essence it can make manifest new forms, ones infused with living spirit, appropriate for a new time and a new place. That is our struggle, to

carry on the Flame of Truth, from one torch to another, while the conservatives fawn over burnt wood that the Flame had already departed from.

There are no conservative or reactionary principles, they have none. We carry the principles, they obsess with the byproduct. The only driving "*principle*" behind these notions is that of the walking dead – to keep something lifeless from collapsing.

DEMANDERS

Beggars can't be *choosers*. Fascists, however, are neither. We are **Demanders**, and we can't afford to be anything less.

BEGGARS

Politicians are prostitutes. They go on stages, on TV, shake your hand and kiss your baby, they say sweet nothings in your ear and essentially prostrate before you in the hope of getting your vote. They go around *begging* for as many votes as they can get. "*I want to be your first*" –**Jeb!** Bush. But once they get into office they are done with their voters, much like how a prostitute loses all feigned interest in the client once she pockets the money. They use you and discard you, because inevitably elections end and thus the need to grovel. That is how the political system operates for those involved in it. For those that are in fact kept at arm's length away from it and attempt to go the "*mass movement*" approach the ride of *begging* and *groveling* **never ends**.

So you've decided you're going to "*rally the masses*", wake up the "*silent majority*" and get their support and thus change the political climate. What that translates to in practice is a nonstop process of *begging* people to join your cause. It doesn't stop, because by virtue of this approach it *cannot* stop until you've actually **won**. And the truth is that you will be forever stuck in a vicious cycle: to *win* you need the *support of the masses*, the masses won't join you until you can show them that you are in fact *going to win*, but you need the *masses* to *win*, but the masses need to *see you as a winner* to join you. **Beggars are not winners**.

Mind you, the masses are prostitutes same as the politicians. They whore themselves out to a candidate in hopes that he will give them something back in return, something in his policies or stage promises, and they only give their vote to the candidate that they believe can **win** and therefor actually pay them back for their prostration to him. The only people whom they think can win are those that are part of the political system and thus have the leverages of power in their hands to dispense the promised goods for their whored out votes. It's an *illusion*, as we've already established, politicians stop giving any fucks once the election is over, regardless if they lost or won. But if they do win they don't do shit of what they promised to their voters, and either pursue their own agenda, party politics or the desires of their financial backers.

The mass movement approach is bullshit because you can't offer the people **even an illusion** of being able to give them something back. What do you have? You can't enter the political system and those who still fancy the tactic of entryism can keep stepping on the same rake and look just as pathetic as **Nick Griffin** did on **Question Time**. Let the **BNP** stand as a shining example of why entryism will always fail.

And so will the mass movement approach. Amazingly, we've been provided all the answers on our Struggle long ago by its Champions from **Hitler** and ***Mein Kampf*** to **James Mason** and ***SIEGE***. We should already know all this, and yet here we are again going over all this. It's a more or less accepted metaphor by now that the masses are like a woman, and you are going to win her over by *begging*? The mass approach calls of "*[please] join our movement*", "*show up for our rally*", "*read our policies, you'll like them*" and "*see, we're presentable!*" sound no different than "*Oh*

please give me a chance, go out on a date with me, I promise you'll like it, I'm such a good guy!"

The saying "*beggars can't be choosers*" really is the slogan of the mass movement, as the goal is to increase **quantity**, so what does it matter who joins? It's still a **+1**, right? That wouldn't be a problem for any other movement – but ours? In our Struggle? It is **devastating** as it goes entirely *against* our principles. The desire for quantity means casting a wide net, it means compromises, it means softening one's principles and making exceptions, every possible step back until you are left with something bigger but less defined, an amorphous gray blob with no real power to it.

It is this mentality that leads to inconceivably retarded propositions such as the **bronies** being a source of supporters for the cause. Thus the mass movement approach becomes the preaching of an **inclusive tent**, to harbor *quantity* rather than **quality**, where principles are cast aside in favor of the misguided utilitarian belief in the "*strength of the many*". This is fundamentally based on *begging*: it is a position of weakness from the start, the position of someone who has **no** control, **no** power, **no** leverage and **no** strength. Thus, he seeks strength in numbers, and is willing to compromise, where himself, lower his standards (if he had any to begin with), and essentially extend a hand with a cup out to the world and say out loud "*please give me a chance*"... And the world simply passes you by with a look of disdain, disgust, sympathy or pity, and the second two won't grant you victory any more than the first.

CHOOSERS

The appropriate slogan for *choosers* would be "*it's better than nothing*". This is when you are presented two shit options and you concede that at least one is not as shitty as the other, so might as well grab it. This is *begging* when you **pretend to care** for quality.

This is the sort of mentality that allows for exceptions to the rules to become the driving principle in gaining support. *“Oh this guy is degenerate, but not as degenerate as the rest of them, so I’ll choose him, it’s better than nothing!”* *“Not all faggots are degenerate, there are homosexuals who are okay, so I’ll choose them, better than nothing!”* **Such bullshit.**

More often this is seen in the mentality of voters who can’t seem to find their *dreamy candidate on a white horse*, so they choose either the next best thing, *“the lesser of two evils”* or vote for some candidate purely out of protest for another – in Russian Federation State Duma (*parliament*) elections many people vote for the communist party purely *out of protest* against Putin regime’s *“United Russia”* party, because they know the commies won’t ever win majority of the seats or achieve anything of consequence, but so long as United Russia doesn’t get their vote they’re alright with that (*despite the fact that the CPRF is controlled opposition so it doesn’t matter anyway*). In the United States certain people fell, yet again, for this mentality with the way they now fawn over **Trump**.

Choosing is the path of **least resistance**, seeking easy options and a quick way out. If presented with the mere illusion of an opportunity for an easier win they’ll gladly take it to avoid having to do any **real** work to achieve their goals. Vote for a supposedly based candidate or armed struggle? *“Well shucks I’m against democracy/know that it’s a sham but this sure would be an easier way to resolve the problem!”* And when it doesn’t resolve one can always justify their bad decisions by saying that some minor progress was made that can be built off of, without realizing that you’ve essentially done nothing and achieved nothing instead of making your own progress to build off.

This is the path of the **lazy**, of those who lack true conviction and are thus ready to *settle* at a moment's notice, whereas people of conviction don't need a choice, the only choice that matters was already made: do you stand by these principles or not? Regardless of what options may be presented after that point, those who chose integrity will disregard all the illusionary options that would lead them astray and say loudly "*it is all or nothing!*". "*Better than nothing*" is **not good enough** for our Struggle.

DEMANDERS

Beggars can't be choosers because they will accept **anyone** and **anything**, such is the nature of *begging*. *Choosers choose* from whatever is available even if they are only given **shit** options. Neither is good enough for our struggle and that already speaks to who we Fascists and National-Socialists actually are – we are **demanders**. We demand **quality**. We demand **integrity**, we demand **strength**.

We don't go out and **beg** people to join us, because we know that the majority of people are useless to our cause, they are lemmings and moreover they are *weak*, they will *go along to get along*. Most of them won't provide us any help and will gladly stand to the side, pretending they don't know us should we lose. We don't care about public opinion because their opinion is shaped by the *status quo*, it is shaped by our *enemy*. Those who concern themselves with presentability and respectability in order to appeal to the masses in practice are trying to appeal to System standards, to the standards of the enemy, thus they have already lost. The only respectability the masses truly care about at the core is **strength**. The only presentability they care about is **vision**. When the masses see us as **strong** and possessing a sense of *direction*, a **vision**, they will admire and fear us.

Yet even then they won't be of any use to us, because they are incapable of parting ways with the society as it stands today. That is because, again, they fear failure and will only gravitate to someone whom they think will **win**. They are opportunistic in this regard because their own safety and security on an individual level is paramount to them. They might support you from the sidelines but never enough to actually start marching with you, because they fear the System's punishment. At the end of the day they will still *go along to get along* and thus they will join us **only** when our victory is **all but assured**.

Thus the masses are **useless** in achieving victory. It is always a *small elite*, a *vanguard*, a group of **fanatics** that do most of the fighting and spearhead the way. "*In between the Nazis and the Communists is the great mass of non-fanatics, the TV watchers and the comic book readers*" –**George Lincoln Rockwell**. That is why we don't *beg* and we don't *choose* – **WE DEMAND**. A fanatic adheres to a **vision** and does so without compromises, because he knows that compromise leads to the erosion of his vision. A fanatic doesn't want just *anyone* to stand beside him because he knows quantity in itself mean **nothing**, he wants someone like himself beside him, someone who will fight tooth and nail and will not take a step back. The fanatic declares "*you're either with us or against us*" because he has **standards**, his **vision** doesn't allow him to accept anything less than the total and absolute.

To *beg* people to join and cast a wide net means to *obscure* and *water down* that **vision** and poison the quality and **strength of the few** with the *weakness* of the bloated *many*, thus quantity doesn't play to strength but to weakness.

To *choose* between shit options with a conceded “*better than nothing*” leads to exactly the same thing whilst pretending that it could be worse.

Fanatics **demand** that one meets the standards of his **vision**, to maintain its **strength** and **purity**. The beggar’s mass movement attempting to appeal to everyone or many different groups has **no** vision at all by virtue of it becoming obscured by the interests of all other participants. The chooser’s mass movement picking the least unfavorable option available is one that compromises on its vision, which ultimately means that it likewise has **no** vision.

Compromise is where the Truth goes to Die. One doesn’t argue that $2+2=4$ with someone who thinks that it’s 7 and then *compromises* with them that $2+2=5$. Thus alliances likewise don’t work, as they are built on the same method of compromising on your **ideals**, on your **principles**, on that **vision** in favor of *quantity*.

Mein Kampf, Volume II, Chapter 8: The Strong Man is Mightiest When Alone:

“Above all, the new race-based state will never be created by the compromising indecisiveness of a racist worker-coalition, but only by the iron will of a single movement that has fought its way through all that opposed it.”

Hence why we don’t seek quantity. The real fighting is up to the few fanatics, some of the masses may cheer them on but they’ll calmly dispense and say “*well it was a good show while it lasted*” should the fanatics fail. Sure we make our intentions **clear** and our message **loud**, because we want the whole world to hear us. As a result people who have even a tiny bit of initiative in them will seek us out. We don’t wander the streets with an outstretched hand *begging*. We let everyone know we are **here** and then wait for

those interested to come to us, but we don't *choose* from what's available when they do come – we **demand**. **Prove** that you are up to the challenge, **prove** that you are *loyal* to our vision and have *what it takes*.

This isn't an inclusive tent. This is an exclusive club. *You think you're getting in this house?* You're **never** getting in this house. You're too fucking old, fatty, and **you...** you're too fucking... **BLOND! GET OFF MY PORCH!**

White Power, Chapter 2: Spiritual Syphilis:

"He actually convinced me he wanted to try to be a Storm trooper!

As a matter of policy, whenever I hear that (as I do every day), I do all I can to discourage the applicant. We want no dabblers, but dedicated, fanatical fighters who will STICK through hell itself. With this crazy character, I went even further. I made fun of him. I told him he'd never make it, that we'd run him off the first day.

He rose to the challenge.

"You name it, and I'll make it!" he said.

Strangely, I could sense a fiercely burning WILL behind the words. I told him he couldn't come up to try life as a Nazi Storm-trooper until he was eighteen. He left, vowing to return in a few months. He did return – without the beatnik get-up. He turned out to be a blonde, young Viking, built for combat.

We poured it to him.

There was no place left inside for him to sleep. So he was assigned to a wrecked car out back. It was still winter and cold. But the kid moved into the wrecked car with a couple

of blankets. We put him to work cleaning the toilets, and yard.

He worked.

Spring came, and then a broiling summer. He was still in the wrecked car, eaten alive by mosquitoes. I tried him on the printing press, and never saw such a bear for work. He was all dried out of booze, off the pills and dope, exercising plenty, and showing every sign of 'making it.'"

Our policy must be always that of **exclusion**, hence, let me say this again, why we are not *beggars or choosers*, we are **DEMANDERS**. We must spread our word far and wide so that people come to us and when they do we must make sure they are up to the task. By forcing them to come to us rather than trying to include everyone we make sure that only the truly interested come, thus excluding the *go along to get along* crowd of lemmings. What must happen next is further weeding out of undesirable elements, the *degenerates*, the *cowards*, the *LARPer*s and the *mentally handicapped*. The true fanatic of our struggle will face hardship sooner or later – he may face imprisonment, bodily harm, his family disowning him, exclusion from the System (*losing their job or getting booted from their place of education*) and finally **death**. These are the very real possible consequences of being a man of conviction and these are exactly the things that all undesirable elements are afraid of unless they are delusional.

Take the mentioned above method of testing applicants, consider the various traditions of hazing, or look to the informal approach of **National Action**, which drives away the hardcore *LARPer*s who think they're about to join something like the American NSM, thinking they'll get to march in fancy uniforms and role-play hard before going back home and resuming their lemming life. This

is how you forge a movement of real fanatics that will actually go out and take **ACTION** against the enemy. *“[Action] has the effect of leaving the fakers and the parasites standing alone and exposed as in the middle of a forty-acre field. It is electrifying. It is unifying. It builds the confidence to on towards even greater things”* –**James Mason**.

Want to see what happens when your movement is made up of unknown variables that you let through the open door? Look at what happened to the antifa in **Dover**. Once the police line was broken only the fanatics remained who were at the very front of the crowd – the rest *ran*, because the rest were hobbyists and LARPer, who had only come out because they thought it was going to be a fun event where they can strut around and feel important, nice and safe behind the police line, and later they’d all hit the pub and have fun. The antifa that stayed around for the real confrontation experienced this for themselves:

“Unfortunately, despite some on twitter claiming “victory” because a handful of fascist got bloodied by rocks and stones, the fact is this wasn’t a victory at all. Part of the reason for this is because so many of the antifascists present were not street fighters and were not up for engaging the fascists in hand-to-hand fighting. Playing the big boy behind the police, when the fascists broke through many of them turned tail and fled leaving the few such as myself willing to stand toe-to-toe with them to get outnumbered and take a beating.”

Funny as it is to witness happen to the antifa, those who kid themselves with notions of inclusive tents, alliances and mass movement appeal will inevitably end up in the *exact same predicament*. The hobbyists, the LARPer, the degenerates will run, they will always run. Only the fanatics will stay. Unfortunately for our

enemies they are entirely rooted in notions of inclusiveness. We, however, are not.

If you *still* need more convincing then I suggest you read the first **IronMarch Revolutionary Fascist Manual – Mental Liberation**, as well as **James Mason’s SIEGE**, or fuck it, **any real hardline Fascist/NS materials** – *they all point to the same answers*.

But try to take away at least this much: *beggars* project great *weakness*, *choosers* project *lack of integrity*. No one is coming to help us, we are all there is and it is time to rise up to that challenge instead of seeking strength in numbers. Stop being self-conscious about how you are seen when the enemy dictates what looks presentable and respectable, that game is rigged against you. The only things that have universal and deep rooted presentability and respectability are Strength and Vision – the hallmarks of a fanatic, the hallmarks of someone who doesn’t accept what he sees around him, of someone who doesn’t accept anything less than total commitment to a singular vision, of someone who doesn’t conform or compromise. **We are demanders**. We demand from **ourselves**, we demand from **others**, we demand **from life itself**.

You are either with us or against us.

CHARACTER VS INTELLECTUALISM

In this article we will present what it is that Fascism/NS prizes over intelligence and why exactly it is incompatible with intellectualism.

The prevalent default dichotomy that people just go with is that of smart vs dumb people, the former being “good” or at the very least “better” than the latter. The emphasis on this dichotomy took hold with the rise of Rationalism and the Enlightenment, when it was cemented that the “rational”, “smart” and “intelligent” people should form the social elites as the “most” capable.

Such origins alone should signal to proper Fascists that there is something suspect going on here, and for good reason. For all intents and purposes **Intellectualism** is *fetishization of intellect*, whereupon intelligence becomes a measure of social worth and thus social status. What follows, is that if one wants to rise in his social standing, he must prove himself to be intelligent, and thus achieve the title of higher social standing “*intellectual*”.

However, what this does, is create a proverbial rat race to prove one’s intellect even when one does **not** possess said intellect, for blind ambition is most often found with fools, as the Dunning–Kruger effect will attest. In fact the less a man understands a given subject, the more likely he is not to realize all the mistakes he is making, whereas someone actually knowledgeable in the subject can correctly evaluate their own shortcomings (*consider all the singing competitions in the world and how many delusional people are convinced their shitty singing is amazing*). Same effects apply to intellectualism – people aspire to be regarded as “*intellectuals*”, as smart, however they may only be smart enough to **read** truly intelligent or complicated texts, but not **understand** them – and

therein lies the origin of most intellectuals. This is a problem that **Adolf Hitler** highlighted in *Mein Kampf*, when he talked of how most people don't know *how to read*:

In either case, what is read shouldn't simply be stored in the memory like a list of facts and figures. The facts, like bits of a mosaic tile, should come together as a general image of the world, helping to shape this world image in the reader's head. Otherwise, there will be a confusion of information learned, and the worthless mix of facts gives the unhappy possessor an undeserved high opinion of himself. He seriously believes he is "cultured and learned" while thinking he has some understanding of life and is knowledgeable simply because he has a stack of books by his bed. Actually, every piece of new information takes him further away from the real world, until often he ends up either in a psychiatric hospital or as a "politician" in government.

-MK, V1, Ch2

These people, fueled foremost by the desire to achieve a certain social standing, put on a pageantry of supposed intelligence by trying to dazzle everyone with fancy and complex words or concepts that they picked up from smart books. Sometimes, not even that – as intellectuals feed off each other, thus they will gladly regurgitate each other's nonsense. One of the favorite maneuvers of intellectuals is to take something simple and dress it up with needlessly complex phrasing to, once again, give off the appearance of being intelligent.

The more honest term for these people is "smart idiots" as **Julius Evola** described them in Chapter 14 of **The Bow and Club**.

“Representatives of this sort of “intellectualism” value the brilliant phrase and effective wielding of polemics and dialectics far more than the truth. They use ideas as an excuse; it’s important for them to shine, to give the impression of particularly smart person ... Without a doubt there is a measure of truth in the words of whomever said that amongst all the varieties of idiocy the most disgusting idiocy is that of the intellectuals.”

In short, **Intellectualism** is the fetishization of intellect, where intelligence becomes a goal in and of itself. It creates the desire in people of weak character to propel themselves socially by giving off the *illusion* of being intelligent (*though often even some truly intelligent people are of weak character*). In the process of striving for that social status they actually manage to fool *even themselves* into thinking they possess high intellect.

Coupled with the idea of egalitarianism, this created the conditions for the *“rat race of smarts”*, where anyone is supposedly capable of achieving high intellect, and thus enjoy the social position from whence to sneer down on those who had not achieved the same. This being motivated, in the first place, by envy of those held in high esteem for intellect above oneself (*regardless if their esteem is well earned or not*).

The whole of modern society is actually built on perpetuating this misguided ambition with motivations of mass higher/academic education. An education which is, in fact, used to socialize and thus integrate people into the System, our mortal foe. Yet it is done under the guise of creating *“intelligent”* people, where one’s intellect and rightful social standing is proven by nothing more than a piece of paper. In USA this is also coupled with a larger usury scheme of student loans. One has to wonder how smart a person truly is, if he

is willing to be duped out of his money in a simple swindle, for the promise of becoming an *“intellectual”*.

“In psychology, the mass idea has triumphed, so that “genius” is equated with high intelligence, and the latter with “college education.” Again — no qualitative differences among persons. The commercial maxim is “You can buy brains.””

-IMPERIUM, by Francis Parker Yockey

However, one not being deluded by the System’s institutions of learning does not mean one has escaped its larger influence of placing value in intellect as a goal in of itself, hence all the *“fringe”* intellectuals, the self-taught and self-read wannabes who merely covet the same aspirations as the lemmings in the halls of modern academia – they simply do so *“from the outside”*. This, in fact, likewise gives them a sense of intellectual superiority as they believe themselves too smart to fall for the System’s influence, despite the fact that they follow the same aspirations everyone else has been told to uphold.

If a lemming intellectual is hard to get through to, because he believes himself intelligent by virtue of his diploma, then the fringe intellectual is hard to get through to, because he believes himself intelligent by virtue of *“not having been fooled”* by the System. In either case they will tell you of how much smarter they are than you because of a piece of paper or because of how they *“know”* what’s *“really going on”*.

The worst offenders of the latter type are the people who claim to be on the same side as ourselves, but immediately try to assume a position of superiority based on their delusion of personal intellectual grandeur, and regard the rest as their personal army of foot soldiers, whom they can *“direct”* with their *“high intelligence”*.

This “*intellectual vanguard*” (sometimes self-described as “*the brains*”), that sneers down on the people who would actually **get things done** (“*the muscle*”), forgets that after any revolution the first group to be purged from society is, in fact, the intellectuals. Moreover, most ills of modern day can be traced back to ill-conceived ideas of the intellectual strata.

All of this, however, is not to say that education is wrong, but rather, to point out how its purpose has been completely perverted and transformed from cultivating useful knowledge for practical purposes, to cultivating a sense of self-worth, measured by one’s “*intelligence*”. Neither are we harping on intellect or intelligence itself (and thus, by extension, on the **truly intelligent people**), rather we oppose the transformation of those things into social credentials.

In the end, one can hardly be surprised by the Fascist/NS opposition to intellectualism and all self-professed intellectuals. The former necessitates a kind of social structure that is incompatible with our ultimate goals and allows for the rise in society of people who are unworthy of the posts they might assume. The latter presents a person of low quality trying to pass themselves off as someone worthy of your admiration, respect, and even *obedience*, based on *their own* self-evaluated “*higher*” intellect.

Fascism/NS outright **reject** the inflated importance of the smart/idiot dichotomy and opposes intellectualism with **Character**. Where Modern World Rationalists place Intellect, Fascism and National-Socialism places **Character**. This is because unlike intelligence, one cannot pretend to cultivate it artificially or pretend to have any other kind of Character than the one they truly have.

I would strongly compel everyone to read **Ernst Jünger’s** interwar article on **Character** for the best insight to its nature and

importance, but we will nevertheless provide some quotations from it and other Fascist/NS sources.

“Unlike the Age of Enlightenment we believe character to be the highest value – that is the most important sign of our inner transformation.”

-Ernst Jünger

One’s Character is their true inner nature. Fascism prizes the great, strong, firm character over the weak and soft, for that is the character that can uphold our values and honor Truth. One’s intelligence comes second, for a person of great character may not be the smartest, but he will stand taller than the person of weak character, who is more intelligent. In fact, the latter is the perfect description of the intellectual, of the modern man in general.

The person of weak character desperately needs some modicum of intelligence to have the skills for rationalizing why his lack of **will power** (*a trait of a strong character*) doesn’t matter or should not be taken into account. It is these people who will try to intellectually justify degeneracy – foremost their own, and then that of others, to secure their own standing. *“Faggotry is not a problem”* is something only a faggot or a smart idiot will say, ignoring that faggotry is often the consequence of a small and weak character, as is the case for all degeneracy.

A simple and humble man may be far more virtuous than the intellectual, and thus we do not prize intellect itself and would not offend said man for not being the smartest. *“Smart”* and *“dumb”* do not figure in our worldview as substitutes for *“good”* and *“bad”*, nor do they rank as the all-defining human traits – only Character can fulfill that task. Thus we also do not recognize the *“smart”* jobs as the superior ones, instead we recognize the effort of the man fulfilling the task he was meant for, as per his Character:

“Each has his task in the community, given to him according to his gifts. Never do all have the same task, but rather each his own. His task gives him a place in the community, if he fulfills it completely, he wins the esteem of the others. He is happy, even if his task is not large in the overall scheme of things.”

“A worker on the street can stand higher in the ranks than a government minister if he has better done his duty.”

-Faith and Action, by Helmut Stellrecht

In reality, intelligence is a tool, and like any tool it can be wielded for good or ill, and what determines one's use of that tool is, again, Character. The small man will use intellect to justify his shortcomings and to secure his petty interests, to protect his own hide and swindle others. Thus intellect serves to create ideas like equality and communism. A great man will use intellect in service to a higher task that he seeks to realize, in service to a greater principle that dictates a necessity, rather than a personal whim. Thus intellect serves to create the Organic State.

“As our spiritual experience shows, above the outer logic of reason, conditioned by the senses, rises an internal logic of fate. And we perceive it not by the brain, but by blood which uses the brain for its own goals or in spite of it. The arguments of blood are not convincing, they are compulsory. Its goals are not logical constructs but are the consequence of necessity. Its main organ is the heart. And that which in relation to the brain we call reason, in relation to the heart we call character.”

-Ernst Jünger

It thus follows that we also do not recognize the notion of an *“Intellectual Elite”* as the leaders of State and Nation, seeing how the

qualifications for such an elite would once again put men of weak and small character above men of great character (*hence our laughter when self-proclaimed intellectuals deem themselves to be the “brain” to our “muscle”*). The only elite possible in Fascism/NS is the natural-born leaders, men of great character, what **Francis Parker Yockey** called the *“culture-bearing stratum”*, the natural spiritual minority within any given culture who represent its absolute best:

“Races and nations express themselves at their highest potential in strong individuals, who embody the prime national characteristics, and acquire immense historical symbolic significance.”

Not *intelligent* or *smart* individuals, but strong individuals, men of great character, that is the primary prerequisite, what follows is their natural talents and aptitudes, including intelligence, which they can apply for the best effect:

“The notion of prominence is related to the idea of the Culture-bearing stratum in this way: every man who is prominent in any field, and who also has inner gifts, of vision, appreciation, or creativeness, naturally belongs to this stratum.”

Hence why it has always been Fascism/NS that attracted exactly this type of people:

“Only Authority represents a step forward, and thus the strongest, most vital, creative elements in the Culture-bearing stratum are found in the service of the resurgence of Authority.”

Which likewise accounts for why Fascism/NS had attracted into its ranks people from all walks of life, from all social fields and classes – something that has been a mystery to our enemies for the longest

time. Our worldview did not attract people on the basis of interests or existing social standing or common secondary attributes, such as intelligence – it attracted that very culture-bearing stratum who felt the “*compulsory argument of blood*” to do “*that which is necessary*” and whose representatives are hidden throughout society:

“The Culture-bearing stratum is not recognized by its contemporaries in any way as a unity, nor does it recognize itself as one. As a stratum it is invisible, like the Culture it carries. Because it is a purely psychic stratum, it can be given no material description to satisfy the intellectuals.”

“The Culture-bearing stratum, articulated into creators and appreciators, is invisible as such. It corresponds to no economic class, no social class, no nobility, no aristocracy, no occupation. Its members are not all public figures by any means. But by its existence, this stratum actualizes a High Culture on this earth.”

One other Fascist figure who wrote on this same culture-bearing stratum, from which the elite could be formed, was **Corneliu Zelea Codreanu**, in his autobiography “**For My Legionaries**”:

“A people is not capable of governing itself. It ought to be governed by its elite. Namely, through that category of men born within its bosom who possess certain aptitudes and specialties.”

Furthermore, when he qualified the necessary characteristics of an elite, he does not once mention intellect, instead listing qualities that are also properties of **Character**:

On what must an elite be founded?

- a) Purity of soul.*
- b) Capacity of work and creativity.*
- c) Bravery.*

- d) *Tough living and permanent warring against difficulties facing the nation,*
- e) *Poverty, namely voluntary renunciation of amassing a fortune.*
- f) *Faith in God.*
- g) *Love.*

Finally, Codreanu talks about how a new elite may be born only in a direct confrontation:

“And if a nation has no real elite – a first one to designate the second? I answer by a single phrase which contains an indisputable truth: in that case, the real elite is born out of a war with the degenerate elite, the false one. And that, also on the principle of selection.”

Anyone familiar with the history of Fascist/NS movements can clearly see how all of these things have been proven in practice, that it were men of great character and natural gifts, which were used in service to that character and the higher goal, that made up the ranks of our movements, and in their struggle against the enemy in power a new elite was formed, forged by fire and in various trials and tribulations. The kind of trials and tribulations that would leave weak men of intellect crushed underfoot and tossed to the curb.

When **George Lincoln Rockwell** put a new recruit to the test, it wasn't a test of intelligence, but a test of Character, as the second chapter of **White Power** will attest:

“He actually convinced me he wanted to try to be a Storm trooper!

As a matter of policy, whenever I hear that (as I do every day), I do all I can to discourage the applicant. We want no dabblers, but dedicated, fanatical fighters who will STICK through hell itself. With this crazy character, I went even

further. I made fun of him. I told him he'd never make it, that we'd run him off the first day.

He rose to the challenge.

"You name it, and I'll make it!" he said.

Strangely, I could sense a fiercely burning WILL behind the words. I told him he couldn't come up to try life as a Nazi Storm-trooper until he was eighteen. He left, vowing to return in a few months. He did return – without the beatnik get-up. He turned out to be a blonde, young Viking, built for combat.

We poured it to him.

There was no place left inside for him to sleep. So he was assigned to a wrecked car out back. It was still winter and cold. But the kid moved into the wrecked car with a couple of blankets. We put him to work cleaning the toilets, and yard.

He worked.

Spring came, and then a broiling summer. He was still in the wrecked car, eaten alive by mosquitoes. I tried him on the printing press, and never saw such a bear for work. He was all dried out of booze, off the pills and dope, exercising plenty, and showing every sign of "making it."

A weak intellectual would never submit himself to such treatment, for his character wouldn't be able to take it, and he would rationalize that this was all either beneath him or did not test his "true" value to the cause, that of his "high-brow" "intelligence". The intellectual would rather prove himself with a smartly written program, but as we know from, again, **Codreanu**: "This country is dying of lack of men, not of lack of programs..."

What we lack is men of great, strong character, whom the weak-willed intellectuals will disregard as “*dumb*” “*muscle*” that requires their “*guidance*”. For our enemy doesn’t fear the intellectual, someone who deals with rationalizing all their life is easy prey for coopting and molding into something that can be worked with or even outright ignore, whereas a man of character will stand on principle no matter what and will not accept anything less than the complete satisfaction of the compulsory demand in his blood:

“They fear an impotent, weak-willed genius less than they fear a forceful nature with only modest intellect.”

-MK, V1, Ch2

No matter whom you look at in the Pantheon of Fascist champions, you cannot in all honesty declare any of them “*intellectuals*”, rather you see men of great **Character** who also possessed great intellect, and not necessarily academic smarts, but worldly knowledge of how the world around them operates. That intellect was subservient to their goals and their character, establishing them foremost as Men of **Action**, rather than men of *pen and paper*. Even well educated people like William Luther Pierce spoke without pretense of intellectual superiority, and the content of their speeches was always that of Action, as opposed to the kind of speaking one might hear during various “*think tank*” get-togethers.

These people spoke plainly but they spoke of things of great magnitude, something that is impossible for an intellectual, for his instinct drives him towards either analyzing and dismantling and picking away at things until there is nothing left; or towards making mountains out of molehills as they proceed to over-intellectualize the most basic of things. Intellect left to its own devices is a tool for tearing at the very fabric of reality or satisfying one’s own ego,

whereas the intellect subservient to great character is a creative force that helps us return closer to the Truth.

These types think themselves to be the next social elite, whereupon they can occupy stuffy cabinets with leather chairs and sip wine whilst stroking each other's egos over meaningless yammering coated in complex wording. Well let them dream. Reality of the matter is, however, that history shall repeat itself once again, as these *intellectuals* will find themselves trampled underneath the jackboots of "low brow" "thugs" (*the favored insult towards fascists in the past, all of them, from Hitler and Mussolini to Sir Oswald Mosley and Rockwell, to Codreanu, Italo Balbo, Joseph Tommasi and etc.*) – the very ones they thought would be doing their bidding.

And from the ranks of those "thugs" the new elite will come forth.

VIOLENCE

Within the broad circle of White Nationalism, the subject of violence is a contentious topic due to the prevalence of System informants and the unrealistic expectations that we can win by staying entirely within our own risk free comfort zones. The idea that violence is inherently a big *no no* misses a crucial point: **simply having semitically incorrect views is inherently predicated on the threat of violence.**

The Jewish System will not voluntarily relinquish power if we somehow “win” by playing by its own rules. The System has proven time and time again that its rules are devised to keep other players out of the game, and will break its own rules whenever it can to eliminate rivals it cannot control. Simply put, the law isn’t some magic force of impartiality and objective judgment that majestically swoops down and rewards people for coloring inside its lines while punishing bad guys. It’s an expression of institutionalized power, also predicated on the threat of violence. The law will break its own rules whenever it can, contradicting itself at every turn to further its own end of protecting the multinational Jewish conglomerate it serves.

If you think you’re safe because you follow the rules, **you’re wrong**. If you think a semitically incorrect political cause will be allowed to take power because it perfectly followed the law that somehow magically binds the Jews and their servants, *you’re retarded*. And if you’re one of those paranoid movement burnouts looking for informants under your bed so you can still feel relevant despite contributing jackshit, your retirement home is over at Stormfront. Wow, I can hear the burnouts already! *I’s gots maaah*

magnifyin glass! I's founds anotha clue! Is that Matlock over there? Gramma's eyes can't see too good!

Of course, we're not advocating any unlawful or criminal acts nor should any statement be taken as incitement, threat, or conspiracy to commit any illegal acts. The operative phrase here is "**credible threat**" after all, which doesn't necessarily imply instigation of violent or illegal actions, nor does it condemn them should the necessary circumstances arise. Furthermore, anyone advocating any specific action against a specific place or specific person, or who is offering to procure illegal items is probably up to no good and someone who should be avoided. The case of federal operative **Hal Turner**, with his repeated calls to specifically murder specific individuals serves as an obvious example of the sort of individuals to avoid. The purpose of this piece will be to analyze the role violence plays both as political propaganda in radicalizing a target audience and as a deterrent to illegal state aggression.

VIOLENCE AS PROPAGANDA

The Breivik shooting was probably the most infamous example of nationalist violence in recent times, and spawned endless debates over his motives, ideology, and so forth. None of it matters, aside from the fact that the false flag camp are retards who need to be driven from our ranks. I don't care why he did it or what his beliefs were at the time – which is a matter of debate. And no, I don't care about your shitty youtube videos. The fact that he blew away the next generation of anti-white MPs, community organizers, and street level agitators is reason enough to celebrate. And contrary to the Jew media's lies that many White Nationalist swallowed in hopes of futilely gaining their approval, these weren't kids, they were established activists, the majority in their 20's.

This was really just fucking great. Breivik showed the amount of damage one man can do, the amount of power one man can have to set the stage for the whole world, even if for a day, paving the way to inspire future attacks. The shooting happened to be one of the most effective counter-terrorism operations undertaken in Europe, radicalizing more nationalists, forcing anti-immigration talking points into the political mainstream where they were previously suppressed by state action up to and including incarceration, and framed the enemy/target as being internal, as being the System itself, while striking fear into the hearts of the enemy and showing them that their actions actually do have consequences.

At the end of the day, they bleed just the same.

Support for mass immigration dropped accordingly. And as usual, the *alt right* MUH PR fags were proven wrong, again, despite all their the sky is falling hysterics about how *BREIVIKS GONNA BE THE END OF US MAAAN*. Instead, the exact opposite happened, now nationalists are torching refugee centers, thwarting their construction with **organized mass riots** – further proving that violence works, and righteously knifing elected officials throughout Europe, with Jo Cox and **Henriette Riker** being two of many to come. Successful acts of violence simply radicalize and embolden people to carry out future attacks all while sending the enemy the message “*fuck with us, you die*”, effectively undermining their morale and making them more hesitant at taking overreaching actions, while also undermining the public’s faith in the System to both protect them and to punish them.

A similar dynamic occurred with the Tommy Mair’s heroic action against Jo Cox. And as usual, the nationalist reaction of wailing apologies and feigned sympathy to Jo Cox’s death has been *pathetic* and *disgusting*, in addition to being **completely wrong**.

All this apologizing bullshit just radiates weakness and submission, effectively driving potential supporters away as it puts us on the defense and providing ammunition to the enemy to morally shame us as we attempt to justify ourselves to them and seek their permission, *legitimizing their authority*.

No matter what, we apologize for absolutely *nothing*. We don't answer to them, they answer to us, we retain leverage and act as our own center of gravity under all circumstances. They seek our total annihilation and will stop at nothing to attain it, they need to be met on the ground they've staked. That cunt was part of a larger system working for the goal of white genocide, working for the global organization Oxfam that employs people such as **Guido Van Hecken**, who was involved in anti-white bombing attacks that killed several innocent people in South Africa.

That shitty pig slut deserved every gunshot, every knife plunged into her now desiccated useless corpse. Her death is a reason to celebrate. As a result of her policies, who knows how many people she's impoverished, how many children were raped as the result of pro-refugee activism and the atmosphere she contributed to preventing investigation of the **arab-perpetrated organized mass rape in Rotherham**. Her death was a positive achievement for our cause. To top it off, The Brexit referendum passed the popular vote (*although it may very well be struck down by state action*) and proved the point – violence **doesn't** damage our cause. Jo Cox's only memorable achievement is being eaten by the worms as her fellow MPs use her as prop for their own egos and careers. All the namby pamby whiners finger wagging about how violence damages our cause are proven wrong, **again**. Will they learn? Probably not.

Successful acts of violence against a political target radicalize a segment of supporters and pave the way for future action. More

moderate supporters simply shrug it off, unaffected. People will look the other way or rationalize “immoral” behavior committed for causes they believe in. And if you think any of these were false flags, **you’re a fucking idiot**. Mentally ill conspiracy crackpots, you are absolute cancer and need to go bang on your HAARPsicord somewhere else, we don’t care about your dumb theories about nothing.

The *right* response, the only response our camp should have to the death of an enemy is **celebration** and hammering home why that piece of shit **deserved** to die.

Even in the case of Dylann Roof, which predictably, most White Nationalists fumbled the ball on, *again*.

The Roof shooting sent a message to the niggers that people are tired of their shit and will start hitting them back. **Nigger mob goes on rampage in Charleston**, Roof shoots their enablers dead and they stop chimpin’ in that area. Niggers are basically big dumb animals (though probably more deadly). They only respond to pain and fear. Yeah, sure, there’s the element out there advocating for allying with black nationalists against the Jew and theoretically some like Tom Metzger may have a point. But for the bulk of the niggers, *go ahead*, just *try* to explain to the charging bull nigger how he’ll be better off amongst his own people without getting free shit from you, like the dumb fucking thing can even understand what you’re saying or cares.

*“waah u mean go back to Africa I cnt git Jay-z on muh phon dere
WHUUR MUH FRIES AT BIOTCH WHURR STARR WHURR STARR”*

Niggers are actively engaging in a race war against whites enabled by the state and financed by Jewish oligarchs. Any negotiations at this point are unrealistic until they’re knocked down a few pegs and/or thrown under the bus by their Jewish

masters. Even then, it's a long shot. A race war may theoretically not be the best course of action on account of Jew-wise nationalists of different races being baited into killing each other, but ultimately, that's the direction we're heading and needs to be taken into account. Once that shit breaks out, it won't stop. If it comes to that, **kill 'em all.**

The target Roof picked was spot on – he smoked a state senator and BLM organizer along with several others involved, hitting their financial support network and sending them a direct message, along with demonstrating that JewSA government officials are just as vulnerable as ordinary people. If he just shot some inconsequential and easily replaceable gangbangers it wouldn't have had nearly the same impact. Wasting the people who provide funding, organization, and a respectable facade to dumb animals makes way more sense.

Then to top it off, Roof forced conservatives, who dupe their constituencies by dog whistling racial sentiments for their own electoral gain without any intention of implementing related goals, to come out and condemn the confederate flag in the most heavy handed and over the top manner, showing their true colors in no unmistakable terms, effectively pushing thousands of White Southerners off the JewOP plantation and radicalizing them against the System. Meanwhile, through mass media coverage, Roof effectively implanted the *“you rape our women”* and *“race war”* memes into the consciousness of millions of white people worldwide, juxtaposed with the existing imagery of feral chimping swarms torching city blocks and beating the shit out of white people in the name of free Air Jaaaawdans, their subhuman stupidity syncopating every syllable of the sentences they can barely form for the cameras. All while a significant portion of WNs completely missed all this engaged in their usual whining and condemnations of

violence, completely taking the Jew media bait and whining about how this hurts our cause because some dead nigger church ladies make us look bad.

Bitch, your **submissive whining** is what makes us look bad. I'm sure there's plenty of nice old nigger church ladies in South Africa helping finance the ongoing slaughter of Whites over there, too.

All the poor black church ladies the kike medias crying about were involved in that BLM bullshit either directly or by implication, and at the very least, financially, and were putting a sanitized face on typical nigger behavior. Therefore, **fair game**. How many rapists and murderers have these (*now dead*) church ladies fought to protect with the whole "*aww sheeit he wuz a gud boy he dindu nuffin*" spiel? How many crimes have they indirectly facilitated via financial support to Black Lives Matter? Were these nice old black church ladies doing anything to provide positive guidance to niggers and rein in their destructive aspects or were they bankrolling a church with an established history of radical activism so it can bankroll BLM so they can *burn dis bitch down*, reinforcing and providing justification to all the absolute worst aspects of nigger behavior? If they play guilt by association games with us, why shouldn't we do the same to them and make them actually own the ground they stake? Did any of these niggers actively go out of their way to apologize to us for the constant crimes they commit against our race? *Fuck no. They're animals.*

Hell, *da preacha man hisself* was actively organizing this kind of shit. So fuck 'em. I'm *glad* they're dead. The only thing that matters when dealing with them is leverage, the only things niggers understand are pain and fear, any compassion we show them is just weakness they'll exploit and use to extort more free shit from us. The idea that we need to retain some moral high ground when dealing

with an enemy too stupid to even know what that is is so retarded on its face I question the motives of anyone advocating that approach.

So, we have Southern conservative politicians showing their true colors – actively alienating and radicalizing their constituencies, Roof demonstrating the vulnerability of System officials to the wider and increasingly angry population, dissemination of important memes throughout the mass media, all while sending niggers several hot lead servings of *go fuck yourself*. **What's not to like?** No need to cry over spilled niggers. Learn how to celebrate a victory for once and write in *PILES OF DEAD NIGGERS* for president instead of whining about it.

Now on occasion, there's botched jobs, like the case of Glenn Miller, who acted with noble intent to take out Jewish parasites attempting to genocide our race, but tragically missed his mark and killed three whites instead.

...and you guess it, a lot of White Nationalists fucked this one up too.

Whatever else can or cannot be said about Miller being a federal informant is beside the point at this point in time, the action's already in play to be used against us, the only thing we can control is how we react to it. If enemies ever bring him up and try to use him to guilt trip us, we just redirect back to the Jew and blame them accordingly – what could Jews possibly be doing that would cause a man to try killing them? If Jews weren't trying to exterminate our race, Miller wouldn't have had a cause for action, and his victims wouldn't have been caught in the crossfire. Bottom line, *we retain leverage*. Handwringing condemnations and attempts to distance from Miller will only make our enemies draw further association and

use him as ammo to shame our cause, keeping us in a defensive position where we're left attempting to justify ourselves to them.

Retain leverage. *Always.* No matter what. **Always attack.** Never defend. Never explain, *never apologize.*

VIOLENCE AS DETERRENT

Antifa are willing to **firebomb buildings**, stab people as the cops stand idly by and let them, and as shown in Greece, conduct ambush shootings to further their cause. Meanwhile, the floppy haired wine and cheese faggots intent on being our self-proclaimed leaders – like that Nathan Damigo dipshit, throw their own supporters under the bus, attempting to purge them and initiating smear campaigns all in a vain, self-serving attempt to appease the column writers in *Vanity Fair* *who hate them anyway*. Nathan's coordinated smear campaign and purge of an NPI attendant who will go unnamed due to having left the movement, who heroically beat the shit out of an antifa that was about to seriously harm another NPI attendant unprepared for a fight, is too telling of the cowardly mentality of too many WN.

Poor Nathan was also probably envious he got upstaged while being the head of some self-important pretentious bullshit no one cares about, looking for a way to maintain legitimacy when he's not busy attending a "Twinks for Trump" event which had the explicit and only purpose of **recruiting fags**.

Poor Nathan. May 6 million towelhead cab drivers be robbed in his name.

The Nathan's and Spencer's of the world also believe in unquestioningly following the law like it's *on our side* somehow. The law isn't impartial. The law's just used to keep other players out of the game – again, they break their own rules all the time whenever they can get away with it. They're not just gonna voluntarily cede

power because we followed the rules and won fair and square. It's a rigged game. Any movement that can't leverage a credible threat of violence against the law to make it play by its own rules will just be infiltrated, framed, and/or entrapped on a whole litany of bullshit charges, with activists thrown in the slammer just as if they were baited by the feds into doing something illegal. "WAAAAAH KEEP IT LEGAL UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES" is suicidal stupidity and ensures our people are unable to defend themselves against an enemy that doesn't play by its own rules.

Obviously, anyone running around bragging about blatantly illegal shit or trying to push someone into committing any specific act is up to no good. Especially if it's anyone trying to push you or anyone else into committing a specific action at a specific place and time against a specific person or building. This isn't to say that's the only way feds operate; but for every unwarranted self-important cry of "AGENT PROVOCATEUR," I'll yell **DEVOCATEUR**. In the end, both achieve the exact same thing. **Matt Hale** sits in prison due to being framed by an informant. **Edgar Steele** died in prison after being framed by an informant. **Michael Weaver** was convicted by a jury on account of his political beliefs for defending himself from nigger carjackers. **Chester Doles** was convicted on bullshit weapons charges for weapons that his wife legally owned. All four followed the law.

Any political movement unable to leverage a credible threat of violence against the state by having a foothold in the police and military will be shut down the second it grows too successful. And in that occurrence, any movement unwilling to drag the responsible parties from their homes and butcher them in the streets as a deterrent to further crackdowns will have no way to defend itself.

And conservatives, being *pussies*, are paralyzed by propriety. And they encompass a broad majority of the alt right/WN. They will

loudly moralize and condemn the decline of semantically-correct civilized debate into criminal violence, congratulating themselves for holding onto the elusive vapor that is the moral high ground as they proceed to lose everything they claim to care about because of their dispositional inability to break their form. They make a virtue out of their own loserdom.

People are forming violent gangs to wreck your shit? Well maybe you should fuck theirs up even worse instead of inertly whining about it.

Spencer getting punched in the face by antifa is another hilarious illustration of this dynamic in play. See, this is why we need the skinheads that so many alt fright faggots talk shit about out of fear the skinheads will make their faggy alligator shirts look bad for the cameras. Relying on cops to do their jobs is dumb. The cops protect antifa, utilizing them as useful idiots to help illegally suppress dissident movements. The cops are mad at you for making them work more. They're not gonna protect you. **If a political movement can't defend itself without relying on the enemy System then it's not a serious movement, and not a serious contender for power.**

If we had the skinheads coordinated into private security teams, this wouldn't be happening. If we had the many under-utilized military veterans in this scene providing hand to hand combat training and various other self-defense courses at regularly attended workshops – instead of everyone blowing a grand over the course of weekend to listen to the same buzzword buffet bloviating speech everyone's heard a million times already and still doesn't care about, this wouldn't be happening.

No, Dickie, getting your ass kicked doesn't make people sympathetic to our cause. They're either *laughing* at you or *pitying* you. No one follows the defenseless nerd with the *KICK*

ME sign on his back. People don't rationally evaluate ideas, **they internalize memes based on power dynamics**. TradWorker understands this and admirably kicked ass in Sacramento, winning a street fight against 200 or so worthless antifa junkies and probable FBI operative Yvette Felarca.

What Dickie doesn't get is that getting your ass handed to you over and over again looks a million times worse than having people who don't review chai teas on yelp around. Hell, if we wanna play the optics game, I'll contend the trendy Yelp 401k clutching element looks a million times worse than the skinheads. Their stupid "*Implicit Themes of Whiteness in Finding Nemo*" think pieces makes me wanna punch them in the face.

Antifa aren't afraid of all you cheerleading autistic faggots posting stupid frog memes, they grow bolder by the day and only pretend to be afraid for the purpose of smearing you as the violent thugs you refuse to be. **Give 'em what they're asking for** – if they were *actually* afraid they wouldn't fuck with you.

"Waaah the left r violent thugs waaah double standard waaaah they won't debate meee waaah they won't listen to my precious logic" croons the conservative alt fright queer.

The conservative thinks he's winning because he makes a point no one gives a shit about. The leftist knows he's won because he has the ability to invoke underlying impulses and archetypes and translate them into real world action of blood-soaked proportions while understanding the concept of leverage and remaining on the attack.

The left understands human psychology. The right's just some autistic nerd getting covered in spitballs trying to show its Pokemon cards to no one who cares.

Gut the right which just gets in the way and confuses people, holding them back while providing safe releases for pent up frustration. Alt-lite? Ripping itself apart exactly as I said it would a year ago. Gayvin McKikens Proud Fags? Cucking out and ripping itself apart exactly as I said it would a year ago. Alt fright? **Floundering in the face of opposition and ripping itself apart exactly as I said it would a year ago.** All of these have proven to be false contenders, exactly as I said they would.

Throw them all in the fucking trash

Ignore the worthless novelty seekers attached to those trends who protest that action. They'll just snarkily trend hop to the next shitty hipster trend. They're *nothing*. **Spit on them** in utter contempt for trying to hold the movement back into their personal comfort zone of autistic playtime while indignantly screeching over purity spiraling threatening that. **Laugh at them** and **shame them** for being do-nothing cowards. **Laugh at them** for languishing beneath you. **Laugh at them** for no reason other than you can.

Gut the false opposition judeoright – both in neocohen and alt kike variants, then the no-holds-barred fight to the death with the kike System backed left begins in no unmistakable terms. If you aren't willing to kill these people, then get involved in legal political organizations. If you're involved in one, then you have absolutely no business getting involved in illegal activities. If you aren't willing to do either then you're willing to go extinct. If you were going to do something illegal, you wouldn't be dumb enough to say anything or leave any indication whatsoever, because if you were actually serious you'd recognize that successfully completing the act would bring a million times more glory and satisfaction than bragging to your stupid internet "*friends*." If you can't source military grade weaponry the trade-off probably isn't worth it. If you can, you

wouldn't advertise that fact. Killers don't talk, they act. No one needs to know anything but you.

And this is the part where some alt fright conservative chimes in about there being good people in the FBI we can rely on to save the day. **No, the FBI isn't on your side, retard.** Their job is to get as many people arrested for the dumbest shit so they can get more funding for their departments translating into pay raises in the name of saving the puppies and children from drugs and terrorism. It's a way for criminals to make money, nothing more. You're a potential revenue source to them, they don't give a shit about you, they want their goddamn Porsche.

Cops aren't on your side either. Different tentacle, same semitic squid. They protect antifa in all but the most extreme cases – and those are only to maintain credibility in the eyes of the public that's losing trust and confidence in them, not because they're opposing forces. They're not gonna do your job for you. Trump's not gonna do it either, at absolute best he'll buy us a couple extra years. At worst, he'll pacify our side into relying on him and the cops to do all the work for us, which they never will. Trump's move to remove WN groups from terrorist classifications will not actually take much heat off us, there's still a million other reasons and angles from which we can and will be spied on and attacked by the System.

That said, vets turned National Socialists are a great and very underutilized asset who should take a more prominent role in the scene, especially if they still have connections and spheres of influence inside the military. We need more military types as leaders, fewer intellectuals. **The intellectual is a disease that obfuscates purpose and meaning.** Cops are irredeemable **scum** and I'll never trust them in any capacity. Every cop's dream is becoming a shitty enough person to make it to the FBI. The FBI exists to make

money off you by manufacturing nonexistent crimes out of thin air and making the charges stick so they can get more department money and pay raises. *Anyone* who thinks those pieces of shit can be dealt with in any capacity **is probably on their payroll**.

In order to challenge the System's monopoly on violence to ensure we can operate without being imprisoned and killed by them, we need to co-opt and get cops on our side while ensuring they remain in their positions working in their own context to help us while remaining entirely separate from our groups. Any correspondence trying to turn them, or **any** correspondence *at all*, is a real bad idea. That's been tried and just gets people framed and arrested so the piggy can get its pay raise and promotion. It also sets a bad behavioral example for others to follow. Anyone talking to the cops for any reason is either stupid or up to no good as an informant, even if they aren't doing anything illegal.

The way to pull this off is fielding political candidates through a vanguard party on the promise of giving police unions immunity to operate and exempting them from any budget and benefit cuts. Considering their unions are on the chopping block from both the judeoleft for reasons of alleged racism and from the judeoright for reasons of implementing fiscal conservatism and setting a further precedent for union busting, this could work. No one likes losing their paycheck and retirement plan. This approach has the advantage of probing for sympathetic support and mobilizing it accordingly within the police without requiring dissident groups compromise themselves by having any communication with the police. Cops are corrupt pieces of shit that can't be trusted but we need to get them on our side somehow.

As far as the military goes, I'm not convinced its possible for us to pull a Golden Dawn styled infiltration and takeover of the military.

It's probably too big for that to work. Anyone joining with the intent to infiltrate would just get lost within the machine and is just needlessly putting their life at risk to serve the enemy, rationalizing it as pulling off some infiltration scheme they'll probably never pull off. That said, I don't have a military background so I obviously can't say for sure.

We do need a way to reach and recruit people already inside though. I think it'd be more effective for veterans already networked to start recruiting from within. Our numbers are too small with too many possible major wars on the horizon and too much groundwork to be done elsewhere to risk our lives joining the military for some infiltration scheme with a minuscule chance of success. While I liked Steven Barry's article he did for the National Alliance on getting skinhead groups into the military for infantry training and the idea itself was great, I'm not convinced it worked as advertised. Ultimately, we need to draw from the military's ranks without adding to it.

Again, any political movement without a presence in the police and military to leverage against the System will be taken out by them if it gets too successful, regardless if it follows their rules.

The onus is on us to increase pressure. We need to keep increasing momentum and push shit further instead of settling for good enough. When dissidents begin striking physical blows against the enemy while providing services where the collapsing systems failed its constituents through entirely separate, legal political organizations – also using those for public demos, private security functions, and so forth, that's when we start winning for real.

The fight ain't finished until we're in actual power and every Jew and traitor serving them is exterminated in a torrential fury of bloodshed and vengeance. If you just sit around complaining and

aren't actively involved in any groups, **fuck you, coward.** I'm sure *special little you* has some really important excuse.

GOD IS DEAD – LONG LIVE GOD!

“God is dead. God remains dead. And we have killed him.” — so declared **Nietzsche** to the world in his *“Science of Joy,”* a statement to be later popularized via *“Thus Spoke Zarathustra”*. Yet most people misunderstand the message Nietzsche conveyed and thus atheists and nihilists use that declaration as a message of triumph, when in reality it was a statement of **despair**.

Before we continue with this article, we’d like for the reader to understand what we will be talking about when referring to **God** in this article. In the previous articles published on **NOOSE** we have occasionally talked about the *Ultimate Truth* and the *Cosmic Order* — the central core of the Fascist Worldview. These same things can be understood and expressed in a number of ways, depending on the conceptual and symbolic language/narrative employed, thus they have also been referred to, for example, as the Absolute, or, more commonly, as **God**. What all these words have in common at their core, regardless of the narrative employed behind them (*be it an abstract spiritual force, a host of pagan Gods, or the Christian God*), is an understanding of a spiritual force that pervades and rules supreme over all. It governs all of life and gives it structure and order, from the physical laws of the universe to the intricate nature of the Human condition. **Ultimate Truth / Cosmic Order / The Absolute / God(s)** are expressions of that spiritual force by different means, which are not the subject of this article. Thus we aim to simplify the narrative presented in this article by referring to them all simply as **God**, not necessarily specifically the Christian or any other God, but nevertheless not excluding them either.

The deeper nature of these narratives and their correlation is something that will be explored in depth in a series of articles that will be published by IronMarch at a future date. This article, however, will be focusing on the issue of mankind losing its connection with that spiritual force, the consequences of that, and what it has to do with the Fascist Struggle. Thus, let us continue with the article proper.

“*God is dead*” is a statement of fact, the fact being how mankind has lost its connection to the spiritual world (*for if we can no longer perceive God, he might as well be dead to us*), which ultimately leaves us stranded in the desacralized, material world without a guiding light towards which we could orient ourselves – with no objective, absolute law ordained from a higher source. Nietzsche did not, in fact, celebrate this state of affairs, but was horrified of it and thus sought a solution – Nietzsche was **not** a nihilist, but to the contrary, an **anti-nihilist**, seeking a **solution** to nihilism as a problem that mankind faced in the absence of God.

As much as he may have had his qualms with Christianity, it nevertheless presented such a guiding light to men, without which we are but rudderless ships lost in a storm. Where else could man turn to, in such a state of affairs, other than himself and his own strength? When men lose their connection with God they can do nothing else but rely on *themselves* – thus the *man-centric* conception of the world is born, which is exactly what Nietzsche had contributed to, by promoting **Will to Power** and the **Übermensch**, fine additions to what **Oswald Spengler** defined as **Faustian culture**, all things associated with the nature of *Titans*.

In many ways Nietzsche comes to mankind as an earthly counterpart of the Norse God **Heimdallr**, who blows the Gjallarhorn to signify the beginning of **Ragnarök** and the

coming of a host of **Chthonic/Elemental** forces (e.g. *Fenrir, Jörmungandr, the Jötunn giants, etc*) that would wage war against the Gods. Whereas Heimdallr warns the Gods of the *start* of Ragnarök, Nietzsche comes to mankind with a message that the Twilight of the Gods *has already arrived – we are living it*. The world of man has been submerged into the “waters”. However, at the exact same time it is Nietzsche that promotes the coming of the Elemental Giants (*Titans*) via his concept of the *Übermensch* – man as a law unto himself.

“The Bronze Age is also marked by the unleashing of the principle proper to the warriors’ caste, namely, pride, violence, war. The corresponding myth is the Titanic or Luciferian revolt, or the Promethean attempt to steal the Olympian fire. The age of “giants,” or of the Wolf, or of the “elemental beings;” is an equivalent figuration found in various traditions and in their fragments preserved in legends and epics of various peoples.”

-Julius Evola, Mystery of the Grail

“Twilight of the Gods” and *“God is Dead”* are **one and the same notion**. They are different narratives for the same event of mankind losing its connection with the spiritual world, ushering in materialism, nihilism, rationalism, atheism and other concepts that lie at the heart of modern degeneracy and decay, associated with Faustian, Titanic, Promethean and Luciferian themes, unified in a simple formula: *Man must become his own God and take control of God’s creation*. Such a man is an **Übermensch** – a **Titan**.

The true message of the Norse myth, along with many other similar traditional narratives, *warns* mankind of its inevitable downfall into ignorance of the Higher Order of life as ordained by God. This ignorance would force man to find a new absolute law to

orient himself towards, and he would inevitably place it in himself. Man becomes the end in of himself, thus his own desires are of the utmost importance, his own mind and ego are the ultimate judges of his own conduct.

Let us map out the timeline of the Death of God:

- Mankind loses sight of God and is left bereft of a guiding light.
- Mankind begins to look inwards and cultivates the man-centric worldview. This stage is best embodied by the **Enlightenment** Era. This is the **Luciferian/Promethean** aspect, where man begins to place value in himself above all else, including God. These processes are tantamount to Lucifer's revolt against the Christian God and to Prometheus stealing the Fire of the Gods from Mount Olympus, bringing it down to man.
- Once this self-obsession is cultivated, it is directed outwards against nature/God's creation as man attempts to subjugate everything to himself. This stage is best embodied by the **Industrialization** Era. This is the **Faustian** aspect, where man uses his own reason, will power, science and technology to manipulate the world around him. Here we witness the birth of **Titans**, the Nietzschean Übermensch, driven by his Will to Power.

At this stage man essentially becomes the "*perfect animal*", the "*king of earthly beasts*" so to speak. Yet this state of affairs cannot last for two major reasons. **First of all**, when man places value and ultimate authority within himself he can *negotiate* with himself and thus *compromise* on anything. Man cannot negotiate with a Higher Order, but he can always negotiate with himself, which is what we can witness today as people rationalize their shortcomings and

degeneracy. **Secondly**, man becomes a slave to his own mechanical creations by means of which he exerted his dominance against nature, which helps further degenerate mankind. Man grows lazy, complacent, compliant, and his Will to Power withers away, leaving something even less than animal, something **subhuman**. This is the era we find ourselves in now. The Fall of Titans.

However, just as Mankind was warned of its downfall, so it was likewise foretold of its restoration by means of coming to know the Higher Order of life once again. All traditional narratives talk of how in the last and darkest age after the Death of God there would come Heroes who would dispel the darkness and restore God's Law on Earth.

"The last age is the Iron Age, or, according to the corresponding Hindu term, the Dark Age (Kali Yuga). This age includes every de consecrated civilization, every civilization that knows and extols only what is human and earthly. Against these forms of decadence there emerged the idea of a possible cycle of restoration, which Hesiod called the heroic cycle or age of heroes. Here we must employ the term heroic in a special, technical sense distinct from the usual meaning. According to Hesiod, the "generation of heroes" was created by Zeus, that is to say, by the Olympian principle, with the possibility of reattaining the primordial state and thus to give life to a new "golden" cycle."

-Julius Evola, Mystery of the Grail

Through the reconciliation of the Titan and Hero archetypes, who are cut from the same cloth, the titanic Will to Power would be directed towards the restoration of the Cosmic Order and thus it would be converted into a **Will to Truth**.

“Heracles earns Olympian immortality after allying himself to Zeus, who is the Olympian principle, against the “giants”; according to one of the myths of this cycle, it is through Heracles that the “titanic” element (symbolized by Prometheus) is freed and reconciled with the Olympian element.

[...]

The titanic type – or, in another respect, the warrior type – is, after all, the prime matter of which heroes are made.”

-Julius Evola, Mystery of the Grail

It is the **overcoming** of the Nietzschean Übermensch, granting Nietzsche’s legacy a positive connotation.

“Freeing the doctrine of Nietzsche from its naturalistic aspects, one sees that the “superman” and the “will-to-power” are not real except as supra-biological, and, we should say, supra-natural qualities. Then this doctrine, for many, can be a path by which the great ocean can be reached – the world of the solar universality of great Nordic-Aryan traditions – from whose summit the meaning of all the misery, irrelevance and insignificance of this world of prisoners and lunatics can at last be grasped.”

-Julius Evola, Heathen Imperialism

It is the appearance of what **Savitri Devi** called **Men Against Time**, those who fight for the Eternal in the world of change, counting **Adolf Hitler** as one in their number.

“The saviours in the worldly sense of the word — those who set out to perfect not merely men’s souls but men’s collective life and government, and international relations — are what we call men “against Time.” And they are necessarily violent, although not always physically so. They

may be, — in fact, they should be, — personally free from the bondage of Time, if they are to act with the maximum of foresight and efficiency. But they have to take into consideration the conditions of action “within Time” to live “in” Time, also, in a way.”

-Savitri Devi, Lightning and the Sun

It is the coming of the **Wildes Heer** – the Wild Hunt, heroes sacrificing themselves in battle to aid Odin in Ragnarök

“First of all, as is well-known, Valhalla is the centre of celestial immortality, reserved mainly for heroes fallen on the battlefield. The lord of this place, Odin-Wotan, is presented to us in the Ynglingasaga as having shown to the heroes the path which leads to the place of the gods, where immortal life flourishes. According to this tradition no sacrifice or cult is more appreciated by the supreme god, and none produces richer fruits, than that sacrifice which one offers as one falls fighting on the battlefield. In addition to this, behind the confused popular representation of the Wildes Heer this meaning is hidden: through the warriors, who, falling, offer a sacrifice to Odin the power is increased which this god needs for the ultimate battle against the Ragna-rökr, that is, the “darkening of the divine”, which has threatened the world since ancient times. This illustrates clearly the Aryan motif of the metaphysical struggle.”

-Julius Evola, The Metaphysics of War

It is, indeed, the coming of **Fascists** and **National-Socialists** – the last Heroes of the cycle, the last Titans who turned their Will to Power into a Will to Truth. We practice what is described in *Buddhism* as, **negation of negation**: in our opposition to the forces that denied God’s Law we reaffirm it once again, restoring the

Cosmic Order in human affairs. It is the path of restoration on a civilizational and racial scale, rather than the path of individual salvation, yet the latter will follow suit with the former.

Such is the true essence of the Fascist struggle – to deny the forces of decay, involution and degeneracy and in that denial restore all the positive aspects of the human condition. It is nothing short of a **Holy War**, a spiritual and physical **Crusade** against the forces of materialism, secularism, atheism, rationalism, humanism, egalitarianism, hedonism, relativism, utilitarianism, nihilism, *i.e.* the *powers of ruin* that only seek “*nothingness, nothingness without end*”.

Thus, to Nietzsche’s desperate cry of “*God is Dead!*” we will give our roaring and triumphant battle cry: “**God is Dead – LONG LIVE GOD!**”

